

Werk

Label: Article

Jahr: 1987

PURL: https://resolver.sub.uni-goettingen.de/purl?312901348_52-53|log19

Kontakt/Contact

[Digizeitschriften e.V.](#)
SUB Göttingen
Platz der Göttinger Sieben 1
37073 Göttingen

✉ info@digizeitschriften.de

**BOUNDED OSCILLATIONS OF HIGHER-ORDER FUNCTIONAL
DIFFERENTIAL INEQUALITIES INDUCED BY FORCING
FUNCTIONS**

JAROSLAV JAROŠ, Bratislava

One of important problems in the oscillation theory of nonlinear differential equations and inequalities is the problem of oscillations which are caused by forcing terms and which do not appear in the corresponding unforced equations and inequalities. For some results in this direction we refer the reader in particular to the papers of Graef et al. [2—4], Jones and Rankin [5], Kartsatos [6], Kartsatos and Manougian [7, 8], Kusano [9], Kusano and Naito [10], Lovelady [11], Singh and Kusano [13] and Vencková [14]. Most of these studies have considered the perturbations which represent the unbounded oscillatory functions. However, an obvious example of the equation

$$x''(t) - x(t) = -2 \sin t$$

which admits bounded oscillatory solution $x(t) = \sin t$ and for which the corresponding homogeneous equation is nonoscillatory, indicates that the oscillation of at least all bounded solutions can be generated also by bounded forcings.

The purpose of this note is to show that under certain conditions such a situation really occurs. Our results extend the oscillation theorem of Lovelady [11] concerning the linear ordinary differential equation

$$x^{(n)}(t) + (-1)^{n+1}q(t)x(t) = h(t)$$

to the more general nonlinear functional differential inequalities

$$x(t)\{L_n x(t) + f(t, x(g_1(t)), \dots, x(g_m(t))) - h(t)\} \leq 0 \quad (1)$$

for n odd,

and

$$x(t)\{L_n x(t) - f(t, x(g_1(t)), \dots, x(g_m(t))) - h(t)\} \geq 0 \quad (2)$$

for n even,

where $n \geq 2$ and L_n is the general disconjugate differential operator defined by $L_0 x(t) = x(t)$ and

$$L_k x(t) = a_k(t)(L_{k-1} x(t))', \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n, \quad a_n(t) = 1.$$

We shall assume that $a_i(t)$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$, are positive and continuous functions on $[t_0, \infty)$ and the operator L_n is in the so-called first canonical form in the sense that

$$\int_{t_0}^{\infty} a_i^{-1}(t) dt = \infty \quad (3)$$

for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$. In what follows we use $\mathcal{D}(L_n)$ to denote the set of all real-valued functions $y(t)$ defined on $[t_y, \infty)$, $t_y \geq t_0$, and such that $L_i y(t)$, $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$, exist and are continuous on $[t_y, \infty)$.

We restrict our considerations only to those solutions $x(t)$ of (1) (or (2)) which exist on some ray $[t_x, \infty)$, $t_x \geq t_0$, and are nontrivial on any neighbourhood of infinity. Such a solution is called oscillatory if it has arbitrarily large zeros in $[t_x, \infty)$, and it is called nonoscillatory otherwise.

We shall use the following notation:

$$y^+(t) = \max\{y(t), 0\}, \quad y^-(t) = \max\{-y(t), 0\},$$

$$\langle y, g \rangle^+(t) = (y^+(g_1(t)), \dots, y^+(g_m(t))),$$

$$\langle y, g \rangle^-(t) = (y^-(g_1(t)), \dots, y^-(g_m(t))),$$

$$\omega_1(t, T) = \int_T^t \frac{1}{a_1(s)} ds,$$

$$\omega_k(t, T) = \int_T^t \frac{1}{a_k(s)} \omega_{k-1}(s, T) ds, \quad k = 2, 3, \dots, n-1, \quad t \geq T \geq t_0.$$

For the inequalities (1) and (2) the following conditions will be assumed without further mention:

- (i) $h \in C([t_0, \infty), R)$ and there exists a bounded oscillatory function $p \in \mathcal{D}(L_n)$ such that $L_n(t) = h(t)$ on $[t_0, \infty)$ and

$$a = \liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} p(t) < 0 < \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} p(t) = b;$$

- (ii) $f \in C([t_0, \infty) \times R^m, R)$ has the following properties:

$$f(t, x_1, \dots, x_m) > 0 \text{ for } (x_1, \dots, x_m) \in R_+, \quad t \geq t_0,$$

$$f(t, x_1, \dots, x_m) < 0 \text{ for } (x_1, \dots, x_m) \in R_-, \quad t \geq t_0,$$

where $R_+ = (0, \infty)$ and $R_- = (-\infty, 0)$, and, moreover, for any $y \in \mathcal{D}(L_n)$

and any $t_1 \geq t_0$ such that

$$y(t) \geq (p(t) - a)^+, \text{ resp. } -y(t) \geq (p(t) - b)^-,$$

on $[t_1, \infty)$, there exists $t_2 \geq t_1$ such that

$$f(t, \langle y, g \rangle(t)) \geq f(t, \langle p - a, g \rangle^+(t)),$$

resp.

$$f(t, \langle -y, g \rangle(t)) \geq f(t, \langle p - b, g \rangle^-(t)),$$

on $[t_2, \infty)$;

$$(iii) \ g_i \in C([t_0, \infty), R), \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} g_i(t) = \infty, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m.$$

Theorem 1. Suppose that the conditions (i)—(iii) are satisfied. If, moreover,

$$\int_T^\infty \omega_{n-1}(\tau, T) f(\tau, \langle p - a, g \rangle^+(\tau)) d\tau = \infty \quad (4)$$

and

$$\int_T^\infty \omega_{n-1}(\tau, T) f(\tau, \langle p - b, g \rangle^-(\tau)) d\tau = \infty \quad (5)$$

for every $T \geq t_0$, then all bounded solutions of (1) (or (2)) are oscillatory.

Proof. In order to avoid repetition, we consider only the inequality (1).

Let $x(t)$ be a bounded nonoscillatory solution of (1). Assume that this solution is positive for $t \geq t_1 \geq t_0$. By (iii), we choose $t_2 \geq t_1$ such that $x(g_i(t)) > 0$ for $t \geq t_2$ and $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$. Let $u(t) = x(t) - p(t)$. Then, in view of (1) and (ii), we obtain that

$$L_n u(t) \leq -f(t, x(g_1(t)), \dots, x(g_m(t)) < 0$$

for $t \geq t_2$. Consequently, by taking into account the fact that the function $L_0 u(t)$ is bounded and eventually positive, from the well-known generalized Kiguradze's Lemma (see for example [12]) it follows that there is a $t_3 \geq t_2$ such that

$$(-1)^k L_k u(t) > 0 \quad (6)$$

for $t \geq t_3$ and $k = 0, 1, \dots, n$. In particular, $L_1 u(t) < 0$ for $t \geq t_3$, and so $u(t)$ is decreasing on $[t_3, \infty)$.

We claim that $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} u(t) \geq -a$. Indeed, in the opposite case we have

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t) - a \leq \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} (x(t) - p(t)) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} u(t) < -a,$$

which contradicts the positivity of $x(t)$. Therefore, $u(t) \geq -a$ for $t \geq t_3$ and, consequently,

$$x(t) \geq p(t) - a, \quad t \geq t_3.$$

Since $x(t) > 0$ for $t \geq t_3$, this implies

$$x(t) \geq (p(t) - a)^+. \quad (7)$$

On the other hand, it is easy to verify that

$$u(t) \leq u(t_3) + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} (-1)^{j-1} L_j \mu(t) \omega_j(t, t_3) - \int_{t_3}^t \omega_{n-1}(\tau, t_3) f(\tau, \langle x, g \rangle(\tau)) d\tau$$

and so, by (6), (7) and (ii),

$$u(t) \leq u(t_3) - \int_{t_3}^t \omega_{n-1}(\tau, t_3) f(\tau, \langle p - a, g \rangle^+(\tau)) d\tau$$

for $t \geq t_3$.

Finally, letting $t \rightarrow \infty$ and taking (4) into account, we get the contradiction to the positivity of $u(t)$.

A similar argument holds for $x(t) < 0$, and this completes the proof.

Example 1. For an illustration consider the equation

$$\begin{aligned} (e^{-t} x'(t))' - e^{-\frac{\pi}{2} t} x\left(t - \frac{\pi}{2}\right) - e^{-\pi} x(t - \pi) = \\ = e^{-2t} (3 \cos t - \sin t) - e^{-t} (\cos t + \sin t), \quad t \geq \pi. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

All the conditions of Theorem 1 are satisfied with $p(t) = (1 - e^{-t}) \sin t$, $a = -1$ and $b = 1$. Consequently, all bounded solutions of (8) are oscillatory. One such solution is $x(t) = -e^{-t} \sin t$.

Example 2. All assumptions of Theorem 1 hold also in the case of the nonlinear equation

$$x''(t) - \frac{4}{3} x^3(t - \pi) = -\frac{1}{3} \sin 3t, \quad (9)$$

where we have $p(t) = \sin 3t/27$. Thus every bounded solution oscillates. For example, $x(t) = \sin t$ is one such solution.

Following the results of Grace and Lalli in [1], the oscillation criterion given in Theorem 1 can be easily extended to the set of solutions $x(t)$ of (1) (or (2)) with the property $x(t)/\alpha_1(t) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$, where

$$\alpha_1(t) = \int_{t_0}^t \frac{1}{a_1(s)} ds.$$

This extension is based on the following lemma which can be proved analogously as Theorem 1 in [1].

Lemma. Let the conditions (i)—(iii) be satisfied. If $x(t)$ is a nonoscillatory solution of (1) (or (2)) such that

$$x(t)/\alpha_1(t) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty,$$

then there exists a $t_1 \geq t_0$ such that the function $u(t) = x(t) - p(t)$ satisfies

$$(-1)^k u(t) L_k u(t) > 0 \quad (10)$$

for $t \geq t_1$ and $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

Theorem 2. Suppose that the conditions of Theorem 1 hold. Then every solution $x(t)$ of (1) (or (2)) such that

$$x(t)/\alpha_1(t) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty$$

is oscillatory.

The proof of this theorem follows along the lines of that of Theorem 1, and so we omit it.

REFERENCES

1. Grace, S. R.—Lalli, B. S.: On oscillation of solutions of n -th order delay differential equations, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 91 (1983), 328—339.
2. Graef, J. R.: Oscillation, nonoscillation, and growth of solutions of nonlinear functional differential equations of arbitrary order, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 60 (1977), 398—409.
3. Graef, J. R.—Kusano, T.: Oscillation and nonoscillation criteria for a class of forced differential equations with deviating arguments, *Bull. Inst. Math. Acad. Sinica* 12 (1984), 211—217.
4. Graef, J. R.—Spikes, P. W.: Asymptotic properties of solutions of functional differential equations of arbitrary order, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 60 (1977), 339—348.
5. Jones, G. D.—Rankin III, S. M.: Oscillation of a forced nonlinear second order differential equation, *Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei Rend. Cl. Sci. Fis. Mat. Natur. Ser. 8*, 59 (1975), 702—705.
6. Kartsatos, A. G.: Oscillation and existence of unique positive solution for nonlinear n -th order equations with forcing term, *Hiroshima Math. J.* 6 (1976), 1—6.
7. Kartsatos, A. G.—Manougian, M. N.: Perturbations causing oscillations of functional-differential equations, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 43 (1974), 111—117.
8. Kartsatos, A. G.—Manougian, M. N.: Further results on oscillation of functional-differential equations, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 53 (1976), 28—37.
9. Kusano, T.: Oscillation theory of higher-order ordinary and functional differential equations with forcing terms, *Proc. Fifth Czechoslovak Conference on Differential Equations and Their Applications*, Bratislava, August 24—28, 1981, Teubner, Leipzig, 1982, 218—221.
10. Kusano, T.—Naito, M.: Oscillation criteria for a class of perturbed Schrödinger equations, *Canad. Math. Bull.* 25 (1982), 71—77.
11. Lovelady, D. L.: Oscillations induced by forcing functions, *Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei Rend. Cl. Sci. Fis. Mat. Natur.*, 60 (1976), 210—212.

12. Philos, Ch. G.: Oscillatory and asymptotic behaviour of all solutions of differential equations with deviating arguments, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A 81 (1978), 195—210.
13. Singh, B.—Kusano, T.: Forced oscillations in functional differential equations with deviating arguments, Arch. Math. (Brno) 19 (1983), 9—18.
14. Vencková, M. Oscillation of a forced nonlinear differential equation, Arch. Math. (Brno) 20 (1984), 59—68.

Author's address:

Jaroslav Jaroš
Katedra matematickej analýzy MFF UK
Mlynská dolina
842 15 Bratislava

Received: 20. 12. 1985

SÚHRN

OHRANIČENÉ OSCILÁCIE FUNKCIONÁLNYCH DIFERENCIÁLNYCH NEROVNÍC VYŠŠÍCH RÁDOV INDUKOVANÉ NÚTIACIMI FUNKCIAMI

Jaroslav Jaroš, Bratislava

V práci je dokázané kritérium oscilatoričnosti všetkých ohraničených riešení nelineárnych funkcionálnych diferenciálnych nerovnic

$$x(t)\{L_n x(t) + f(t, x(g_1(t)), \dots, x(g_m(t))) - h(t)\} \leq 0, n \text{ nepárne,}$$

$$x(t)\{L_n x(t) - f(t, x(g_1(t)), \dots, x(g_m(t))) - h(t)\} \geq 0, n \text{ párne,}$$

kde $n \geq 2$, L_n je zovšeobecnený diskonjugovaný diferenciálny operátor a $h(t)$ reprezentuje ohraničenú oscilatorickú nútiacu silu.

РЕЗЮМЕ

ОГРАНИЧЕННЫЕ КОЛЕБАНИЯ ДИФФЕРЕНЦИАЛЬНО-ФУНКЦИОНАЛЬНЫХ НЕРАВЕНСТВ ВЫСШИХ ПОРЯДКОВ ИНДУЦИРОВАННЫЕ ВЫНУЖДАЮЩИМИ ФУНКЦИЯМИ

Ярослав Ярош, Братислава

В работе доказан признак колеблемости всех ограниченных решений нелинейных дифференциально-функциональных неравенств

$$x(t)\{L_n x(t) + f(t, x(g_1(t)), \dots, x(g_m(t))) - h(t)\} \leq 0, n \text{ нечетное,}$$

$$x(t)\{L_n x(t) - f(t, x(g_1(t)), \dots, x(g_m(t))) - h(t)\} \geq 0, n \text{ четное,}$$

где $n \geq 2$, L_n обобщенный осцилляционный дифференциальный оператор и $h(t)$ представляет ограниченную колеблющуюся вынуждающую силу.