

## Werk

**Label:** Article

**Jahr:** 1987

**PURL:** [https://resolver.sub.uni-goettingen.de/purl?312901348\\_52-53|log20](https://resolver.sub.uni-goettingen.de/purl?312901348_52-53|log20)

## Kontakt/Contact

[Digizeitschriften e.V.](#)  
SUB Göttingen  
Platz der Göttinger Sieben 1  
37073 Göttingen

✉ [info@digizeitschriften.de](mailto:info@digizeitschriften.de)

**ON OSCILLATION OF CERTAIN CLASS OF SOLUTIONS  
 OF RETARDED DIFFERENTIAL INEQUALITIES**

JAROSLAV JAROŠ, Bratislava

The purpose of this paper is to extend and improve, in several directions, recent results due to Grace and Lalli [5] concerning the forced nonlinear retarded differential inequalities of the form

$$x(t) \left\{ L_n x(t) + \sum_{i=1}^m p_i(t) f_i(x(g_i(t))) - h(t) \right\} \leq 0, \text{ for } n \text{ odd,} \quad (1)$$

and

$$x(t) \left\{ L_n x(t) - \sum_{i=1}^m p_i(t) f_i(x(g_i(t))) - h(t) \right\} \geq 0, \text{ for } n \text{ even,} \quad (2)$$

where  $L_n$  is the general disconjugate differential operator defined by  $L_0(x(t) = a_0(t)x(t)$  and

$$L_k x(t) = a_k(t) \frac{d}{dt} L_{k-1} x(t), \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

We shall assume that  $a_i(t)$ ,  $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$ , are positive and continuous functions on  $[t_0, \infty)$  and the operator  $L_n$  is in canonical form in the sense that

$$\int_{t_0}^{\infty} a_i^{-1}(t) dt = \infty \quad (3)$$

for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1$ . In what follows, the set of all real-valued functions  $y(t)$  defined on  $[t_y, \infty)$  and such that  $L_i y(t)$ ,  $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$ , exist and are continuous on  $[t_y, \infty)$  will be denoted by  $\mathcal{D}(L_n)$ .

As usual, we restrict our considerations only to those solutions  $x(t)$  of (1) (or (2)) which exist on some ray  $[t_x, \infty)$ ,  $t_x \geq t_0$ , and satisfy

$$\sup \{ |x(s)| : s \geq t \} > 0$$

for every  $t \in [t_x, \infty)$ . Such a solution is called oscillatory if it has arbitrarily large zeros in  $[t_x, \infty)$  and it is called nonoscillatory otherwise.

In regard to the inequalities (1) and (2) the following conditions are assumed to hold:

- (i)  $p_i \in C([t_0, \infty), (0, \infty))$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, m$ ;
- (ii)  $f_i \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ ,  $yf_i(y) > 0$  for  $y \neq 0$ ,  $f_i$  are nondecreasing and  $|f_i(xy)| \geq |f_i(x)f_i(y)|$  for every  $x, y$  and  $i = 1, \dots, m$ ;
- (iii)  $g_i \in C([t_0, \infty), \mathbb{R})$ ,  $g_i(t) \leq t$  for  $t \geq t_0$ ,  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} g_i(t) = \infty$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $g_i$  are nondecreasing on  $[t_0, \infty)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, m$ ;
- (iv)  $h \in C([t_0, \infty), \mathbb{R})$  and there exists a function  $p \in \mathcal{D}(L_n)$  such that  $L_n p(t) = h(t)$  and  $L_0 p(t)$  is strongly bounded on  $[t_0, \infty)$  in the sense that for every  $T \geq t_0$  there are  $T^*$ ,  $T^* \geq T$  such that

$$L_0 p(T^*) = \min_{t \in [T, \infty)} L_0 p(t) \text{ and } L_0 p(T^*) = \max_{t \in [T, \infty)} L_0 p(t).$$

To formulate our results we shall use the following notation. Let  $j_r \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$ ,  $r = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$  and  $t, s \in [t_0, \infty)$ . We define  $I_0 = 1$  and

$$I_r(t, s; j_1, \dots, j_r) = \int_s^t a_{j_1}^{-1}(\tau) I_{r-1}(\tau, s; j_2, \dots, j_r) d\tau.$$

It is not difficult to verify that for  $1 \leq r \leq n-1$

$$I_r(t, s; j_1, \dots, j_r) = (-1) I_r(s, t; j_r, \dots, j_1) \quad (4)$$

and for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$

$$I_r(t, s; j_1, \dots, j_r) = \int_s^t I_{i-1}(t, \tau; j_1, \dots, j_{i-1}) a_{j_i}^{-1}(\tau) I_{r-i}(\tau, s; j_{i+1}, \dots, j_r) d\tau. \quad (5)$$

Moreover, if  $y \in \mathcal{D}(L_n)$ ,  $0 \leq i \leq r \leq n-1$  and  $t, s \in [t_x, \infty)$ , then we can easily derive the following generalization of the Taylor's formula:

$$L_i y(t) = \sum_{j=i}^r (-1)^{j-i} L_j y(s) I_{j-i}(s, t; j, \dots, i+1) + (-1)^{r-i+1} \int_t^s I_{r-i}(\tau, t; r, \dots, i+1) \frac{L_{r+1} y(\tau)}{a_{r+1}(\tau)} d\tau \quad (6)$$

(see for example Chanturija [1]). Using (4), the above formula can be rewritten as

$$L_i y(t) = \sum_{j=i}^r L_j y(s) I_{j-i}(t, s; i+1, \dots, j) + \quad (7)$$

$$+ \int_s^t I_{r-i}(t, \tau, i+1, \dots, r) \frac{L_{r+1}y(\tau)}{a_{r+1}(\tau)} d\tau,$$

$i = 0, 1, \dots, r; r = 0, 1, \dots, n-1.$

For simplicity we shall frequently use the abbreviations:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_k(t, s) &= a_0^{-1}(t)I_k(t, s; 1, \dots, k), & \alpha_k(t) &= \alpha_k(t, t_0), \\ \omega_k(t, s) &= a_n^{-1}(t)I_k(t, s; n-1, \dots, n-k), & \omega_k(t) &= \omega_k(t, t_0). \end{aligned}$$

Now let  $y \in \mathcal{D}(L_n)$  be such that

$$(-1)^n y(t) L_n y(t) > 0$$

for all sufficiently large  $t$ . Then according to a generalization of a well-known lemma of Kiguradze (see [18, Lemma 2]), there exist an even integer  $\ell$ ,  $0 \leq \ell \leq n$ , and a  $t_1 \geq t_0$  such that

$$y(t) L_i y(t) > 0 \text{ on } [t_1, \infty) \text{ for } i = 0, 1, \dots, \ell, \quad (8)$$

and

$$(-1)^{i-\ell} y(t) L_i y(t) > 0 \text{ on } [t_1, \infty) \text{ for } i = \ell, \ell+1, \dots, n. \quad (9)$$

Such a  $y(t)$  is said to be a (nonoscillatory) function of degree  $\ell$  (see Foster and Grimmer [3] and Kusano, Naito and Tanaka [13]).

It is not difficult to verify that the following extension of a result due to Grace and Lalli [4, Theorem 2] holds. The proof can be modelled on that of Theorem 1 in [4], so we omit the details.

**Lemma.** Suppose that  $h(t) = 0$  and that  $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$  is fixed. Let  $x(t)$  be a nonoscillatory solution of (1) (or (2)) such that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{x(t)}{\alpha_k(t)} = 0.$$

Then there exist an even integer  $\ell$ ,  $0 \leq \ell \leq k$ , and a  $t_1 \geq t_0$  such that  $x(t)$  is the function of degree  $\ell$  on  $[t_1, \infty)$ .

**Remark 1.** In [4], Grace and Lalli stated this lemma for  $k = 1$  and required moreover the satisfaction of the condition

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\alpha_1(t)} \sum_{i=0}^v c_i \alpha_i(t) > 0$$

for every choice of the constants  $c_i$  with  $c_v > 0$ ,  $v = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$ . We note that if (3) holds, then the above condition as well as the more general condition

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\alpha_k(t)} \sum_{i=k}^v c_i \alpha_i(t) > 0, \quad 1 \leq k \leq n-1,$$

for every choice of  $c_i$  with  $c_\nu > 0$ ,  $\nu = k, k + 1, \dots, n - 1$ , are always satisfied (see [7]).

Let  $f(x) = \min_{1 \leq i \leq m} f_i(x)$  and  $g(t) = \max_{1 \leq i \leq m} g_i(t)$ .

**Theorem 1** (Unforced Oscillation). Assume that  $h(t) = 0$  and that  $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n - 1\}$  is fixed. If

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{g(t)}^t \omega_{n-\ell-1}(\tau, g(t)) \sum_{i=1}^m p_i(\tau) f_i(\alpha_\ell(g_i(\tau), g_i(t))) d\tau > \\ > \limsup_{z \rightarrow 0} \frac{z}{f(z)} \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

for every  $\ell = 0, 2, \dots, k$ , if  $k$  is even, and  $\ell = 0, 2, \dots, k - 1$ , if  $k$  is odd, then every solution  $x(t)$  of (1) (or (2)) such that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{x(t)}{\alpha_k(t)} = 0$$

is oscillatory.

**Proof.** In order to avoid repetition, we consider only the inequality (1).

Assume to the contrary that there exists a nonoscillatory solution  $x(t)$  of (1) such that  $x(t)/\alpha_k(t) \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . By our Lemma, there exist an even integer  $\ell$ ,  $0 \leq \ell \leq k$ , and a  $t_1 \geq t_0$  such that  $x(t)$  is of degree  $\ell$  on  $[t_1, \infty)$ , i.e. (8) and (9) hold. Suppose first that  $x(t) > 0$  for  $t \geq t_1$  and choose  $T \geq t_1$  such that  $g_i(t) \geq t_1$  for  $t \geq T$  and  $i = 1, \dots, m$ . From the formula (6) applied to  $x(t)$  with  $i, r, s$  and  $t$  replaced by  $\ell, n - 1, t$  and  $g(t)$ , respectively, and from (9) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} L_\ell x(g(t)) &= \sum_{j=\ell}^{n-1} (-1)^{j-\ell} L_j x(t) I_{j-\ell}(t, g(t); j, \dots, \ell + 1) + \\ &+ (-1)^{n-\ell} \int_{g(t)}^t I_{n-\ell-1}(\tau, g(t); n - 1, \dots, \ell + 1) \frac{L_n x(\tau)}{a_n(\tau)} d\tau \\ &\geq L_\ell x(t) - \int_{g(t)}^t \omega_{n-\ell-1}(\tau, g(t)) L_n x(\tau) d\tau \end{aligned}$$

for  $t \geq T$ . Thus, taking (1) into account, we have

$$\begin{aligned} L_\ell x(g(t)) &\geq L_\ell x(t) + \int_{g(t)}^t \omega_{n-\ell-1}(\tau, g(t)) \times \\ &\times \sum_{i=1}^m p_i(\tau) f_i(x(g_i(\tau))) d\tau \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

for  $t \geq T$ .

Suppose first that  $\ell = 0$ . Then, by (9) and (iii),  $L_0x(g(t))$  is nonincreasing on  $[T, \infty)$  and from (11) we get

$$\begin{aligned} L_0x(g(t)) &\geq L_0x(t) + \int_{g(t)}^t \omega_{n-1}(\tau, g(t)) \times \\ &\times \sum_{i=1}^m p_i(\tau) f_i(L_0x(g_i(\tau))) f_i(a_0^{-1}(g_i(\tau))) d\tau \geq \\ &\geq L_0x(t) + f(L_0x(g(t))) \int_{g(t)}^t \omega_{n-1}(\tau, g(t)) \sum_{i=1}^m p_i(\tau) f_i(a_0^{-1}(g_i(\tau))) d\tau. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Since  $L_1x(t) < 0$  for  $t \geq t_1$ ,  $L_0x(t)$  decreases to a limit  $c \geq 0$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . From (12) we obtain  $c = 0$ .

Thus

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{L_0x(g(t))}{f(L_0x(g(t)))} \geq \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{g(t)}^t \omega_{n-1}(\tau, g(t)) \sum_{i=1}^m p_i(\tau) f_i(a_0^{-1}(g_i(\tau))) d\tau,$$

a contradiction to (10) in the case  $\ell = 0$ .

Now let  $\ell > 0$ . Application of the formula (7) to the case where  $y(t)$ ,  $i$ ,  $r$ ,  $t$  and  $s$  are replaced by  $x(t)$ ,  $0$ ,  $\ell - 1$ ,  $\tau$  and  $t$ , respectively, shows that

$$\begin{aligned} L_0x(\tau) &= \sum_{j=0}^{\ell-1} L_jx(t) I_j(\tau, t; 1, \dots, j) + \\ &+ \int_t^\tau I_{\ell-1}(\tau, s; 1, \dots, \ell-1) a_\tau^{-1}(s) L_\ell x(s) ds \geq \\ &\geq \int_t^\tau I_{\ell-1}(\tau, s; 1, \dots, \ell-1) a_\tau^{-1}(s) L_\ell x(s) ds \geq \\ &\geq L_\ell x(\tau) \int_t^\tau I_{\ell-1}(\tau, s; 1, \dots, \ell-1) a_\tau^{-1}(s) ds = \\ &= L_\ell x(\tau) I_\ell(\tau, t; 1, \dots, \ell), \quad \tau \geq t \geq t_1, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used (8), the decreasing character of  $L_\ell x(t)$  on  $[t_1, \infty)$  and the identity (5) for  $i = r = \ell$ .

Since the functions  $g_i(t)$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ , are nondecreasing for  $t \geq t_0$ , from the above we get

$$L_0x(g_i(\tau)) \geq L_\ell x(g_i(\tau)) I_\ell(g_i(\tau), g_i(t); 1, \dots, \ell),$$

i.e.

$$x(g_i(\tau)) \geq L_\ell x(g_i(\tau)) a_\tau(g_i(\tau), g_i(t)),$$

for  $\tau \geq t \geq T$  and  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ .

Using this estimation in (11), taking into account (ii) and the fact that the function  $L_\ell x(t)$  is decreasing on  $[t_1, \infty)$ , we obtain

$$L_\ell x(g(t)) \geq L_\ell x(t) + f(L_\ell x(g(t))) \int_{g(t)}^t \omega_{n-\ell-1}(\tau, g(t)) \times \sum_{i=1}^m p_i(\tau) f_i(a_\ell(g_i(\tau), g_i(t))) d\tau \quad (13)$$

for  $t \geq T$ . From (13) it follows as in the case  $\ell = 0$  that  $\lim L_\ell x(t) = 0$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . Finally, dividing both sides of the above inequality by  $f(L_\ell x(g(t)))$  and taking the limit superior as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , we get again the contradiction to (10).

A similar argument holds for  $x(t)$  eventually negative and this completes the proof.

**Remark 2.** It follows from the proof of the above theorem that in the case  $k = 1$  we can avoid the condition

$$|f_i(xy)| \geq |f_i(x)f_i(y)| \text{ for all } x, y \text{ and } i = 1, \dots, m,$$

and (10) becomes

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{g(t)}^t \omega_{n-1}(\tau, g(t)) \sum_{i=1}^m p_i(\tau) d\tau > \limsup_{z \rightarrow 0} \frac{z}{f(z)}.$$

In the spirit of this remark our Theorem 1 generalizes Theorem 1 in [5].

**Corollary 1.** Assume that  $a_0(t) = a_1(t) = \dots = a_n(t) = 1$ ,  $h(t) = 0$  and  $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$  is fixed. If

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{g(t)}^t (\tau - g(t))^{n-\ell-1} \sum_{i=1}^m p_i(\tau) f_i(g_i(\tau) - g_i(t))^\ell d\tau > (n-\ell-1)! \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{z}{f(z)} \quad (14)$$

for every  $\ell = 0, 2, \dots, k$ , if  $k$  is even, and  $\ell = 0, 2, \dots, k-1$  if  $k$  is odd, then every solution  $x(t)$  of (1) (or (2)) such that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{x(t)}{t^k} = 0$$

is oscillatory.

In our next result we shall show that Theorem 3 in [5] remains valid for more general forcing functions than those considered in [5], namely, for the functions  $h(t)$  which satisfy (iv). For this purpose denote

$$p^*(t) = \min_{\tau \in [t, \infty)} L_0 p(\tau),$$

$$p^*(t) = \max_{\tau \in [t, \infty)} L_0 p(\tau),$$

$$p_1 = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} p^*(t),$$

$$p_2 = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} p^*(t).$$

**Theorem 2.** (Forced Oscillation) Suppose that

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} a_0(t) < \infty \quad (15)$$

and

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{g(t)}^t \omega_{n-1}(s, g(t)) \sum_{i=1}^m p_i(s) ds > M, \quad (16)$$

where  $M$  is a positive constant. Then every solution  $x(t)$  of (1) (or (2)) such that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{x(t)}{\alpha_1(t)} = 0$$

is either oscillatory or

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} [L_0 x(t) - L_0 p(t)] = -p_1 \text{ or } -p_2.$$

**Proof.** We consider only (1).

Let  $x(t)$  be a solution of (1) such that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} (x(t)/\alpha_1(t)) = 0$ . Assume that this solution is positive for  $t \geq t_1 \geq t_0$ . By (iii), we choose  $t_2 \geq t_1$  such that  $x(g_i(t)) > 0$  for  $t \geq t_2$  and  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ . Put  $u(t) = x(t) - p(t)$ . Then, in view of (1) and (iv), we obtain

$$L_n u(t) + \sum_{i=1}^m p_i(t) f_i(x(g_i(t))) \leq 0, \quad t \geq t_2, \quad (17)$$

which implies that  $L_n u(t) < 0$  for  $t \geq t_2$ .

It can be easily verified (as in the proof of Theorem 1 in [4]) that there exists  $t_3 \geq t_2$  such that for  $t \geq t_3$  and  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$

$$(-1)^k L_k u(t) > 0. \quad (18)$$

In particular,  $L_1 u(t) < 0$  on  $[t_3, \infty)$  and so  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} L_0 u(t) = c$  where  $c$  is a constant.

Put  $z(t) = L_0 u(t) + p^*(t)$ . Then we have

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} z(t) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} [L_0 u(t) + p^*(t)] = c + p_1 = d.$$



If  $d < 0$ , then  $L_0u(t) + p^*(t) < 0$  for sufficiently large  $t$ , say  $t \geq T \geq t_3$ . By (iv), there exists a  $T_1 \geq T$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} L_0u(T_1) + p^*(T_1) &= L_0u(T_1) + L_0p(T_1) = \\ &= L_0x(T_1) - L_0p(T_1) + L_0p(T_1) = \\ &= L_0x(T_1) > 0, \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction.

If  $d > 0$ , then we have

$$L_0x(t) = L_0u(t) + L_0p(t) \geq L_0u(t) + p^*(t) = z(t) > \frac{d}{2}$$

for sufficiently large  $t$ , and so, by (15) and (iii),

$$x(g_i(t)) > k$$

for some constant  $k > 0$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$  and every large  $t$ .

From (17) we obtain

$$L_nu(t) + \sum_{i=1}^m p_i(t)f(k) \leq 0. \quad (19)$$

Next, from the formula (6) applied to  $u(t)$  with  $i, r, s$  and  $t$  replaced by  $0, n-1, t$  and  $g(t)$ , respectively, and from (18) we get

$$\begin{aligned} L_0u(g(t)) &= L_0u(t) + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} (-1)^j L_ju(t) I_j(t, g(t); j, \dots, 1) - \\ &\quad - \int_{g(t)}^t I_{n-1}(\tau, g(t); n-1, \dots, 1) \frac{L_nu(\tau)}{a_n(\tau)} d\tau \geq \\ &\geq L_0u(t) - \int_{g(t)}^t I_{n-1}(\tau, g(t); n-1, \dots, 1) \frac{L_nu(\tau)}{a_n(\tau)} d\tau \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

for sufficiently large  $t$ . Combining (19) and (20) we obtain

$$L_0u(g(t)) \geq L_0u(t) + f(k) \int_{g(t)}^t \omega_{n-1}(\tau, g(t); n-1, \dots, 1) \sum_{i=1}^m p_i(\tau) d\tau.$$

Finally, taking the limit superior as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , we obtain the contradiction to (16). Thus, we conclude that  $d = 0$ , which implies

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} [L_0x(t) - L_0p(t)] = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} [z(t) - p^*(t)] = -p_1.$$

A parallel argument holds if we assume that (1) has a negative solution  $x(t)$  such that  $x(t)/\alpha_1(t) \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . In this case we prove that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} [L_0 x(t) - L_0 p(t)] = -p_2.$$

This completes the proof.

**Corollary 2.** Let  $a_0(t) = a_1(t) = \dots = a_n(t) = 1$ . If

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{g(t)}^t (s - g(t))^{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^m p_i(s) ds > M,$$

where  $M$  is a positive constant, then every solution  $x(t)$  of (1) (or (2)) such that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{x(t)}{t} = 0$$

is either oscillatory or

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} [x(t) - p(t)] = -p_1 \text{ or } -p_2.$$

**Example.** The second order retarded linear equation

$$x''(t) - t^{-2}[e^{-3\pi/2}x(e^{-3\pi/2}t) + e^{-\pi/2}x(e^{-\pi/2}t)] = \frac{\sin(\log t) - 3 \cos(\log t)}{t^3}, \quad (21)$$

$t \geq 1$ , satisfies all the conditions of Corollary 2 with  $p(t) = 1 + \sin(\log t)/t$ . Thus every solution  $x(t)$  of (21) such that  $x(t)/t \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$  is either oscillatory or

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} [x(t) - 1 - \sin(\log t)/t] = -1.$$

In fact,  $x(t) = \frac{2 + \sin(\log t)}{t}$  is one such (nonoscillatory) solution.

#### REFERENCES

1. Chanturiya, T. A.: On monotone and oscillatory solutions of higher order ordinary differential equations, *Ann. Polon. Math.*, 37 (1980), 93—111. (Russian).
2. Chen, L. S.—Yeh, C. C.: On oscillation of solutions of higher order differential retarded inequalities, *Houston J. Math.*, 5 (1979), 37—40.
3. Foster, K. E.—Grimmer, R. C.: Nonoscillatory solutions of higher order differential equations, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 71 (1979), 1—17.
4. Grace, S. R.—Lalli, B. S.: On oscillation of solutions on n-th order delay differential equations, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 91 (1983), 328—339.

5. Grace, S. R.—Lalli, B. S.: On oscillation of solutions of higher order differential retarded inequalities, *Houston J. Math.*, 10 (1984), 173—179.
6. Grace, S. R.—Lalli, B. S.: Corrigendum to "On oscillation of solutions of higher order differential retarded inequalities", *Houston J. Math.*, 10 (1984), 453—455.
7. Jaroš, J.: On oscillation of certain class of solutions of higher order functional differential equations, *Proceedings of the colloquium on Qualitative Theory of Differential Equations (Szeged, Hungary, August 27—31, 1984)*.
8. Jaroš, J.: Oscillation criteria for functional differential inequalities with strongly bounded forcing term, *Hiroshima Math. J.*, 16 (1986), 639—649.
9. Kim, W. J.: Monotone and oscillatory solutions of  $y^{(n)} + p(t)y = 0$ , *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 62 (1977), 77—82.
10. Kusano, T.—Onose, H.: Oscillatory and asymptotic behavior of sublinear retarded differential equations, *Hiroshima Math. J.*, 4 (1974), 343—355.
11. Kusano, T.—Onose, H.: Oscillation of functional differential equations with retarded argument, *J. Differential Equations*, 15 (1974), 269—277.
12. Kusano, T.: Oscillation theory of higher-order ordinary and functional differential equations with forcing terms, *Proc. Fifth Czechoslovak Conference on Differential Equations and Their Applications, Bratislava, August 24—28, 1981, Teubner, Leipzig, 1982*, 218—221.
13. Kusano, T.—Naito, M.—Tanaka, K.: Oscillatory and asymptotic behaviour of solutions of a class of linear ordinary differential equations, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh*, 90 A (1981), 25—40.
14. McCann, R. C.: On the oscillation of solutions of forced even order nonlinear differential equations, *Hiroshima Math. J.*, 10 (1980), 263—269.
15. Mikunda, J.—Rovder, J.: On nonoscillatory solutions of a class of nonlinear differential equations, *Math. Slovaca*, 36 (1986), 29—38.
16. Naito, M.: Oscillations of differential inequalities with retarded arguments, *Hiroshima Math. J.*, 5 (1975), 187—192.
17. Oláh, R.: Note on the oscillatory behavior of bounded solutions of higher order differential equations with retarded argument, *Arch. Math.*, 14 (1978), 171—174.
18. Philos, Ch. G.: Oscillatory and asymptotic behaviour of all solutions of differential equations with deviating arguments, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh*, 81 A (1978), 195—210.

*Author's address:*

Jaroslav Jaroš  
 Katedra matematickej analýzy MFF UK  
 Mlynská dolina  
 842 15 Bratislava

Received: 15. 9. 1986

## SÚHRN

### O OSCILÁCIÍ URČITEJ TRIEDY RIEŠENÍ RETARDOVANÝCH DIFERENCIÁLNYCH NEROVNÍC

Jaroslav Jaroš, Bratislava

V práci sú dokázané kritéria oscilatoričnosti určitých špeciálnych riešení nelineárnych diferenciálnych nerovnic s oneskoreným argumentom

$$x(t) \left\{ L_n x(t) + \sum_{i=1}^m p_i(t) f_i(x(g_i(t))) - h(t) \right\} \leq 0, \quad n \text{ nepárne,}$$

$$x(t) \left\{ L_n x(t) - \sum_{i=1}^m p_i(t) f_i(x(g_i(t))) - h(t) \right\} \geq 0, \quad n \text{ párne,}$$

kde  $L_n$  je zovšeobecnený diskonjugovaný diferenciálny operátor a  $h(t)$  reprezentuje silne ohraničenú nútiacu funkciu.

#### РЕЗЮМЕ

#### О КОЛЕБЛЕМОСТИ НЕКОТОРОГО КЛАССА РЕШЕНИЙ ДИФФЕРЕНЦИАЛЬНЫХ НЕРАВЕНСТВ С ЗАПАЗДЫВАЮЩИМ АРГУМЕНТОМ

Ярослав Ярош, Братислава

В работе доказаны признаки колеблемости некоторых специальных решений нелинейных дифференциальных неравенств с запаздывающим аргументом

$$x(t) \left\{ L_n x(t) + \sum_{i=1}^m p_i(t) f_i(x(g_i(t))) - h(t) \right\} \leq 0, \quad n \text{ нечетное,}$$

$$x(t) \left\{ L_n x(t) - \sum_{i=1}^m p_i(t) f_i(x(g_i(t))) - h(t) \right\} \geq 0, \quad n \text{ четное,}$$

где  $L_n$  обобщенный осцилляционный дифференциальный оператор и  $h(t)$  представляет сильно ограниченную вынуждающую функцию.

