

## Werk

**Label:** Article

**Jahr:** 1987

**PURL:** [https://resolver.sub.uni-goettingen.de/purl?312901348\\_50-51|log9](https://resolver.sub.uni-goettingen.de/purl?312901348_50-51|log9)

## Kontakt/Contact

[Digizeitschriften e.V.](#)  
SUB Göttingen  
Platz der Göttinger Sieben 1  
37073 Göttingen

✉ [info@digizeitschriften.de](mailto:info@digizeitschriften.de)

## ON LORENTZ—ORLICZ SPACES

JANINA EWERT, SLUPSK — TIBOR ŠALÁT, BRATISLAVA

### Introduction

Let  $X$  be a linear space. A functional  $\varrho: X \rightarrow \langle 0, +\infty \rangle$  is said to be convex modular on  $X$  if

1.  $\varrho(x) = 0$  if and only if  $x = 0$ ;
2.  $\varrho(-x) = \varrho(x)$  for  $x \in X$ ;
3.  $\varrho(\alpha x + \beta y) \leq \alpha\varrho(x) + \beta\varrho(y)$  for each  $x, y \in X$  and  $\alpha \geq 0, \beta \geq 0, \alpha + \beta = 1$  (cf. [5], p. 5)

The set  $X_\varrho = \{x \in X: \exists_{t>0} \varrho(tx) < +\infty\}$  is a linear subspace of  $X$ . We can define on  $X_\varrho$  the norm

$$\|x\| = \inf \left\{ t > 0: \varrho\left(\frac{x}{t}\right) \leq 1 \right\}$$

The space  $(X_\varrho, \|\cdot\|)$  is called the modular space determined by the modular  $\varrho$  (cf. [5], p.6).

An Orlicz function is a continuous non-decreasing and convex function  $f: \langle 0, +\infty \rangle \rightarrow \langle 0, +\infty \rangle$  with  $f(0) = 0, f(+\infty) = +\infty$  and  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} f(t) = +\infty$ .

If  $f(t) = 0$  for some  $t > 0$ , then  $f$  is called a degenerate Orlicz function (cf. [4], p. 137).

An Orlicz function  $f$  satisfies the  $\Delta_2$ -condition for small  $t$  if there exist  $K > 0, t_0 > 0$  such that  $f(2t) \leq Kf(t)$  for each  $t \in \langle 0, t_0 \rangle$  (cf. [4]).

Let  $f$  be a non-degenerate Orlicz function whose right derivative  $P$  satisfies  $P(0) = 0$  and  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} P(t) = +\infty$ . Put  $Q(u) = \sup\{t: P(t) \leq u\}$  ( $u \geq 0$ ) and  $f^*(t) = \int_0^t Q(u) du$  ( $t \geq 0$ ). Then  $f^*$  is also a non-degenerate Orlicz function and it is called the function complementary to  $f$ .

For any  $u \geq 0, v \geq 0$  the following Young's inequality holds:

$$u \cdot v \leq f(u) + f^*(v)$$

(cf. [4], p. 147).

In what follows  $s$  stands for the linear space of all real sequences. Further we put

$$e_n = \underbrace{0, 0, \dots, 0}_{n-1}, 1, 0, \dots \quad (n \geq 1)$$

Let  $\pi$  be a permutation of the set  $N$  of all positive integers and let  $x = \{\xi_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \in s$ . Then we shall denote by  $x_\pi$  the sequence  $\{\xi_{\pi(n)}\}_{n=1}^\infty$ .

Let  $a = \{a_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  be a sequence of real numbers with  $1 = a_1 \geq a_2 \geq \dots \geq a_n \geq a_{n+1} \geq \dots, a_n \rightarrow 0, \sum_{n=1}^\infty a_n = +\infty$ . Let  $f$  be a non-degenerate Orlicz function. For  $x = \{\xi_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \in s$  we put

$$\varrho(x) = \sup_{\pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f(|\xi_n|) a_{\pi(n)} = \sup_{\pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f(|\xi_{\pi(n)}|) a_n$$

where the supremum is taken over all permutations  $\pi$  of the set  $N$ . Then  $\varrho$  is a convex modular on  $s$  (cf. [2]) and

$$d(a, f) = \{x \in s: \exists_{t > 0} \varrho(tx) < +\infty\}$$

is a modular space called a Lorentz—Orlicz space (cf. [2]).

In what follows  $l_\infty$  stands for the linear space of all bounded real sequences,  $c_0$  denotes the linear space of all real sequences converging to 0.

## 1. Applications of the category method in the theory of Lorentz—Orlicz spaces

In what follows we shall use the following result from [2]:

### Theorem A.

- (i) The space  $d(a, f)$  is a Banach space;
- (ii) We have  $d(a, f) \subset c_0$ ;
- (iii) If  $x \in d(a, f)$ , then  $x_\pi \in d(a, f)$  for each permutation  $\pi$  of the set  $N$  and  $\varrho(x) = \varrho(x_\pi)$ ;
- (iv) We have

$$\{x \in d(a, f): \varrho(x) \leq 1\} = \{x \in d(a, f): \|x\| \leq 1\}$$

- (v) If the function  $f$  satisfies the  $\Delta_2$ -condition for small  $t$ , then each  $x = \{\xi_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \in d(a, f)$  has the form  $x = \sum_{n=1}^\infty \xi_n e_n$  and  $d(a, f) = \{x \in s: \forall_{t > 0} \varrho(tx) <$

$< +\infty$ . If  $y = \{\eta_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \in d(a, f^*)$  ( $f^*$  being the complementary function to  $f$ ), then we get from the Young's inequality:

$$(1) \quad \sup_{\pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\xi_n \eta_{\pi(n)}| a_n < +\infty$$

for each  $x = \{\xi_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \in d(a, f)$ .

**Theorem 1.1.** Let a non-degenerate Orlicz function  $f$  satisfy the  $\Delta_2$ -condition for small  $t$ . Let  $y = \{\eta_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  be a bounded sequence of real numbers such that there exists a  $c = \{\gamma_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \in d(a, f)$  with

$$(2) \quad \sup_{\pi} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\gamma_j \eta_{\pi(j)}| a_j = +\infty.$$

Then the set

$$M = \left\{ x = \{\xi_j\}_{j=1}^\infty \in d(a, f) : \sup_{\pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\xi_n \eta_{\pi(n)}| a_n < +\infty \right\}$$

is an  $F_\sigma$  — set of the first Baire category in  $d(a, f)$ .

**Remark 1.1.** It follows from (1) and (2) that  $y = \{\eta_j\}_{j=1}^\infty \notin d(a, f^*)$  and  $\eta_n \neq 0$  for an infinite number of  $n$ 's.

**Proof.** Put

$$C_k = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\{ x = \{\xi_j\}_{j=1}^\infty \in d(a, f) : \sup_{\pi} \sum_{j=1}^n |\xi_j \eta_{\pi(j)}| a_j > k \right\}.$$

We shall show that  $C_k \neq \emptyset$  ( $k = 1, 2, \dots$ ).

Since  $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_j = +\infty$ , there exists an  $n_0$  such that for each  $n \geq n_0$  we have

$$(3) \quad \sum_{j=1}^n a_j > k.$$

Since  $\eta_n \neq 0$  for infinitely many  $n$ 's we can choose  $j_1 < j_2 < \dots < j_n$  such that  $\eta_{j_i} \neq 0$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ). Let us put

$$\xi_{j_i} = \eta_{j_i}^{-1} \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, n)$$

$$\xi_m = 0 \quad \text{for } m \neq j_i \ (i = 1, 2, \dots)$$

and  $x = \{\xi_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ . Then on account of (3) the sequence  $x$  belongs to  $C_k$ . Hence  $C_k \neq \emptyset$ .

We shall show that  $C_k$  ( $k = 1, 2, \dots$ ) is an open set in  $d(a, f)$ .

Let  $x^0 = \{\xi_j^0\}_{j=1}^\infty \in C_k$ . Then there is an  $n$  such that

$$\sup_{\pi} \sum_{j=1}^n |\xi_j^0 \eta_{\pi(j)}| a_j > k.$$

Choose  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $\delta > 0$  in such a way that

$$(4) \quad \sup_{\pi} \sum_{j=1}^n |\xi_j^0 \eta_{\pi(j)}| a_j - \varepsilon > k,$$

$$\delta \sup_{\pi} \sum_{j=1}^n |\eta_{\pi(j)}| a_j < \varepsilon$$

and  $0 < \delta_1 = f(\delta) < 1$ .

Let  $x = \{\xi_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty} \in d(a, f)$  satisfy the condition  $\|x - x^0\| < \delta_1 < 1$ . According to Theorem A (iv) we have  $\varrho\left(\frac{x - x^0}{\delta_1}\right) \leq 1$ . From this we get

$$\sup_{\pi} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} f(|\xi_j - \xi_j^0|) a_{\pi(j)} \leq \delta_1 = f(\delta).$$

Hence for each  $j$  we have  $f(|\xi_j - \xi_j^0|) < f(\delta)$  and so  $|\xi_j - \xi_j^0| < \delta$  ( $j = 1, 2, \dots$ ).

So for every permutation  $\pi$  of the set  $N$  the following inequalities hold:

$$\sum_{j=1}^n |\xi_j \eta_{\pi(j)}| a_j \geq \sum_{j=1}^n |\xi_j^0 \eta_{\pi(j)}| a_j - \sum_{j=1}^n |\xi_j - \xi_j^0| |\eta_{\pi(j)}| a_j > \sum_{j=1}^n |\xi_j^0 \eta_{\pi(j)}| a_j - \varepsilon.$$

According to (4) this gives

$$\sup_{\pi} \sum_{j=1}^n |\xi_j \eta_{\pi(j)}| a_j > k,$$

i.e.  $\{x \in d(a, f) : \|x - x^0\| < \delta_1\} \subset C_k$ . Hence  $C_k$  ( $k = 1, 2, \dots$ ) is an open set.

According to our assumption the sequence  $c = \{\gamma_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  belongs to the set

$$C = \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} C_k.$$

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . According to Theorem A (v) there exists a  $p \in N$  such that

$$(5) \quad \left\| \sum_{j=p+1}^{\infty} \xi_j e_j \right\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \quad \left\| \sum_{j=p+1}^{\infty} \gamma_j e_j \right\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

Put  $\beta_j = \xi_j$  for  $j \leq p$  and  $\beta_j = \gamma_j$  for  $j > p$ . Then it can be easily checked that  $w = \{\beta_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty} \in C$  and using (5) we see that

$$\|x - w\| = \left\| \sum_{j=p+1}^{\infty} (\xi_j - \beta_j) e_j \right\| < \varepsilon.$$

Thus  $C$  is a dense  $G_{\delta}$  set in  $d(a, f)$ . Hence  $C$  is a residual set in  $d(a, f)$  (see Theorem A (i)). Since  $M = d(a, f) \setminus C$ , the assertion follows.

Let  $f$  be a non-degenerate Orlicz function, let  $f^*$  be the complementary function to  $f$ . Denote by  $\varrho$  and  $\varrho^*$  the modulars determined by  $f$  and  $f^*$ , respectively. Similarly denote by  $\|\cdot\|$  and  $\|\cdot\|^*$  the norms in  $d(a, f)$  and  $d(a, f^*)$ , respectively.

**Theorem 1.2.** Let both of  $f$  and  $f^*$  satisfy the  $\Delta_2$ -condition for small  $t$ . Then

- a)  $\| \|x\| \| = \sup \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\xi_j \eta_j| a_j; \varrho^*(y) \leq 1 \right\}$  is a norm on  $d(a, f)$ ;  
 b) For each  $x = \{\xi_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty} \in d(a, f)$  and  $y = \{\eta_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty} \in d(a, f^*)$  the following inequalities are satisfied:

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\xi_n \eta_n| a_n \leq \| \|x\| \| \cdot \|y\|^*,$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\xi_n \eta_n| a_n \leq \|x\| \cdot \| \|y\|^*,$$

where  $\| \| \| \cdot \| \|$  is a norm on  $d(a, f^*)$  defined by the same manner as  $\| \| \|$ .

**Proof.** a) If

$$x = \{\xi_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty} \in d(a, f), y = \{\eta_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty} \in d(a, f^*)$$

and  $\varrho^*(y) \leq 1$ , then

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\xi_j \eta_j| a_j \leq \varrho(x) + 1$$

Hence  $\| \|x\| \| < +\infty$  for each  $x \in d(a, f)$  and obviously  $\| \| \|$  is a norm on  $d(a, f)$ .

b) Let

$$x = \{\xi_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \in d(a, f), y = \{\eta_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \in d(a, f^*)$$

and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then

$$\varrho^* \left( \frac{y}{\|y\|^* + \varepsilon} \right) \leq 1$$

and

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|\xi_n \eta_n|}{\|y\|^* + \varepsilon} a_n \leq \| \|x\| \|$$

by the definition of the norm  $\| \| \|$ . It implies

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\xi_n \eta_n| a_n \leq \| \|x\| \| \cdot \|y\|^*$$

The proof of the second inequality is analogous. The proof is finished.

## 2. Some bitopological properties of Lorentz—Orlicz spaces

A set  $X$  with two topologies  $T_1$  and  $T_2$ , i.e. the triplet  $(X, T_1, T_2)$  is called a bitopological space (cf. [3]). A bitopological space  $(X, T_1, T_2)$  is pairwise Haus-

dorff ([3], [6]) if for each distinct points  $x, y \in X$  there exist disjoint sets  $U \in T_i, V \in T_j, i \neq j, i, j = 1, 2$ , such that  $x \in U, y \in V$ .

In  $(X, T_1, T_2)$  the topology  $T_1$  is said to be — regular with respect to  $T_2$  (cf. [3], [6], [7]) if for every  $U \in T_1$  and  $x \in U$  there exists a set  $V \in T_1$  such that  $x \in V \subset \bar{V}^{(2)} \subset U$ , where  $\bar{V}^{(2)}$  denotes the  $T_2$  — closure of  $V$ ;

— perfect with respect to  $T_2$  (cf. [1], [6]) if every  $T_1$  — open set  $U \subset X$  is an  $F_\sigma$  — set in  $(X, T_2)$ .

A bitopological space is said to be:

— pairwise regular (pairwise perfect) if  $T_i$  is regular (perfect) with respect to  $T_j$  for  $i \neq j, i, j = 1, 2$  (cf. [3], [6], [7]);

— pairwise normal (cf. [1], [3]) if for every  $T_i$  — closed set  $A$  and  $T_j$  — closed set  $B$  such that  $A \cap B = \emptyset$  there exist  $U \in T_j, V \in T_i$  with  $A \subset U, B \subset V, U \cap V = \emptyset$  for  $i, j = 1, 2, i \neq j$ ;

— pairwise perfect normal if it is pairwise perfect and pairwise normal.

The following result is known (cf. [1], Lema 2.4):

**Theorem B.** In  $(X, T_1, T_2)$  the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i)  $(X, T_1, T_2)$  is pairwise perfect normal;
- (ii) For each  $T_i$  — open set  $W$  there exists a sequence  $\{W_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  of  $T_i$  — open sets such that  $W = \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty W_n$

and

$$\bar{W}_n^{(j)} \subset W_{n+1} \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots; i, j = 1, 2, i \neq j).$$

Let  $f, g$  be non-degenerate Orlicz functions, let  $a = \{a_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, b = \{b_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  be two sequences from  $c_0 \setminus l^1$  such that

$$1 = a_1 \geq a_2 \geq \dots \geq a_n \geq a_{n+1} \geq \dots$$

$$1 = b_1 \geq b_2 \geq \dots \geq b_n \geq b_{n+1} \geq \dots$$

It is easy to verify that  $l^1 \subset d(a, f) \cap d(b, g)$ . So  $d(a, f) \cap d(b, g)$  is a non-trivial linear space.

Let

$$\varrho_1(x) = \sup_{\pi} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} f(|\xi_{\pi(j)}|) a_j,$$

$$\varrho_2(x) = \sup_{\pi} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} g(|\xi_{\pi(j)}|) b_j,$$

where  $x = \{\xi_j\}_{j=1}^\infty \in d(a, f) \cap d(b, g)$ .

By  $\|\cdot\|_1$  and  $\|\cdot\|_2$  we denote the norms determined by modulars  $\varrho_1$  and  $\varrho_2$ , respectively. We shall use the symbol  $K_i(x, r)$  to denote the  $T_i$  — open ball ( $i = 1, 2$ ) with center  $x$  and radius  $r > 0$  in  $d(a, f) \cap d(b, g)$ . Moreover, let  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  be

the topologies on  $d(a, f) \cap d(a, g)$  induced by norms  $\| \cdot \|_1$  and  $\| \cdot \|_2$ , respectively. Thus  $(d(a, f) \cap d(b, g), T_1, T_2)$  can be considered as a bitopological space.

**Theorem 2.1** Let  $f$  and  $g$  be non-degenerate Orlicz functions, let  $f$  and  $g$  satisfy the  $\Delta_2$  — condition for small  $t$ . Then the following assertions hold:

- a) The bitopological space  $(d(a, f) \cap d(b, g), T_1, T_2)$  is pairwise Hausdorff;
- b) For each  $x \in d(a, f) \cap d(b, g)$  and  $r > 0$  the set  $\bar{K}_i^{(r)}(x, r)$  is  $T_j$  — closed for  $i, j = 1, 2$ ;
- c) The space  $(d(a, f) \cap d(b, g), T_1, T_2)$  is pairwise regular;
- d) The space  $(d(a, f) \cap d(b, g), T_1, T_2)$  is pairwise perfect normal.

**Proof.**

a) Let  $x = \{\xi_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$  and  $y = \{\beta_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$  be two distinct points from  $d(a, f) \cap d(b, g)$ . Because  $x, y \in c_0$  (see Theorem A (ii)) we have

$$0 < r = \frac{1}{4} \sup_{j=1,2,\dots} |\xi_j - \beta_j| < +\infty.$$

Put

$$U = \{z \in d(a, f) \cap d(b, g) : \|z - x\|_1 < r\},$$

$$V = \{z \in d(a, f) \cap d(b, g) : \|z - y\|_2 < r\}.$$

Then  $U$  is a  $T_1$  — neighbourhood of  $x$  and  $V$  is a  $T_2$  — neighbourhood of  $y$ . Let us suppose that  $z = \{\alpha_j\}_{j=1}^\infty \in U \cap V$ . Then for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  the following inequalities are satisfied:

$$\sup_{\pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f\left(\frac{|\alpha_n - \xi_n|}{\|z - x\|_1 + \varepsilon}\right) a_{\pi(n)} \leq 1,$$

$$\sup_{\pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g\left(\frac{|\alpha_n - \beta_n|}{\|z - y\|_2 + \varepsilon}\right) b_{\pi(n)} \leq 1.$$

If we choose  $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{r}{3}$ , then we have

$$|\alpha_n - \xi_n| \leq \|z - x\|_1 + \varepsilon < \frac{4r}{3} = \frac{1}{3} \sup_{j=1,2,\dots} |\xi_j - \beta_j|,$$

$$|\alpha_n - \beta_n| \leq \|z - y\|_2 + \varepsilon < \frac{4r}{3} = \frac{1}{3} \sup_{j=1,2,\dots} |\xi_j - \beta_j|.$$

These inequalities imply

$$|\xi_n - \beta_n| < \frac{2}{3} \sup_{j=1,2,\dots} |\xi_j - \beta_j|$$



for every  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , which is impossible. Thus we have shown that  $U \cap V = \emptyset$ .

b) For any  $\alpha > 0$ ,  $k \geq 1$  let

$$B_k(\alpha) = \left\{ x = \{\xi_j\}_{j=1}^\infty \in d(a, f) \cap d(b, g) : \sup_\pi \sum_{i=1}^k f(\alpha |\xi_i|) a_{\pi(i)} \leq 1 \right\}.$$

We show that  $B_k(\alpha)$  is a  $T_2$  — closed set. Let  $y = \{\eta_j\}_{j=1}^\infty \in \bar{B}_k^{(2)}(\alpha)$ . Then  $y = T_2 - \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} y_i$ , where  $y_i = \{\eta_j^{(i)}\}_{j=1}^\infty \in B_k(\alpha)$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots$ ).

For any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists an  $i_0$  such that

$$\varrho_2\left(\frac{y_i - y}{\varepsilon}\right) \leq 1,$$

i.e.

$$\sup_\pi \sum_{j=1}^\infty g_j\left(\frac{|\eta_j^{(i)} - \eta_j|}{\varepsilon}\right) b_{\pi(j)} \leq 1$$

for  $i \geq i_0$ .

From this it follows that

$$|\eta_j^{(i)} - \eta_j| \leq \varepsilon g^{-1}(1) \quad (i \geq i_0; j = 1, 2, \dots).$$

So  $\eta_j = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \eta_j^{(i)}$  for each  $j \geq 1$ .

The condition  $y_m \in B_k(\alpha)$  implies

$$\sum_{j=1}^k f(\alpha |\eta_j^{(m)}|) a_{\pi(j)} \leq 1$$

for each permutation  $\pi$  and  $m \geq 1$ . Hence using the continuity of  $f$  we get

$$\sum_{j=1}^k f(\alpha |\eta_j|) a_{\pi(j)} \leq 1$$

for every  $\pi$  and in the consequence

$$\sup_\pi \sum_{j=1}^k f(\alpha |\eta_j|) a_{\pi(j)} \leq 1.$$

Thus  $y \in B_k(\alpha)$ , which means that  $B_k(\alpha)$  is  $T_2$  — closed.

According to Theorem A (iv) for any  $r > 0$  we have

$$\bar{K}_1^{(1)}(0, r) = \left\{ x \in d(a, f) \cap d(b, g) : \varrho_1\left(\frac{x}{r}\right) \leq 1 \right\}.$$

So

$$\bar{K}_1^{(1)}(0, r) = \bigcap_{k=1}^\infty B_k\left(\frac{1}{r}\right).$$

Thus we have shown that  $\bar{K}_1^{(1)}(0, r)$  is  $T_2$  — closed. Moreover the equality  $\bar{K}_1^{(1)}(x, r) = x + \bar{K}_1^{(1)}(0, r)$  implies that  $\bar{K}_1^{(1)}(x, r)$  is  $T_2$  — closed for every  $x \in d(a, f) \cap d(b, g)$  and  $r > 0$ .

c) Let  $U \in T_1$  and  $x \in U$ . Then  $\bar{K}_1^{(1)}(x, r) \subset U$  for some  $r > 0$ . It follows from the part b) that  $\bar{K}_1^{(2)}(x, r) \subset U$ . So  $T_1$  is regular with respect to  $T_2$ . In the same way we can show that  $T_2$  is regular with respect to  $T_1$ . In the same way we can show that  $T_2$  is regular with respect to  $T_1$ . Hence  $(d(a, f) \cap d(b, g), T_1, T_2)$  is pairwise regular.

d) Let  $U$  be any  $T_1$  — open set in  $d(a, f) \cap d(b, g)$ . Since  $(d(a, f) \cap d(b, g), \| \cdot \|_1)$  is separable, we can write

$$U = \bigcup_{i,j=1}^{\infty} K_1(x_i, r_{ij}),$$

where  $\bar{K}_1^{(1)}(x_i, r_{ij}) \subset K_1(x_i, r_{i,j+1})$  for  $i, j \geq 1$ .

Put

$$W_m = \bigcup_{i=1}^m K_1(x_i, r_{i,m+1-i}).$$

Evidently,  $W_m \in T_1$  and  $U = \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} W_m$ . Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{W}_m^{(2)} &= \bigcup_{i=1}^m \bar{K}_1^{(2)}(x_i, r_{i,m+1-i}) \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^m \bar{K}_1^{(1)}(x_i, r_{i,m+1-i}) \subset \\ &\subset \bigcup_{i=1}^m K_1(x_i, r_{i,m+2-i}) \subset W_{m+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Using analogous methods we can show that every  $T_2$  — open set  $U'$  is of the form  $U' = \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} V_m$ , where  $V_m$  are  $T_2$  — open sets such that  $\bar{V}_m^{(1)} \subset V_{m+1}$ .

Thus from Theorem B it follows that the space  $(d(a, f) \cap d(b, g), T_1, T_2)$  is pairwise perfect normal.

From Corollary 1.1 in [1] we have

**Theorem C.** Let  $X$  be a topological space and let  $(Y, T_1, T_2)$  be a bitopological space such that  $T_2$  is second countable and  $T_2$  is perfect with respect to  $T_1$ . If  $\varphi: X \rightarrow Y$  is a  $T_1$  — continuous mapping, then the set  $D(\varphi, T_2)$  of all points at which  $\varphi$  is  $T_2$  — discontinuous is a set of the first Baire category in  $X$ .

**Theorem 2.2.** Let  $f$  and  $g$  be non-degenerate Orlicz functions that satisfy the  $\Delta_2$  — condition for small  $t$ .

a) Every  $T_j$  — open set in  $(d(a, f) \cap d(b, g), T_1, T_2)$  is of the form  $U \cup B$ , where  $U \in T_1$  and  $B$  is of  $T_i$  — first Baire category ( $i, j = 1, 2$ ) (hence every set  $A \in T_j$  has the  $T_i$  — Baire property).

b) The set  $M$  of all  $y \in d(a, f) \cap d(b, g)$  for which there exists a sequence

$\{y_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  of points from  $d(a, f) \cap d(b, g)$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|y_n - y\|_i = 0$  and  $\{\|y_n - y\|_j\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  does not converge to 0, is of the first category in  $(d(a, f) \cap d(b, g), T_i)$  ( $i, j = 1, 2, i \neq j$ ).

c) For each subset  $A \subset d(a, f) \cap d(b, g)$  the set  $\bar{A}^{(i)} \setminus \bar{A}^{(j)}$  is a set of the first Baire category in  $(d(a, f) \cap d(b, g), T_i)$  ( $i, j = 1, 2$ ).

**Proof.**

a) Let  $\varphi: (d(a, f) \cap d(b, g), T_i) \rightarrow (d(a, f) \cap d(b, g), T_1, T_2)$  be the mapping given by  $\varphi(y) = y$  for  $y \in d(a, f) \cap d(b, g)$ . According to Theorem 2.1 the bitopological space  $(d(a, f) \cap d(b, g), T_1, T_2)$  is pairwise perfect. Moreover, both of  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  are second countables. So it follows from Theorem C that  $D(\varphi, T_j)$  is a set of the first category in  $(d(a, f) \cap d(b, g), T_i)$ . But  $D(\varphi, T_j) = \bigcup \{\varphi^{-1}(V) \setminus \text{Int}_{(i)} \varphi^{-1}(V) : V \in T_j\} = \bigcup \{V \setminus \text{Int}_{(i)} V : V \in T_j\}$ ,

where  $\text{Int}_{(i)}$  denotes the  $T_i$ —interior. Hence for each  $V \in T_j$  the set  $V \setminus \text{Int}_{(i)} V$  is of the  $T_i$ —first category and  $V = \text{Int}_{(i)} V \cup (V \setminus \text{Int}_{(i)} V)$  which completes the a). Parts b) and c) follow from a), since  $M = D(\varphi, T_j)$  and  $\bar{A}^{(i)} \setminus \bar{A}^{(j)} \subset M$ . This ends the proof.

#### REFERENCES

1. Ewert, J.: Przekształcenia wielowartościowe i przestrzenie bitopologiczne. Słupsk 1985.
2. Gdański, J.— Heuer, W.: On the Lorentz—Orlicz sequence spaces. Słupskie Prace Mat.-Przyr. 1 (1981), 13—25.
3. Kelly, J. C.: Bitopological spaces. Proc. London Math. Soc. 13 (1963), 71—89.
4. Lindenstrauss, J.—Tzafriri, L.: Classical Banach Spaces. Sequence Spaces. Springer—Verlag, Berlin—Heidelberg—New York, 1977.
5. Musielak, J.: Przestrzenie modularne. Uniwersytet Im. A. Mickiewicza, Poznań, 1978.
6. Patty, C. W.: Bitopological spaces. Duke Math. J. 34 (1967), 387—392.
7. Reilly, I. L.: On bitopological separation properties. Nanta Mathematica 5(1972), 14—25.

*Authors' adresses:*

Janina Ewert  
Zakład matematyki WSP  
Arciszewskiego 22  
70-200 Słupsk, Poland

Tibor Šalát  
Katedra algebry a teórie čísel MFF UK  
Mlynská dolina  
842 15 Bratislava  
Czechoslovakia

Received: 9.12. 1985

## SÚHRN

### O LORENTZOVÝCH — ORLICZOVÝCH PRIESTOROCH

Janina Ewert, Slupsk — Tibor Šalát, Bratislava

Práca pozostáva z dvoch častí. V prvej sú dokázané isté výsledky o štruktúre Lorentzových —Orliczových priestorov z hľadiska Baireových kategórií množín. Druhá časť práce je venovaná štúdiu niektorých bitopologických vlastností Lorentzových—Orliczových priestorov.

## РЕЗЮМЕ

### О ПРОСТРАНСТВАХ ЛОРЕНЦА И ОРЛИЧА

Янина Эверт, Слупск — Тибор Шалат, Братислава

Работ состоит из двух частей. В первой части доказаны некоторые результаты относительно структуры пространств Лоренца и Орлича с точки зрения бэровских категорий множеств. Вторая часть работы посвящена исследованию некоторых свойств битопологических пространств Лоренца и Орлича.

