

## Werk

**Label:** Article

**Jahr:** 1984

**PURL:** [https://resolver.sub.uni-goettingen.de/purl?312901348\\_44-45|log8](https://resolver.sub.uni-goettingen.de/purl?312901348_44-45|log8)

## Kontakt/Contact

[Digizeitschriften e.V.](#)  
SUB Göttingen  
Platz der Göttinger Sieben 1  
37073 Göttingen

✉ [info@digizeitschriften.de](mailto:info@digizeitschriften.de)

## ON A TECHNICAL LEMMA IN LATTICE ORDERED GROUPS

BELOSLAV RIEČAN—PETER VOLAUF, Bratislava

The aim of the article is to prove the following computational lemma:

(A) Let  $G$  be a  $\sigma$ -complete lattice ordered group. Let  $(a_{n,i,j})_{n,i,j}$  be a bounded sequence of elements of  $G$  such that  $a_{n,i,j} \searrow O (j \rightarrow \infty, n, i = 1, 2, \dots)$ . Then to every  $a \in G, a > O$  there exists such a bounded sequence  $(a_{i,j})_{i,j}$  that  $a_{i,j} \searrow O (j \rightarrow \infty, i = 1, 2, \dots)$  and such that for every  $t: N \rightarrow N$

$$a \wedge \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} a_{n,i,t(i+n)} \right) \cong \bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} a_{i,t(i)}.$$

This lemma was discovered by D. H. Fremlin ([2]) in a connection with his simple proof (see also [5]) of the famous Matthes—Wright vector lattice valued measure extension theorem ([2]). The lemma substitutes successfully the usual  $\varepsilon$ -technique in vector lattice valued analysis (see e.g. [2], [3], [4], [5], [9]) and it seems to be useful also in a more general  $l$ -group valued case ([7], [8], [9]). Of course, from an algebraic point of view it presents a quite special result and therefore it does not appear in monographs on ordered groups.

We present here two proofs of the mentioned assertion. The first one is presented in Part 2 and it uses a representation technique ([1]). By the same technique we prove that a regularity condition from the paper [6] holds in any lattice ordered group. Part 2 belongs to the second author. On the other hand, in Part 3 which belongs to the first author we present an elementary, purely algebraic proof. Part 1 contains some necessary notations and notions and, for the convenience of the reader, a proof of the assertion (A) in the vector lattice case. Namely vector lattice version is used in the first concept in Part 2.

### 1

By a  $\sigma$ -complete  $l$ -group (lattice ordered group) we mean a boundedly  $\sigma$ -complete lattice  $G$  being simultaneously a commutative group and satisfying the

identity  $a + (b \vee c) = (a + b) \vee (a + c)$ . If  $G$  is, moreover, a (real) linear space satisfying the identity  $\alpha(a \vee b) = (\alpha a) \vee (\alpha b)$  for  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\alpha > 0$ ,  $a, b \in G$ , then  $G$  is called a vector lattice or a Riesz space. A vector lattice is called to be boundedly  $\sigma$ -complete, if every bounded sequence in the space has the supremum.

**1.1. Proposition** ([2] lemma 1C, [5] proposition 3). Proposition (A) holds in any boundedly  $\sigma$ -complete vector lattice. Moreover,  $a_{i,j} = a \wedge b_{i,j}$ , where  $b_{i,j} =$

$$\bigvee_{r=1}^{i-1} 2^r a_{r,i-r,j}.$$

**Proof.** Evidently  $b_{i+n,j} \geq 2^n a_{n,i,j}$  whereas  $a_{n,i,j} \leq 2^{-n} b_{i+n,j}$  hence

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^k \bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} a_{n,i,t(i+n)} &\leq \sum_{n=1}^k 2^{-n} \left( \bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} b_{i+n,t(i+n)} \right) \leq \\ &\leq \left( \sum_{n=1}^k 2^{-n} \right) \bigvee_{j=1}^{\infty} b_{j,t(j)} \leq \bigvee_{j=1}^{\infty} b_{j,t(j)}. \end{aligned}$$

Since every vector lattice is distributive, we have

$$a \wedge \left( \sum_{n=1}^k \bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} a_{n,i,t(i+n)} \right) \leq \bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} (a \wedge b_{i,t(i)}) = \bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} a_{i,t(i)}.$$

## 2

**2.1. Proposition.** Proposition (A) holds in any  $\sigma$ -complete  $l$ -group  $G$ .

**Proof.** By [1] theorem 4 there exist a vector lattice  $F$  and an  $l$ -group isomorphism  $h: G \rightarrow h(G) \subset F$  preserving all supremums and infimums. Therefore by Proposition 1.1

$$\begin{aligned} h \left( a \wedge \sum_n \bigvee_i a_{n,i,t(i+n)} \right) &= h(a) \wedge \sum_n \bigvee_i h(a_{n,i,t(i+n)}) \leq \\ &\leq \bigvee_i h(a_{i,t(i)}) = h \left( \bigvee_i a_{i,t(i)} \right), \end{aligned}$$

since  $h(a_{i,j}) = h(a) \wedge \bigvee_{r=1}^{i-1} 2^r h(a_{r,i-r,j})$ . Finally,

$$a \wedge \sum_n \bigvee_i a_{n,i,t(i+n)} \leq \bigvee_i a_{i,t(i)}$$

since  $h$  is an isomorphism.

By a similar technique we prove that every lattice ordered group is weakly regular (see [6], cf. also [10]).

**2.2. Proposition.** Let  $G$  be a  $\sigma$ -complete  $l$ -group. Let  $(a_{i,j})$ ,  $a_{i,j} \searrow O(j \rightarrow \infty)$ ,  $(i, j = 1, 2, \dots)$   $a \in G$ ,  $a > O$ . Then there exists  $t: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  such that for every  $n$ ,

$$\sum_{i=1}^n a_{i,t(i)} \cong a.$$

**Proof.** By [1] theorem 4 there exist a vector lattice  $F$  and an isomorphism  $h: G \rightarrow h(G) \subset F$ . Moreover,  $F$  consists of almost finite continuous functions on a compact, Hausdorff and extremally disconnected space  $E$ . Since  $h(a_{i,j}) \searrow 0$ , ( $j \rightarrow \infty$ ), we get that the set

$$A_i = \{x \in E; (h(a_{i,j})(x))_j \searrow 0\}$$

is of the first category. Since  $a > 0$ , we have  $h(a) > 0$ , i.e. there exists a clopen set  $U$  and an  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $h(a)(x) > \varepsilon$  for every  $x \in U$ . Since  $U$  is open, it is not of the first category, hence there exists  $x_0 \in U \setminus \bigcup_i A_i$ . So  $h(a_{i,j})(x_0) \searrow 0$  ( $j \rightarrow \infty$ ) for every  $i$ . Therefore there is  $t: N \rightarrow N$  such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n h(a_{i,t(i)})(x_0) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$$

for every  $n$ , hence

$$\sum_{i=1}^n h(a_{i,t(i)}) \not\geq h(a), \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

i.e.

$$\sum_{i=1}^n a_{i,t(i)} \not\geq a, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

### 3

In this section  $G$  is a  $\sigma$ -complete  $l$ -group. We write  $1 \cdot c = c$  and  $n \cdot c = (n-1) \cdot c + c$  for any  $c \in G$  and any  $n \in N$ .

**3.1. Lemma.** If  $c_i \in G$  ( $i = 1, \dots, 2^k$ ), then

$$\sum_{j=1}^{2^k} c_j \leq \bigvee_{j=1}^{2^k} 2^k c_j.$$

**Proof.** We can do it by the induction, the first step of it being the following:

$$0 \leq (c_1 - c_2) \vee (c_2 - c_1)$$

$$c_1 + c_2 \leq (c_1 + c_2) + (c_1 - c_2) \vee (c_2 - c_1) = (c_1 + c_1) \vee (c_2 + c_2).$$

**3.2. Lemma.** If  $b \wedge (2^k b_i) \leq a_i$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ), then  $b \wedge \left(2^k \bigvee_{i=1}^n b_i\right) \leq \bigvee_{i=1}^n a_i$ .

**Proof.** By Lemma 3.1

$$b \wedge \left(2^k \bigvee_i b_i\right) = b \wedge \left(\bigvee_{i_1=1}^n \dots \bigvee_{i_2^k=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^{2^k} b_{ij}\right) \leq$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq b \wedge \left( \bigvee_{i_1=1}^n \dots \bigvee_{i_2^k=1}^n \bigvee_{j=1}^{2^k} 2^k b_{i_j} \right) = \\ &= \bigvee_{i_1=1}^n \dots \bigvee_{i_2^k=1}^n \bigvee_{j=1}^{2^k} (b \wedge 2^k b_{i_j}) \leq \bigvee_{i=1}^n a_i. \end{aligned}$$

**3.3. Lemma.** If  $b \wedge (2^k c_k) \leq c$  ( $k = 1, \dots, n$ ), then  $b \wedge \left( \sum_{k=1}^n c_k \right) \leq c$ .

**Proof.** By Lemma 3.1 (with  $k = 1$ ) we get

$$\begin{aligned} b \wedge \left( \sum_{k=1}^n c_k \right) &= b \wedge \left( c_1 + \sum_{k=2}^n c_k \right) \leq b \wedge \left( (c_1 + c_1) \vee \left( \sum_{k=2}^n c_k + \sum_{k=2}^n c_k \right) \right) \leq \\ &\leq (b \wedge 2c_1) \vee \left( b \wedge \left( 2c_2 + \sum_{k=3}^n 2c_k \right) \right) \leq \\ &\leq (b \wedge 2c_1) \vee \left( b \wedge \left( (2c_2 + 2c_2) \vee \left( \sum_{k=3}^n 2c_k + \sum_{k=3}^n 2c_k \right) \right) \right) = \\ &= (b \wedge 2c_1) \vee (b \wedge 2^2 c_2) \vee \left( b \wedge \left( 2^2 c_3 + \sum_{k=4}^n 2^2 c_k \right) \right) \leq \dots \leq \bigvee_{k=1}^n b \wedge (2^k c_k) \leq c. \end{aligned}$$

**3.4. Proposition.** Proposition (A) holds in any  $\sigma$ -complete  $l$ -group  $G$ .

**Prof.** Put  $a_{i,j} = a \wedge \left( \bigvee_{k=1}^i 2^k a_{k, i-k+1, j} \right)$ . Evidently,  $a_{i+k-1, i(i+k-1)} \cong a \wedge 2^k a_{k, i, i(i+k-1)}$ , so by Lemma 3.2

$$c = \bigvee_i a_{i, i(i)} \cong a \wedge \left( 2^k \bigvee_{i=1}^n a_{k, i, i(i+k-1)} \right).$$

Finally, by Lemma 3.3

$$a \wedge \sum_{k=1}^n \bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} a_{k, i, i(i+k-1)} \leq \bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} a_{i, i(i)}.$$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Bernau, S. J.: Unique representation of Archimedean lattice groups and normal Archimedean lattice rings. Proc. London Math. Soc. 15, 1965, 599—631.
- [2] Fremlin, D. H.: A direct proof of the Matthes-Wright integrall extension theorem. J. London. Math. Soc. 11, 1975, 276—284.
- [3] Hrachovina, E.: On the regularity of measures. Acta Math. Univ. Comen., 42—43, 1984, 201—204.
- [4] Hrachovina, E.: On regular measures with values in a Riesz space.
- [5] Riečan, B.: A simplified proof of the Daniel integral extension theorem in ordered spaces. Math. Slovaca 32, 1982, 75—79.

- [6] Riečan, B.: On the lattice group valued measures. Čas. pěst. mat. 101, 1976, 343—349.  
 [7] Riečan, B.: On measures and integrals with values in ordered groups. Math. Slovaca, 33, 1983, 153—163.  
 [8] Riečan, B.: On regular measures in ordered spaces. Proc. of the Fifth Prague Topological Symposium, Berlin 1982, 569—571.  
 [9] Riečan, B.: Notes on lattice valued measures. Acta math. Univ. Comen., 42—43, 1984, 181—192.  
 [10] Volauf, P.: Extension and regularity of l-group valued measures. Math. Slovaca 27, 1977, 47—53.  
 [11] Wright, J. D. M.: The measure extension problem for vector lattices. Ann. Inst. Fourier Grenoble 21, 1971, 65—85.

Author's address:

Received: 25. 8. 1982

Beloslav Riečan,  
 Katedra teórie pravdepodobnosti  
 a matematickej štatistiky MFF UK,  
 Mlynská dolina  
 842 15 Bratislava

Peter Volauf,  
 Katedra matematiky Elektrotechnickej fakulty SVŠT,  
 Mlynská dolina  
 812 19 Bratislava

## SÚHRN

### O JEDNEJ TECHNICKEJ LEME VO ZVÄZOVO USPORIADANÝCH GRUPÁCH

B. Riečan, P. Volauf, Bratislava

Dokazuje sa táto lema: Nech  $G$  je  $\sigma$ -úplná zväzovo usporiadaná grupa. Nech  $(a_{n,i,j})_{n,i,j}$  je taká ohraničená postupnosť prvkov grupy  $G$ , že  $a_{n,i,j} \searrow O$  ( $j \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $n, i = 1, 2, \dots$ ). Potom k ľubovoľnému  $a \in G$ ,  $a > O$  existuje taká ohraničená postupnosť  $(a_{i,j})_{i,j}$ , že  $a_{i,j} \searrow O$  ( $j \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ ) a taká, že pre každé  $t: N \rightarrow N$  platí

$$a \wedge \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} a_{n,i,t(i+n)} \right) \cong \bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} a_{i,t(i)}.$$

Táto lema nahradzuje v  $G$ -hodnotovej analýze obvyklú epsilonónovú techniku.

## РЕЗЮМЕ

### ОБ ОДНОЙ ТЕХНИЧЕСКОЙ ЛЕММЕ В СТРУКТУРНО УПОРЯДОЧЕННЫХ ГРУППАХ

Б. Риечан, П. Волауф, Братислава

Доказывается следующая лемма: Пусть  $G$  –  $\sigma$ -полная структурно упорядоченная группа. Пусть  $(a_{n,i})_{n,i}$  ограниченная последовательность элементов из  $G$  такая, что  $a_{n,i,j} \searrow O$  ( $j \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $n, i = 1, 2, \dots$ ). Тогда к любому  $a \in G$ ,  $a > O$  существует такая ограниченная последовательность  $(a_{i,j})_{i,j}$ , что  $a_{i,j} \searrow O$  ( $j \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ ) и такая, что для всякого  $t: N \rightarrow N$  справедливо

$$a \wedge \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} a_{n,i,t(i+n)} \right) \leq \bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} a_{i,t(i)}.$$

Эта лемма употребляется в анализе функций с значениями в  $G$  вместо обычной  $\varepsilon$ -ой техники.