

## Werk

**Label:** Article

**Jahr:** 1990

**PURL:** [https://resolver.sub.uni-goettingen.de/purl?312901348\\_56-57|log19](https://resolver.sub.uni-goettingen.de/purl?312901348_56-57|log19)

## Kontakt/Contact

[Digizeitschriften e.V.](#)  
SUB Göttingen  
Platz der Göttinger Sieben 1  
37073 Göttingen

✉ [info@digizeitschriften.de](mailto:info@digizeitschriften.de)

SUMS OF THE FORM  $\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N f_n(nx)$  AND UNIFORM DISTRIBUTION  
mod 1

VOJTECH LÁSZLÓ, Nitra—TIBOR ŠALÁT, Bratislava

1 Introduction

In the paper a theorem on the behavior of sums of the form  $\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N f_n(nx)$  (by  $N \rightarrow \infty$ ) is proved. This theorem is a generalization of a result from [1] (p. 123—124). Some applications of this generalization are given.

In [2] (p. 96, Exercise 169, Solution on p. 275) the following problem of E. Steinitz is formulated:

Determine for real  $x$  the function  $f$ ,

$$f(x) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N (\cos n\pi x)^{2n}$$

It is shown there that  $f$  is the so called Riemann function, i.e.  $f(x) = 0$  for  $x$  irrational and  $f(x) = \frac{1}{q}$  for  $x = \frac{p}{q}$  (canonical form of the rational number  $x$ ). The solution of the mentioned problem comes from G. Pólya.

In [1] (p. 123—124) a general result (see Theorem A in what follows) concerning sums of the mentioned type is introduced. The solution of the mentioned problem of E. Steinitz can be obtained also as a consequence of Theorem A.

**Theorem A.** Let  $f_n: R \rightarrow R$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ) be periodic functions with the period 1, let  $f_n|_{[0, 1]}$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ) be Riemann integrable functions. Suppose that the following conditions are satisfied:

a) There exists a  $M > 0$  such that for each  $x \in R$  and each  $n = 1, 2, \dots$  we have  $0 \leq f_n(x) \leq M$

b) For each  $\varepsilon$ ,  $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{1}{2}$ , each  $n = 1, 2, \dots$  and  $x \in [\varepsilon, 1 - \varepsilon]$  we have  $f_n(x) \leq f_n(\varepsilon)$  and

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N f_n(\varepsilon) = 0$$

Then for each uniformly distributed mod 1 sequence  $\omega = (\omega(n))_{n=1}^{\infty}$  we have

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N f_n(\omega(n)) = 0$$

In the second part of this paper we shall give a generalization of Theorem A.

## 2 The main result

The following theorem is a generalization of Theorem A. In what follows  $\mu(M)$  denotes the Jordan measure of the set  $M$ .

**Theorem 1.** Let  $f_n: R \rightarrow R$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ) be non-negative periodic functions with the period 1. Suppose that

a) there exists an  $M > 0$  such that for each  $n = 1, 2, \dots$  and  $x \in R$  we have  $f_n(x) \leq M$ ;

b) for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a set  $H_\varepsilon \subset [0, 1)$  consisting of a finite number of non-overlapping intervals such that  $\mu(H_\varepsilon) \leq \varepsilon$  and

$$(1) \quad \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N M_n^\varepsilon = 0$$

where

$$M_n^\varepsilon = \sup_{x \in [0, 1) \setminus H_\varepsilon} f_n(x) \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots)$$

Then for each uniformly distributed mod 1 sequence  $\omega = (\omega(n))_{n=1}^{\infty}$  we have

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N f_n(\omega(n)) = 0$$

**Proof.** Put for brevity

$$(2) \quad S_N = \sum_{n=1}^N f_n(\omega(n)) = S_N^{(1)} + S_N^{(2)}$$

where

$$S_N^{(1)} = \sum_{n \leq N; \{\omega(n)\} \in H_\varepsilon} f_n(\omega(n)),$$

$$S_N^{(2)} = \sum_{n \leq N; \{\omega(n)\} \in [0, 1) \setminus H_\varepsilon} f_n(\omega(n))$$

( $\{t\}$  denotes the fractional part of the real number  $t$ ).

Denote by  $A(H; N, \omega)$  the number of all  $n \leq N$  such that  $\{\omega(n)\} \in H$  ( $H \subset [0, 1)$ ). Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then a simple estimation gives

$$(3) \quad S_N^{(1)} \leq MA(H_\varepsilon; N, \omega)$$

Since  $\omega$  is uniformly distributed mod 1, we have

$$(4) \quad \limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{A(H_\varepsilon; N, \omega)}{N} \leq \varepsilon$$

It follows from (3) and (4) that

$$(5) \quad \limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{S_N^{(1)}}{N} \leq M\varepsilon$$

Further, we have evidently

$$S_N^{(2)} \leq \sum_{n=1}^N M_n^\varepsilon$$

and therefore on account of (1)

$$(6) \quad \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{S_N^{(2)}}{N} = 0$$

According to (5), (6) we get from (2)

$$\limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{S_N}{N} \leq M\varepsilon$$

Since  $\varepsilon$  is an arbitrary positive number, the theorem follows.

In the following example we shall illustrate the usefulness of Theorem 1.

**Example 1.** Put

$$f_n(x) = \begin{cases} \{\{\operatorname{tg} \pi x\}\}^n & \text{if } x \neq \frac{2a+1}{2}, a \in Z \\ 0 & \text{if } x = \frac{2a+1}{2} \text{ for a suitable } a \in Z \end{cases}$$

( $Z$  is the set of all integers).

The function  $f_n$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ) is evidently non-negative and periodic with the period 1.

We shall show that each function  $f_n$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ) has an infinite number of discontinuity points in the interval  $[0, 1)$ .

The function  $g$ ,  $g(x) = |\operatorname{tg} \pi x|$  ( $x \in [0, 1) \setminus \{\frac{1}{2}\}$ ) is increasing on  $[0, \frac{1}{2})$  and

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}^-} g(x) = +\infty, \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} g(x) = g(0) = 0$$

Denote by  $x_k$  such a point from  $[0, \frac{1}{2})$  for which  $g(x_k) = k$  ( $k = 1, 2, \dots$ ).

Then we have evidently

$$(7) \quad 0 < x_1 < x_2 < \dots, \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_k = \frac{1}{2}$$

Further,  $1 - x_k \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$  and

$$(8) \quad 1 - x_1 > 1 - x_2 > \dots, \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (1 - x_k) = \frac{1}{2}$$

$g(1 - x_k) = k$  ( $k = 1, 2, \dots$ ).

It is easy to see that the set of discontinuity points of  $f_n$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ) in  $[0, 1)$  coincides with the set

$$B = \left\{ \frac{1}{2}, x_1, 1 - x_1, x_2, 1 - x_2, \dots, x_k, 1 - x_k, \dots \right\}.$$

Let  $x$  be an irrational number,  $x \in [0, 1)$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Choose an  $\eta > 0$  such that the numbers  $\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\eta}{2}, \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\eta}{2}$  do not belong to  $B$  and

$$(9) \quad \eta < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$$

On account of (7), (8) only a finite number of elements of the set  $B$  lie outside the interval  $J = \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\eta}{2}, \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\eta}{2} \right)$ . Denote by  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m, 1 - x_1, 1 - x_2, \dots, 1 - x_m$  the elements of  $B$  lying outside the interval  $J$ . Let us construct pairwise disjoint open intervals  $I_k, I'_k$  ( $k = 1, 2, \dots, m$ ) such that  $x_k \in I_k, 1 - x_k \in I'_k$  ( $k = 1, 2, \dots, m$ ),  $I_k \cap J = I'_k \cap J = \emptyset$  ( $k = 1, 2, \dots, m$ ) and moreover, if  $\eta_k$  and  $\eta'_k$  denotes the length of  $I_k$  and  $I'_k$  respectively, then

$$(10) \quad \sum_{k=1}^m (\eta_k + \eta'_k) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$$

Put

$$H_\varepsilon = J \cup \bigcup_{k=1}^m (I_k \cup I'_k)$$

Let us consider that  $\lim_{r \rightarrow x_1^-} g(x) = 1$ . Therefore we can choose the left end-point  $a_1$  of  $I_1$  in such a way that  $a_1 < x_1$  and

$$g(a_1) = \sup_{x \in [0, 1] \setminus H_\varepsilon} \{g(x)\}$$

But then using the notation of Theorem 1 we get from the definition of  $f_n$

$$M_n^\varepsilon = \sup_{x \in [0, 1] \setminus H_\varepsilon} f_n(x) = g^n(a_1) = |\operatorname{tg} \pi a_1|^n \rightarrow 0 \quad (n \rightarrow \infty)$$

Hence the condition (1) in Theorem 1 is satisfied.

Further, according to (9) and (10) we get

$$\mu(H_\varepsilon) = \eta + \sum_{k=1}^m (\eta_k + \eta'_k) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \varepsilon$$

Thus the assumption b) in Theorem 1 holds. Also the assumption a) holds (it suffices to put  $M = 1$ ).

Since the sequence  $(nx)_{n=1}^\infty$  is for  $x$  irrational uniformly distributed mod 1 ([1], p. 10), we get according to Theorem 1 (for  $x$  irrational)

$$F(x) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N f_n(nx) = 0$$

Now let  $x$  be a rational number,  $x \in [0, 1)$ ,  $x = \frac{p}{q}$  (the canonical form of  $x$ , i.e.  $q > 0$ ,  $(p, q) = 1$ ). Then for each positive integer  $n$  there exist integers  $m, r$  such that

$$np = mq + r, \quad 0 \leq r < q$$

Using a simple estimation we get

$$\begin{aligned} (11) \quad S_N &= \sum_{n=1}^N f_n\left(\frac{np}{q}\right) = \sum_{r=1}^{q-1} \sum_{\substack{n \leq N; \\ np \equiv r \pmod{q}}} f_n\left(\frac{r}{q}\right) \leq \\ &\leq (q-1) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \max \left\{ f_n\left(\frac{1}{q}\right), f_n\left(\frac{2}{q}\right), \dots, f_n\left(\frac{q-1}{q}\right) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

It follows from the definition of the function  $f_n$  that there exists a  $d \in [0, 1)$  such that for each  $n = 1, 2, \dots$  we have

$$\max \left\{ f_n \left( \frac{1}{q} \right), f_n \left( \frac{2}{q} \right), \dots, f_n \left( \frac{q-1}{q} \right) \right\} = \{g(d)\}^n$$

Since  $0 \leq \{g(d)\} < 1$ , the series on the right — hand side of (11) converges and so

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{S_N}{N} = 0,$$

$$F \left( \frac{p}{q} \right) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N f_n \left( n \frac{p}{q} \right) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{S_N}{N} = 0$$

Hence the sequence  $(F_N)_{N=1}^{\infty}$ ,

$$F_N(x) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N f_n(nx) \quad (N = 1, 2, \dots),$$

pointwise converges to the function  $F$  which is identically equal to 0.

### 3 An analogue of the problem of E. Steinitz

In connection with the problem of E. Steinitz mentioned at the beginning of this paper the following question arises:

Determine the behavior of sums

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N (\sin n\pi x)^{2n} \quad (N = 1, 2, \dots)$$

by  $N \rightarrow \infty$ .

The following theorem gives the answer to the foregoing question.

**Theorem 2.** The sequence  $(F_N)_{N=1}^{\infty}$ ,

$$F_N(x) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N (\sin n\pi x)^{2n} \quad (x \in R, N = 1, 2, \dots)$$

converges pointwise on  $R$  to the function  $F$ , where  $F(x) = 0$  if  $x$  is irrational or  $x$  is a rational number,  $x = \frac{p}{q}$  (the canonical form), where  $q$  is an odd number,

further  $F(x) = \frac{1}{q}$ , if  $x$  is a rational number,  $x = \frac{p}{q}$  (the canonical form), where  $q$  is an even number.

**Proof.** Let  $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{1}{2}$ . By the notation used in Theorem 1 we put

$H_\varepsilon = \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right)$ . Then  $\mu(H_\varepsilon) = \varepsilon$  and

$$M_n^\varepsilon = \sup_{x \in [0, 1] \setminus H_\varepsilon} (\sin \pi x)^{2n} = \left(\sin \pi \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right)\right)^{2n} \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots).$$

Hence  $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} M_n^\varepsilon = 0$  and (1) holds. Thus the condition b) in Theorem 1 is satisfied.

Also the condition a) is satisfied (it suffices to put  $M = 1$ ).

Since the sequence  $(nx)_{n=1}^\infty$  for  $x$  irrational is uniformly distributed mod 1, according to Theorem 1 we have

$$F(x) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N (\sin n\pi x)^{2n} = 0$$

for  $x$  irrational.

Let  $x$  be a rational number,  $x \in [0, 1)$ ,  $x = \frac{p}{q}$  (the canonical form) then for each  $n = 1, 2, \dots$  there exist integers  $m, r$  such that

$$np = mq + r, \quad 0 \leq r < q.$$

Then we have

$$\left(\sin n\pi \frac{p}{q}\right)^{2n} = \left(\sin \pi \frac{r}{q}\right)^{2n}$$

and so we get

$$S_N = \sum_{n=1}^N \left(\sin n\pi \frac{p}{q}\right)^{2n} = \sum_{r=1}^{q-1} \sum_{n \leq N; np \equiv r \pmod{q}} \left(\sin \pi \frac{r}{q}\right)^{2n}$$

We have the following two possibilities:

- (a) The number  $q$  (denominator of  $x$ ) is even,
- (b) The number  $q$  is odd.

- (a) In this case the number  $r$  (depending on  $n$ ) takes on the value  $\frac{q}{2}$ , too. For

$r = \frac{q}{2}$  we have  $\sin \pi \frac{r}{q} = 1$ .

Put

$$S_N^{(1)} = \sum_{n \leq N; np \equiv \frac{q}{2} \pmod{q}} \left(\sin \pi \frac{\left(\frac{q}{2}\right)}{q}\right)^{2n} = \sum_{n \leq N; np \equiv \frac{q}{2} \pmod{q}} 1,$$



$$S_N^{(2)} = \sum_{n \leq N; np \equiv r \pmod{q}} \left( \sin \pi \frac{r}{q} \right)^{2n}, \quad r \neq \frac{q}{2}, 0 \leq r < q$$

Then we have

$$(12) \quad S_N = S_N^{(1)} + S_N^{(2)}$$

All positive integers  $np$ ,  $1 \leq n \leq N$ , can be partitioned into blocks of the form

$$(13) \quad (1 + kq)p, (2 + kq)p, \dots, (q + kq)p$$

(the last block need not be complete), here  $k$  is a non-negative integer. Since  $(p, q) = 1$ , the block (13) is a complete residue system  $(\text{mod } q)$ . Therefore in each block of the form (13) ( $k$  is fixed) lies exactly one number which is congruent to  $\frac{q}{2} \pmod{q}$ . The number of all blocks (13) is equal to  $k_0 + 1$ , where  $k_0$  is the greatest integer with

$$(q + k_0q)p \leq Np$$

Hence  $1 + k_0 = \left[ \frac{N}{q} \right]$ . Since the last block need not be complete, we have

$$S_N^{(1)} = \left[ \frac{N}{q} \right] \quad \text{or} \quad S_N^{(1)} = \left[ \frac{N}{q} \right] + 1$$

In both cases we get

$$(14) \quad \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{S_N^{(1)}}{N} = \frac{1}{q}$$

Further, a simple estimation yields

$$S_N^{(2)} = \sum_{\substack{1 \leq r \leq q-1 \\ r \neq \frac{q}{2}}} \sum_{n \leq N; np \equiv r \pmod{q}} \left( \sin \pi \frac{r}{q} \right)^{2n} \leq (q-2) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \sin \pi \frac{q-1}{2q} \right)^{2n} \quad r \neq \frac{q}{2}$$

The geometric series on the right — hand side converges because of

$$0 \leq \left( \sin \pi \frac{q-1}{2q} \right)^2 < 1$$

Therefore

$$(15) \quad \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{S_N^{(2)}}{N} = 0$$

On account of (14), (15) we get from (12)

$$F\left(\frac{p}{q}\right) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{S_N}{N} = \frac{1}{q}$$

(b) A simple estimation yields

$$\left(\sin \pi \frac{r}{q}\right)^{2n} \leq \left(\sin \pi \frac{q+1}{2q}\right)^{2n}$$

for each  $r = 1, 2, \dots, q-1$ . Using the previous notation we get

$$S_N \leq (q-1) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\sin \pi \frac{q+1}{2q}\right)^{2n} = O(1),$$

since

$$\left(\sin \pi \frac{q+1}{2q}\right)^2 < 1.$$

Thus we get

$$F\left(\frac{p}{q}\right) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{S_N}{N} = 0$$

The proof is finished.

**Remark 1.** The solution of the problem of Steinitz led to the Riemann function while the solution of an analogous problem given in Theorem 2 led to a function that is similar to the Riemann function. Each of these functions has an infinite number of discontinuity points. The functions  $F_N$ ,

$$F_N(x) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N f_n(nx) \quad (N = 1, 2, \dots)$$

$$(f_n(x) = (\cos \pi x)^{2n} \quad \text{or} \quad f_n(x) = (\sin \pi x)^{2n} \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots))$$

are continuous on  $R$  and therefore the limit function  $F = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} F_N$  is a function in the first Baire class. It is well — known that the set of discontinuity points of a function in the first Baire class is a set of the first Baire category (cf. [3], p. 182). Hence the set of continuity points of such function is dense in  $R$ . If we omit the assumption of continuity of the functions  $f_n$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ), then the limit function

$$F = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} f_N$$

$\left(F_N(x) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N f_n(nx), N = 1, 2, \dots\right)$  can be discontinuous everywhere. This is shown in the following example.

**Example 2.** Let

$$(16) \quad r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n, \dots$$

be a one — to — one sequence of all rational numbers of the interval  $[0, 1)$ . Define the function  $f_n: [0, 1) \rightarrow R$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ) in the following way:

$$f_n(x) = 0 \quad \text{for } x \text{ irrational,}$$

$$f_n(r_k) = 1 \quad \text{for } k \leq n,$$

$$f_n(r_k) = 0 \quad \text{for } k > n$$

We can extend the function  $f_n$  periodically (with the period 1) onto whole real line. Then  $f_n$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ) has only a finite number of discontinuity points in  $[0, 1)$  (it is discontinuous only at  $r_1, \dots, r_n$ ).

Put for  $x \in R$ :

$$F_N(x) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N f_n(nx) \quad (N = 1, 2, \dots).$$

It follows from the foregoing that  $F_N$  has only a finite number of discontinuity points in  $[0, 1)$ .

For  $x$  irrational we have evidently

$$F(x) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} F_N(x) = 0$$

Let  $x$  be a rational number,  $x \in [0, 1)$ ,  $x = \frac{p}{q}$  (the canonical form). Then for each  $n = 1, 2, \dots$  we have  $np = mq + r$  with integers  $m, r$ ,  $0 \leq r < q$ . So we get

$$f_n(nx) = f_n\left(m + \frac{r}{q}\right) = f_n\left(\frac{r}{q}\right)$$

The rational numbers

$$\frac{0}{q}, \frac{1}{q}, \dots, \frac{q-1}{q}$$

are situated in the sequence (16) with the indices (say)  $m_0, m_1, \dots, m_{q-1}$ . Put

$$m = \max \{m_0, m_1, \dots, m_{q-1}\}$$

Then according to the definition of  $f_n$  for  $n > m$  we have  $f_n\left(\frac{r}{q}\right) = 1$  for each  $r \in \{0, 1, \dots, q-1\}$ . But then  $N - m$  summands in the sum  $\sum_{n=1}^N f_n\left(\frac{r}{q}\right)$  are equal

to 1 provided that  $N > m$ . Therefore

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N f_n\left(n\frac{p}{q}\right) \rightarrow 1 \quad (N \rightarrow \infty)$$

and so

$$F\left(\frac{p}{q}\right) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} F_N\left(\frac{p}{q}\right) = 1$$

Hence the limit function  $F$  is the well-known Dirichlet function which is discontinuous everywhere.

#### REFERENCES

1. Hlavka, E.: Theorie der Gleichverteilung. Bibliographisches Institut Mannheim Wien Zürich, 1979.
2. Pólya, G.—Szegő, G.: Aufgaben und Lehrsätze aus der Analysis I. (Russian translation). Nauka, Moskva 1978.
3. Sikorski, R.: Funkcje rzeczywiste I. PWN, Warszawa 1958.

*Author's addresses:*

Vojtech László  
Katedra matematiky  
Pedagogická fakulta  
Saratovská 19  
949 74 Nitra

Tibor Šalát  
Katedra algebry a teórie čísel MFF UK  
Mlynská dolina  
842 15 Bratislava

#### SÚHRN

SÚČTY TVARU  $\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N f_n(nx)$  A ROVNOMERNÉ ROZDELENIE mod 1

VOJTECH LÁSZLÓ, Nitra—TIBOR ŠALÁT, Bratislava

V práci je dokázané jedno zovšeobecnené tvrdenie o súčtoch tvaru  $\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N f_n(nx)$ , na základe ktorého je určená funkcia  $F(x) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N f_n(nx)$ , pre niektoré funkcie  $f_n$  a sú zostrojené nespojité funkcie  $f_n$ , pre ktoré  $F$  je všade nespojitá.

## РЕЗЮМЕ

СУММЫ ВИДА  $\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N f_n(nx)$  И РАВНОМЕРНОЕ РАСПРЕДЕЛЕНИЕ mod 1

ВОЙТЕХ ЛАСЛО, Нитра—ТИБОР ШАЛАТ, Братислава

В работе содержится доказательство одного обобщенного утверждения о суммах вида  $\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N f_n(nx)$  на основании которого определена функция  $F(x) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N f_n(nx)$  для некоторых функций  $f_n$  и построены разрывные функции  $f_n$ , для которых  $F$  всюду разрывная функция.