

Werk

Label: Article

Jahr: 1987

PURL: https://resolver.sub.uni-goettingen.de/purl?312901348_50-51|log29

Kontakt/Contact

Digizeitschriften e.V.
SUB Göttingen
Platz der Göttinger Sieben 1
37073 Göttingen

✉ info@digizeitschriften.de

**CONVERGENCE OF SUBSERIES OF THE HARMONIC SERIES AND
 ASYMPTOTIC DENSITIES OF SETS OF INTEGERS
 (PRELIMINARY ANNOUNCEMENT)**

TIBOR ŠALÁT, Bratislava

There exists a relation between the convergence of subseries

$$(1) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} k_n^{-1}$$

of the harmonic series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-1}$ and asymptotic densities of the sets $K = \{k_1 < k_2 < \dots < k_n < \dots\}$ (see Theorem A). We shall show that this relation cannot be essentially improved.

If $M \subset N = \{1, 2, \dots, n, \dots\}$, then we put

$$M(x) = \sum_{a \in M, a \leq x} 1$$

and

$$d(M) = \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{M(x)}{x}$$

if the limit on the right-hand side exists. The number $d(M)$ is called the asymptotic density of the set M (cf. [1], p. xix).

Theorem A. If $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} k_n^{-1} < +\infty$, then $d(K) = 0$.

For the proof of Theorem A see e.g. [4], Theorem 1. Let us remark that the theorem A can be easily deduced also from the following result:

Let $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$ be a series with real terms, let

$$a_1 \geq a_2 \geq \dots \geq a_n \geq a_{n+1} \geq \dots, \quad a_n \rightarrow 0, \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n = +\infty.$$

Denote by $N(x)$ the number of n 's with $a_n \geq x > 0$. Then we have

$$(2) \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow 0+} x N(x) = 0$$

(cf. [3], [5]). If we put $a_n = k_n^{-1}$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$), then we have

$$N(x) = \# \{n: a_n \geq x\} = \# \{n: k_n \leq x^{-1}\} = K(x^{-1})$$

and hence

$$0 = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0+} x N(x) = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0+} \frac{K(x^{-1})}{x^{-1}} = \lim_{y \rightarrow \infty} \frac{K(y)}{y} = d(K)$$

In the paper [2] the following theorem is introduced (see Theorem 3 from [2]):

Theorem B. If $M \subset N$ and $\sum_{m \in M} m^{-1} < +\infty$, then $c_M = 0$, where

$$c_M = \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} M(x) \frac{\log x}{x}$$

(the author of [2] uses the notation $v_M(x)$ instead of $M(x)$).

The following two examples show that the theorem B does not hold.

Example 1. Put $M = \bigcup_{n=2}^{\infty} M_n$, where

$$M_n = \{n^{n^2} + 1, n^{n^2} + 2, \dots, n^{n^2} + n^{n^2-2}\} \quad (n = 2, 3, \dots)$$

Then a simple estimation yields

$$\sum_{m \in M_n} m^{-1} \leq n^{n^2-2} \frac{1}{n^{n^2}} = \frac{1}{n^2} \quad (n = 2, 3, \dots),$$

Hence $\sum_{m \in M} m^{-1} < +\infty$.

Putting $y_n = n^{n^2} + n^{n^2-2}$ we get

$$M(y_n) \geq n^{n^2-2}, \log y_n \geq n^2 \log n$$

hence

$$M(y_n) \frac{\log y_n}{y_n} \geq n^{n^2-2} \frac{n^2 \log n}{2n^{n^2}} = \frac{\log n}{2} \rightarrow +\infty$$

(as $n \rightarrow \infty$). Therefore we have

$$\limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} M(x) \frac{\log x}{x} = +\infty$$

Example 2. Theorem B is not valid even in the case of subseries of the series $\sum p^{-1}$ (the reciprocals of all prime numbers).

Let $P = \{p_1 < p_2 < \dots < p_n < \dots\}$ be the set of all prime numbers. Put $Q = \bigcup_{n=2}^{\infty} Q_n$, where (writting $p(k)$ instead of p_k)

$$Q_n = \{p(n^{n^2} + 1), p(n^{n^2} + 2), \dots, p(n^{n^2} + t_n)\},$$

$$t_n = [n^{-2}p(n^{n^2})] \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots).$$

A simple estimation yields $\sum_{q \in Q} q^{-1} \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-2} < +\infty$.

Put

$$A_n = Q(y_n) \frac{\log y_n}{y_n} \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots),$$

where

$$y_n = p(n^{n^2} + t_n) \quad (n = 2, 3, \dots).$$

We shall use the well-known Tchebysheff's inequalities

$$a n \log n < p_n < b n \log n \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots),$$

where a, b are positive constants.

We have

$$(3) \quad Q(y_n) \geq t_n > \frac{1}{2} a n^{n^2} \log n \quad (\text{for } n > n_0)$$

Further

$$y_n > a n^{n^2} \cdot n^2 \log n,$$

hence

$$(4) \quad \log y_n > n^2 \log n + O(\log n) \quad (n \geq 2)$$

By a simple estimation we obtain

$$n^{n^2} + t_n \leq n^{n^2}(1 + b \log n)$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} y_n &\leq b(n^{n^2} + t_n) \log(n^{n^2} + t_n) \leq \\ &\leq b n^{n^2}(1 + b \log n) \log\{n^{n^2}(1 + b \log n)\} = \\ &= b^2 n^{n^2+2} \cdot \log^2 n + O(n^{n^2+2} \log n) \end{aligned}$$

Thus we get

$$(5) \quad y_n \leq b^2 n^{n^2+2} \log^2 n + O(n^{n^2+2} \cdot \log n)$$

From (3)—(5) we get (for $n > n_0$)

$$A_n \geq \frac{a}{2b^2} \frac{n^{n^2} \log n (n^2 \log n + O(\log n))}{n^{n^2+2} \cdot \log^2 n + O(n^{n^2+2} \cdot \log n)} = \frac{a}{2b^2} \frac{\log^2 n + o(1)}{\log^2 n + O(\log n)}$$

From this it is evident that

$$\limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} Q(x) \frac{\log x}{x} \geq \frac{a}{2b^2} > 0$$

Remark 1. Let us remember that in [4] the following result is proved (Theorem 2 in [4]):

Let $d_1 \geq d_2 \geq \dots$, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d_n = +\infty$ and $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_k(x) d_k < +\infty$, where $\varepsilon_k(x) (k = 1, 2, \dots)$ are dyadic digits of the number $x \in (0, 1)$ (i.e. $x = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_k(x) 2^{-k}$ is the non-terminating dyadic expansion of x).

Then

$$p_1(x) = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{p(n, x)}{n} = 0$$

where $p(n, x) = \sum_{k=1}^n \varepsilon_k(x)$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$).

If we apply this result to subseries of the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_n^{-1}$ we see that the convergence of such subseries implies that “the lower density” of this subseries in $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_n^{-1}$ is zero.

The foregoing examples suggest the formulation and the proof of the following theorem which shows that the result obtained in Theorem A cannot be essentially improved. The proof of the following theorem will be published in a forthcoming paper together with the proofs of some further results.

Theorem 1. Let $g: (0, +\infty) \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$, let

$$(6) \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} g(x) = +\infty$$

(arbitrarily slowly). Then there exists an infinite set $M \subset N$ such that

$\sum_{m \in M} m^{-1} < +\infty$ and simultaneously

$$\limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} M(x) \frac{g(x)}{x} = +\infty$$

The assertion of Theorem 1 remains true also in the case if (6) will be replaced by the weaker condition:

$$\limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} g(x) = +\infty$$

REFERENCES

1. Halberstam, H.—Roth, K. F.: Sequences I. Oxford, 1966.
2. Powell, Barry J.: Primitive densities of certain sets of primes. J. Number Theory 12 (1980), 210—217.
3. Problem E 1552 [1962, 1008]. Proposed by D. Rearick. Solution by R. Greenberg. Amer. Math. Monthly 70 (1963), 894.
4. Šalát, T.: On subseries. Math. Zeitschr. 85 (1964), 209—225.
5. Tull, Jack, P.—Rearick, D.: A convergence criterion for positive series. Amer. Math. Monthly 71 (1964), 294—295.

Author's address:

Tibor Šalát
Katedra algebrý a teórie čísel MFF UK
Matematický pavilón
Mlynská dolina
842 15 Bratislava

Received: 23. 9. 1985

SÚHRN

KONVERGENCIA ČIASTOČNÝCH RADOV HARMONICKÉHO RADU A ASYMPTOTICKÉ HUSTOTY MNOŽÍN PRIRODZENÝCH ČÍSEL

Tibor Šalát, Bratislava

Nech $M = \{m_1 < m_2 < m_3 < \dots\}$ je množina prirodzených čísel, pričom

$$(1) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} m_n^{-1} < +\infty$$

Potom, ako je známe, platí $d(M) = 0$, kde $d(M)$ označuje asymptotickú hustotu množiny M . V práci [2] je uvedené tvrdenie (pozri vetu 3 z [2]), podľa ktorého z (1) vyplýva

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} M(x) \frac{\log x}{x} = 0$$

kde $M(x) = \sum_{n: m_n \leq x} 1$. V tejto poznámke je na príkladoch ukázané, že uvedené tvrdenie je nepravdivé.

V súvislosti s predchádzajúcim sa v tomto článku uvádza nasledujúca veta (bez dôkazu):

Nech $\lim g(x) = +\infty$. Potom existuje taká množina $M = \{m_1 < m_2 < m_3 \dots\}$, pre ktorú platí

(1) a súčasne

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} M(x) \frac{g(x)}{x} = +\infty$$

РЕЗЮМЕ

СХОДИМОСТЬ ЧАСТЕЙ РЯДОВ ГАРМОНИЧЕСКОГО РЯДА И АСИМПТОТИЧЕСКИЕ ПЛОТНОСТИ МНОЖЕСТВ НАТУРАЛЬНЫХ ЧИСЕЛ

Тибор Шалат, Братислава

Пусть $M = \{m_1 < m_2 < \dots\}$ множество натуральных чисел и пусть

$$(1) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} m_n^{-1} < +\infty$$

Потом, как известно, $d(M) = 0$, где $d(M)$ обозначает асимптотическую плотность множества M . В работе [2] (см. теоремы 3 из [2]) утверждается что из (1) следует

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} M(x) \frac{\log x}{x} = 0,$$

где $M(x) = \sum_{n: m_n \leq x} 1$. В этой заметке доказано на примерах что это утверждение не верно. В связи с предыдущим вводится здесь следующая теорема (без доказательства):

Пусть $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} g(x) = +\infty$. Тогда существует такое множество M для которого выполняется (1) и одновременно

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{M(x)g(x)}{x} = +\infty.$$