

Werk

Label: Article

Jahr: 1989

PURL: https://resolver.sub.uni-goettingen.de/purl?312901348_54-55|log31

Kontakt/Contact

[Digizeitschriften e.V.](#)
SUB Göttingen
Platz der Göttinger Sieben 1
37073 Göttingen

✉ info@digizeitschriften.de

ON LOGARITHMS OF RATIONAL NUMBERS

MILAN PAŠTÉKA, TIBOR ŠALÁT, Bratislava

It is well known that the decadic logarithm of a rational number $r > 0$ is rational if and only if $r = 10^n$, n being an integer (cf. [1], p. 24; [2]; p. 210). It can be also proved that $\log_b r$ (b, r are positive rational numbers, $b \neq 1$) is rational if and only if $b^n = r^m$ for some integers m, n (cf. [1], p. 25). In this paper we restrict ourselves to the study of logarithms of rational numbers at integer bases $b > 1$. It is a natural request from the point of view of teaching mathematics to determine the set of all positive integers $b > 1$ that have a property similar to the mentioned property of the number 10, i.e. $\log_b r$ is rational for rational $r > 0$ if and only if $r = b^n$, n being an integer. The aim of this note is to give a characterization of numbers $b > 1$ with the mentioned property and to show that “almost all” positive integers $b > 1$ have this property.

In connection with the formulated aim of this paper we introduce the following definition. In what follows the symbols Q^+ , Q and Z denote the set of all positive rational numbers, the set of all rational numbers and the set of all integers, respectively.

Definition 1. An integer $b > 1$ is said to have the property (L) provided that the number $\log_b r$ for $r \in Q^+$ is rational if and only if $r = b^n$, $n \in Z$.

The following theorem gives a characterization of numbers having the property (L).

Theorem 1. An integer $b > 1$, $b = p_1^{\alpha_1} \cdot p_2^{\alpha_2} \dots p_m^{\alpha_m}$ (the standard form of b) has the property (L) if and only if

$$(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_m) = 1. \quad (1)$$

Proof. 1. Suppose that (1) holds. Let $r \in Q^+$, $r = \frac{p}{q}$, $p, q \in N$, $(p, q) = 1$. Let $\log_b r \in Q$. We can already assume that $r > 1$. Hence

$$\log_b r = \frac{c}{d}, \quad c, d \in N, \quad (c, d) = 1.$$

From this we get

$$b^c q^d = p^d. \quad (2)$$

and so $q|p$. Since $(p, q) = 1$, we have $q = 1$. But then (2) has the form

$$b^c = p^d \quad (3)$$

For the standard form of p we get on account of (3),

$$p = p_1^{\beta_1} p_2^{\beta_2} \dots p_m^{\beta_m}. \quad (3')$$

It follows from (3), (3') that

$$d\beta_j = c\alpha_j \quad (j = 1, 2, \dots, m).$$

Since $(c, d) = 1$, we get $d|\alpha_j$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots, m$) and then according to (1) we obtain $d = 1$. But then (3) yields $p = b^c$, $c \in \mathbb{Z}$.

2. Suppose that (1) does not hold. Then $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_m) = v > 1$. Put $\alpha_j = v\alpha'_j$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots, m$). Then $(\alpha'_1, \alpha'_2, \dots, \alpha'_m) = 1$. Put $z = b^{\frac{1}{v}}$. Then $z \in \mathbb{Q}^+$ and $\log_b z = \frac{1}{v} \in \mathbb{Q}$. But z has not the form b^n , $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. The proof is finished.

Let $H \subset \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \dots, n, \dots\}$. Put

$$H(x) = \sum_{a \in H, a \leq x} 1$$

and

$$d(H) = \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{H(x)}{x}$$

if the limit on the right-hand side exists. The number $d(H)$ is said to be the asymptotic density of the set H . Clearly, we have $d(H) \in \langle 0, 1 \rangle$ (cf. [3], p. 100).

Let (P) be a property of positive integers. Denote by $M(P)$ the set of all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ having the property (P). We say that almost all positive integers have the property (P) provided that the set $M(P)$ has the asymptotic density equal to 1.

Theorem 2. Almost all positive integers have the property (L).

We shall give two different proofs of Theorem 2.

Proof I. Let $M(L)$ have the defined meaning, i.e. $M(L)$ is the set of all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ having the property (L).

We set

$$A = \mathbb{N} \setminus (\{1\} \cup M(L)).$$

It suffices to prove that $d(A) = 0$.

It can be easily deduced from Theorem 1 that

$$A = \bigcup_{k=2}^{\infty} A_k, \quad (4)$$

where

$$A_k = \{2^k, 3^k, \dots, n^k, \dots\} \quad (k = 2, 3, \dots).$$

Let $x > 2$. Then $A_k(x)$ is evidently not greater than the number $\lceil x^{\frac{1}{k}} \rceil$. Hence $A_k(x) = 0$ for $k > \frac{\log x}{\log 2}$. Therefore according to (4) we get

$$A(x) \leq \sum_{2 \leq k \leq \frac{\log x}{\log 2}} \lceil x^{\frac{1}{k}} \rceil.$$

A simple estimation gives

$$A(x) \leq x^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \frac{\log x}{\log 2}$$

hence $A(x) = o(\sqrt{x} \cdot \log x)$ ($x \rightarrow \infty$). From this we get at once

$$\frac{A(x)}{x} = o\left(\frac{\log x}{\sqrt{x}}\right) = o(x) \quad (x \rightarrow \infty),$$

thus $d(A) = 0$. This ends the proof.

Proof II. This proof is based on the following result:

Let $H \subset \mathbb{N}$ and $\sum_{h \in H} h^{-1} < +\infty$. Then $d(H) = 0$ (cf. [1], p. 257, Exercise 2; [3], p. 100).

Let the set A have the same meaning as in Proof I. On account of the mentioned result it suffices to prove that $\sum_{a \in A} a^{-1} < +\infty$. Since the set A consists of all positive integers n^k , where $n \geq 2$, $k \geq 2$, it suffices to show that

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^{-k} \right) < +\infty$$

A simple estimation using the improper integral yields

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^{-k} < 2^{-k} + \int_2^{\infty} \frac{dt}{t^k} = 2^{-k} + \frac{2^{-k+1}}{k-1}.$$

Thus

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^{-k} \right) < \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} 2^{-k} + 2 \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} 2^{-k} = 3.$$

The proof is finished.

REFERENCES

1. Niven, I.: Irrational Numbers. The Carus Mathematical Monographs. John Wiley, New Jersey, 1956.
2. Šalát, T.: Reálne čísla. Alfa, Bratislava, 1982.
3. Šalát, T.: Nekonečné rady. Academia, Praha, 1974.

Author's addresses:

Received: 3. 12. 1986

Tibor Šalát
Katedra algebry a teórie čísel MFF UK
Mlynská dolina
842 15 Bratislava

Milan Paštéka
Katedra matematiky a deskriptívnej geometrie
Stavebnej fakulty SVŠT
Radlinského 11
813 68 Bratislava

SÚHRN

O LOGARITMOCH RACIONÁLNYCH ČÍSEL

Milan Paštéka — Tibor Šalát, Bratislava

Hovoríme, že prirodzené číslo $b > 1$ má vlastnosť (L), ak pre ľubovoľné racionálne číslo $r > 0$ je číslo $\log_b r$ racionálne vtedy a len vtedy, keď $r = b^n$, n je celé číslo.

V článku je odvodená nutná a postačujúca podmienka k tomu, aby číslo $b > 1$ malo vlastnosť (L) a je ukázané, že skoro všetky prirodzené čísla v zmysle asymptotickej hustoty majú vlastnosť (L).

РЕЗЮМЕ

О ЛОГАРИФМАХ РАЦИОНАЛЬНЫХ ЧИСЕЛ

Милан Паштека — Тибор Шалат, Братислава

Говорим, что натуральное число $b > 1$ имеет свойство (L), если для любого рационального числа $r > 0$ число $\log_b r$ рациональное тогда и только тогда когда $r = b^n$, где n — целое число. В работе показано необходимое и достаточное условие для того, чтобы натуральное число $b > 1$ имело свойство (L) и доказано, что почти все натуральные числа (в смысле асимптотической плотности) имеют свойство (L).