

## Werk

**Label:** Article

**Jahr:** 1991

**PURL:** [https://resolver.sub.uni-goettingen.de/purl?312901348\\_58-59|log6](https://resolver.sub.uni-goettingen.de/purl?312901348_58-59|log6)

## Kontakt/Contact

[Digizeitschriften e.V.](#)  
SUB Göttingen  
Platz der Göttinger Sieben 1  
37073 Göttingen

✉ [info@digizeitschriften.de](mailto:info@digizeitschriften.de)

ON THE IMAGE OF TWO SETS  
OF POSITIVE OUTER LEBESGUE MEASURE IN  $R^n$

MILJENKO CRNJAC and HARRY I. MILLER<sup>1</sup>, Juhoslávia

**Abstract.** We will prove that if  $A$  and  $B$  are subsets of  $R^n$  each having positive Lebesgue outer measure, and  $f: R^n \times R^n \rightarrow R^n$  satisfies appropriate conditions, then  $f(A \times B)$ , the set of all vectors  $f(a, b)$  with  $a \in A$  and  $b \in B$ , is "full" in the sense of outer Lebesgue measure in some  $n$ -dimensional cube  $K$ . This result is related to theorems of Steinhaus, Smital and Sander and doubly extends the  $n = 1, f(x, y) = x + y$  case proved previously by the second author.

**Introduction.** A classical theorem in measure theory, called the Steinhaus Theorem (see [4], pg. 68), states that if  $A$  and  $B$  are Lebesgue measurable subsets of  $R^n$ , each having positive measure, then the set

$$A + B = \{a + b : (a, b) \in A \times B\}$$

contains an  $n$ -dimensional cube.

It follows from a theorem of Sander [9] that this result can be extended. Namely, if  $A, B \in R^n$ ,  $A$  is Lebesgue measurable and  $m(A) > 0$ ,  $\bar{m}(B) > 0$ , then  $A + B$  contains an  $n$ -dimensional cube. Here  $m$  and  $\bar{m}$  denote Lebesgue measure and outer Lebesgue measure respectively. A straightforward and elementary proof of this result can be found in [3].

The last mentioned result can not be extended, i.e. there exist sets  $A, B \subset R^n$ , such that  $\bar{m}(A) > 0$  and  $\bar{m}(B) > 0$  and such that  $A + B$  contains no  $n$ -dimensional cube. To see this consider the vector subspace  $C = \langle H \setminus \{h\} \rangle$ , of  $co$ -dimension one, where  $H$  is a Hamel basis for  $R^n$  (over the rationals) and  $h \in H$ . If we set  $A = B = C$ , then, by Theorem 2 on page 255 in [4],  $A + B$  contains no cube and  $\bar{m}(A) = \bar{m}(B) = \bar{m}(C) = \infty$ .

The following result, often called *Smital's lemma* in the literature [5], has a wide range of applications.

---

<sup>1</sup>The research on this paper by the second author was supported by the Fund for Scientific Work of Bosnia and Herzegovina.

1980 AMS Subject Classification: 28A05.

**Smítal's Lemma.** If  $A, B \subset R^n$ ,  $\bar{m}(A) > 0$  and  $B$  is dense in  $R^n$ , then for every  $n$ -dimensional cube  $I$ ,

$$\bar{m}((A + B) \cap I) = m(I).$$

The proof of Smítal's lemma can be modified to obtain the following. If  $\bar{m}(A) > 0$  and  $B$  is dense in some  $n$ -dimensional cube  $J$ , then there exists an  $n$ -dimensional cube  $I$  such that

$$\bar{m}((A + B) \cap I) = m(I).$$

In a previous paper, [6], the second author has investigated what can be said about the set  $A + B$ , if  $A, B \subset R$  with  $\bar{m}(A) > 0$  and  $\bar{m}(B) > 0$ . Notice that it is possible for both  $A$  and  $B$  to be nowhere dense and to contain no measurable subsets of positive measure. To see this suppose that  $M$  is a "Cantor-like" set of positive measure ([8], pg. 63) and  $N$  is a Bernstein set ([7], pg. 24). Then either  $M \cap N$  or  $M \cap N'$ , where  $N' = R \setminus N$ , is a nowhere dense nonmeasurable subset of  $R$ , containing no measurable subsets of positive measure (see [7], pg. 24). Call the set with these properties  $C$  and set  $A = B = C$ .

In a similar manner one can construct a nowhere dense subset  $C$ , of  $R^n$ , containing no measurable subsets of positive measure and hence none of the results we have listed are applicable when considering the set  $C + C$ .

In [6] it was shown that if  $A$  and  $B$  are both subsets of the real line having positive outer measure, then there exists an interval  $K$  such that  $\bar{m}((A + B) \cap K) = m(K)$ , i.e.  $A + B$  is full in  $K$  in the sense of outer measure. In our main result in this paper we show that if  $A$  and  $B$  are subsets of  $R^n$  each having positive outer measure, and  $f: R^n \times R^n \rightarrow R^n$  satisfies appropriate conditions, then  $f(A \times B)$ , the set of all vectors  $f(a, b)$  with  $a \in A$  and  $b \in B$ , is full in the sense of outer Lebesgue measure in some  $n$ -dimensional cube  $K$ .

**Results.** We first prove the theorem just mentioned (our main result) in the introduction.

**Theorem 1.** Suppose that  $f = (f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n): R^n \times R^n \rightarrow R^n$  satisfies the following conditions.

1. The  $2n^2$  partial derivatives ( $n$  functions and  $2n$  variables) exist and are continuous in some neighbourhood of  $(x_0, y_0) \in R^n \times R^n$ .

$$2. \begin{vmatrix} D_1 f_1 \dots D_n f_1 \\ \vdots \\ D_1 f_n \dots D_n f_n \end{vmatrix} (x_0, y_0) \neq 0 \text{ and } \begin{vmatrix} D_{n+1} f_1 \dots D_{2n} f_1 \\ \vdots \\ D_{n+1} f_n \dots D_{2n} f_n \end{vmatrix} (x_0, y_0) \neq 0,$$

where  $D_j f_i$  denotes the partial derivative of the function  $f_i$  with respect to the  $j^{\text{th}}$  variable, where  $1 \leq j \leq 2n$  and  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Suppose further that  $x_0$  is a point of

outer density of  $A$  and  $y_0$  is a point of outer density of  $B$ , where  $A, B \subset R^n$ . Then there exists an  $n$ -dimensional cube  $I$  such that

$$\bar{m}(f(A \times B) \cap I) = m(I).$$

**Proof.** Without loss of generality (this is an easy exercise) we can assume that:

$$x_0 \in A \subset H_A \subset \bar{A} \quad \text{and}$$

$$y_0 \in B \subset H_B \subset \bar{B},$$

where  $\bar{A}$  and  $\bar{B}$  denote the closures of  $A$  and  $B$  respectively and  $H_A$  and  $H_B$  are measurable outer covers of  $A$  and  $B$  respectively (i.e.  $H_A$  is measurable and contains  $A$  and  $C$  measurable and  $C$  a subset of  $H_A \setminus A$  implies that  $m(C) = 0$ ; and similarly for  $H_B$ ). Furthermore, again without loss of generality, we may assume that  $A \subset H$ ,  $B \subset J$ , where  $H$  and  $J$  are  $n$ -dimensional cubes centered at  $x_0$  and  $y_0$  respectively, whose measures are sufficiently small to insure that:

3. The absolute values of both determinants in (2) are bounded away from zero and are bounded above on  $H \times J$  and that for each  $y \in J$ , the function  $f_y$ , defined by the formula  $f_y(x) = f(x, y)$  for each  $x \in H$  is one-to-one.

By our hypotheses it is easy to see that  $f$  satisfies the conditions of Theorem (Satz) 3 on page 14 in [9]. Therefore, there exists an  $n$ -dimensional cube  $I$  such that  $f(H_A \times H_B) \supset I$ . The remainder of the proof will consist in showing that  $f(A \times B)$  is full in the sense of outer measure in  $I$ .

We will proceed indirectly; i.e. assume that there exists a measurable set  $M$  of positive measure with  $M \subset I \setminus f(A \times B)$ . Let  $d$  be a fixed density point of  $M$ . There exist  $a \in H_A$  and  $b \in H_B$  such that  $f(a, b) = d$ . Given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exist  $h_\varepsilon > 0$  and  $b_\varepsilon \in B$  such that:

$$4. \quad m(K(a, h_\varepsilon) \cap H_A) > (1 - \varepsilon) m(K(a, h_\varepsilon))$$

$$m(K(d, h_\varepsilon) \cap M) > (1 - \varepsilon) m(K(d, h_\varepsilon)) \text{ and the}$$

Euclidean distance between  $b$  and  $b_\varepsilon$  is less than  $\varepsilon$ . Here  $K(e, r)$  denotes, for each  $e \in R^n$  and  $r > 0$ , the open ball in  $R^n$  with center  $e$  and radius  $r$ .

We will show that for properly chosen small positive numbers  $\varepsilon$  and  $\varepsilon'$ :

$$5. \quad f(A \times \{b_\varepsilon\}) \cap (K(d, h_\varepsilon) \cap M) \neq \emptyset, \text{ which is a contradiction and hence}$$

$$\bar{m}(f(A \times B) \cap I) = m(I).$$

To prove (5) we will first show that  $d = f(a, b)$  is a point of outer density of the set  $F(A \times \{b\})$ . Again our argument is indirect. If  $d$  is not a point of outer density of  $f(A \times \{b\})$ , then there exists a strictly decreasing null sequence  $(r_n)$  of positive real numbers, a real number  $c$ ,  $c > 0$  and a sequence  $(G_n)$  of open sets in  $R^n$  such that:

6.  $K_n \cap f(A \times \{b\}) \subset G_n \subset K_n$  and  
 $m(G_n) < (1 - c) m(K_n)$  for each natural number  $n$ ,  
where  $K_n = K(d, r_n)$ .

Therefore,

7.  $m(K_n \setminus G_n) \geq cm(K_n)$ .

For each  $n$ , let  $T_n = f_b^{-1}(K_n \setminus G_n)$  and  $S_n = f_b^{-1}(K_n)$ , where, as before,  $f_b(x) = f(x, b)$  for each  $x \in H$ .

From (3) and the Jacobian change of variable formula for multiple integrals (see [1], pg. 274) we have:

8.  $m(T_n) \setminus m(S_n) = t_n m(K_n \setminus G_n) / s_n m(K_n)$  for each  $n$ , where  $(t_n)$  and  $(s_n)$  are sequences of positive real numbers, each bounded away from zero and bounded above.

From (7) and (8) and the fact that  $f_b^{-1}$  satisfies a Lipschitz condition (see [1], pg. 110) it follows that there exists a sequence of positive numbers  $(q_n)$ , bounded above, such that:

9.  $m(T_n) / m(Q_n) = m(T_n) / q_n m(S_n) \geq ct_n / q_n s_n$  for each  $n$ , where  $Q_n$  is the smallest open ball with center at a containing  $S_n$ .

Since  $T_n \subset Q_n$ ,  $T_n \cap A = \emptyset$  for each  $n$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} m(Q_n) = 0$  (as  $r_n \rightarrow 0$ ) we arrive at a contradiction of the fact that  $a$  is a density point of  $H_A$ . Therefore  $d$  is a point of outer density of  $f(A \times \{b\})$ . We remark that in connection with images of density points one should see [2].

By (4) and the fact that  $d$  is a point of outer density of  $f(A \times \{b\})$  there exists an  $\varepsilon' > 0$  such that:

10.  $\bar{m}(f(A \times \{b\}) \cap K(d, h_{\varepsilon'})) > 3m(K(d, h_{\varepsilon'})) / 4$ .

Using measurable outer covers, the Jacobian change of variable formula for multiple integrals, formula (10) and the properties of  $f$  it can be shown that there exists an  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that:

11.  $\bar{m}(f(A \times \{b_{\varepsilon}\}) \cap K(d, h_{\varepsilon})) > m(K(d, h_{\varepsilon})) / 4$ .

Taking (10) and (11) together we get (5), completing the proof.

The following result is an immediate corollary of Theorem 1.

**Corollary 2.** If  $A, B \subset R^n$  and  $\bar{m}(A) > 0$ ,  $\bar{m}(B) > 0$ , then there exists an  $n$ -dimensional cube  $K$  such that  $\bar{m}((A + B) \cap K) = m(K)$ .

We will complete this paper with a series of remarks.

**Remark 1.** In our introduction we made use of the concept of a Bernstein set. A set  $B$  (in a topological space) is called a Bernstein set if both  $B \cap F$  and  $B' \cap F$  are non-empty for each uncountable closed set  $F$ . Here  $B'$  denotes the complement of  $B$ .

**Remark 2.** It is possible, using the Vitali Covering Lemma, as in [6] for the  $n = 1$  case, to directly prove, without using Theorem 1 (or the Theorems of Steinhaus or Sander) Corollary 2. We will now present a new proof of the

Theorem of Steinhaus using Corollary 2. Namely, we will show that if  $A$  and  $B$  are measurable subsets of  $R^n$ , each having positive measure, then  $A + B$  contains a cube. To prove this it is sufficient to assume that  $A$  and  $B$  are compact sets in  $R^n$ , each having positive measure. Then  $A + B$  is compact and hence closed. We note that a closed subset of  $R^n$  is either nowhere dense or contains a cube. If  $A + B$  is nowhere dense then then

$$m((A + B) \cap K) < m(K) \text{ for each cube } K,$$

which contradicts Corollary 2.

**Remark 3.** The approach of using the density topology, in the proof of Theorem 1 was suggested by Professor L. Zajčėk of Charles University in Prague. Theorem 1 can be proved without using the density topology and the Theorem of Sander (mentioned in our proof of Theorem 1). We have such a proof, which uses the Vitali Covering Lemma, but it is much more complicated than the proof presented here.

**Remark 4.** We wish to thank the referee for many helpful suggestions which shortened and improved this paper.

#### REFERENCES

1. Apostol, T., *Mathematical Analysis*, Addison Wesley, Reading Mass., 1957.
2. Ger, R., Kominek, Z., and Sablik, M., Generalized Smital's lemma and a theorem of Steinhaus, *Radovi Matematički*, Vol. 1, No. 1, 1985, 101—119.
3. Kominek, Z. and Miller, H. I., Some remarks on a theorem of Steinhaus, *Glasnik Matematički*, Vol. 20 (40) (1985), 337—344.
4. Kuczma, M., *An Introduction to the Theory of Functional Equations and Inequalities*, Państwowe Wydawnictwo Naukowe, Katowice, 1985.
5. Kuczma, M. and Smital, J., On measures connected with the Cauchy equation, *Aequationes Math.*, 14 (1976), 421—427.
6. Miller, H. I., A theorem connected with results of Steinhaus and Smital, *J. Math. Anal. and Appl.*, Vol. 124, No. 1, 27—32.
7. Oxtoby, J. C., *Measure and Category*, Springer Verlag, New York, 1970.
8. Royden, H. L., *Real Analysis*, Macmillan, Second Edition, New York, 1968.
9. Sander, W., Verallgemeinerung eines satzes von H. Steinhaus, *Manuscripta Math.* 18 (1976), 25—42.

*Author's adress:*

Received: 15. 2. 1988

Miljenko Crnjac  
Lastovska 7  
54000 Osijek  
Yugoslavia

Harry I. Miller  
Dimitrija Tucovića 8  
71000 Sarajevo  
Yugoslavia

## РЕЗЮМЕ

### ОБ ОБРАZE ДВУХ МНОЖЕСТВ ПОЛОЖИТЕЛЬНОЙ ВНЕШНЕЙ МЕРЫ ЛЕБЕГА В $R^n$

М. Црняц, Г. И., Миллер, Югославия

Если  $A, B$  — подмножества пространства  $R^n$ , каждое из которых имеет положительную внешнюю меру Лебега и если  $f: R^n \times R^n \rightarrow R^n$  удовлетворяет подходящим условиям,  $f(A \times B)$ , т. е. множество всех векторов  $f(a, b)$ , где  $a \in A, b \in B$  имеет полную внешнюю меру Лебега в некотором  $n$  — мерном кубе. Этот результат связан с теоремами Штейнхауса, Смита и Сандерса и обобщает прежние результаты второго из авторов, касающиеся случая  $n = 1$  и  $f(x, y) = x + y$ .

## SÚHRN

### О ОБРАZE ДВОУХ МНОЖИЊИ КЛАДНЕЈ ВОНКАЈШЕЈ ЛЕБЕСГОВОЕЈ МИЕРЫ В $R^n$

Miljenko Crnjac a Harry I. Miller, Juhoslávia

Ак  $A$  а  $B$  су подмножини простору  $R^n$ , з којих кожда ма кладну вонкајшу Лебесгову миеру, а ак  $f: R^n \times R^n \rightarrow R^n$  сплња vhodне подмиенки, так  $f(A \times B)$ , т. ј. множина вшетких векторов  $f(a, b)$ , кде  $a \in A$  а  $b \in B$ , ма плну вонкајшу Лебесгову миеру в нектој  $n$ -розмернеј коке  $K$ . Tento vьsledok sьvisi s vetami Steinhausa, Smiьtala a Sandera a rozьsiruje starьie vьsledky druhьho z autorov, tьkajьce sa pripadu  $n = 1$  а  $f(x, y) = x + y$ .