

Werk

Label: Article

Jahr: 1980

PURL: https://resolver.sub.uni-goettingen.de/purl?312901348_0039|log9

Kontakt/Contact

[Digizeitschriften e.V.](#)
SUB Göttingen
Platz der Göttinger Sieben 1
37073 Göttingen

✉ info@digizeitschriften.de

ON EQUIVALENCE RELATIONS

LADISLAV KOSMÁK, Bratislava

Dedicated to Prof. O. Borůvka on the occasion of his eightieth birthday

In this paper, the product of two binary relations R, S is denoted by RS , the converse relation of R by R^{-} . We use the symbol E_M for the identity relation in a set M . If R is an arbitrary binary relation in M , we denote the transitive hull of R by $t(R)$; so

$$t(R) = R \cup R^2 \cup R^3 \cup \dots$$

Let R, S be equivalence relations in a set A . It is well known that RS is an equivalence relation if and only if $RS = SR$ (see e.g. [3], [4], [2]). One can easily verify that the condition $RS = SR$ is equivalent to the equality

$$RS = (R \cup S)^2$$

We will next consider analogous questions for $n \geq 2$ equivalence relations.

Theorem 1. Let R_1, \dots, R_n be equivalence relations in A ($n \geq 2$). Then the following statements are equivalent:

- a) $R_1 \dots R_n$ is an equivalence relation,
- b) $R_1 \dots R_n = (R_1 \cup \dots \cup R_n)^n$,
- c) $R_1 \dots R_n = t(R_1 \cup \dots \cup R_n)$.

Proof. Denote by I_n the set of all finite sequences (i_1, \dots, i_n) of the numbers $1, \dots, n$. If $R_1 \dots R_n$ is an equivalence relation then $(R_1 \dots R_n)^k = R_1 \dots R_n$ for every $k \geq 2$. Specially $(R_1 \dots R_n)^n = R_1 \dots R_n$, and since the relations R_1, \dots, R_n are reflexive, it follows that

$$R_{i_1} \dots R_{i_n} \subset R_1 \dots R_n$$

for every $(i_1, \dots, i_n) \in I_n$. Therefore by the well known distributivity properties

$$(R_1 \cup \dots \cup R_n)^n = R_1 \dots R_n$$

Suppose now that b) holds. Then

$$R_1 \dots R_n = \bigcup_{(i_1, \dots, i_n) \in I_n} R_{i_1} \dots R_{i_n}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (R_1 \cup \dots \cup R_n)^{n+1} &= (R_1 \cup \dots \cup R_n)^n (R_1 \cup \dots \cup R_n) = \\ &= R_1 \dots R_n (R_1 \cup \dots \cup R_n) \end{aligned}$$

For every $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ we have

$$R_1 R_2 \dots R_n R_k \subset R_1 (R_1 \cup \dots \cup R_n)^n = R_1 \dots R_n$$

Thus

$$(R_1 \cup \dots \cup R_n)^{n+1} = (R_1 \cup \dots \cup R_n)^n$$

and consequently

$$(R_1 \cup \dots \cup R_n)^k = R_1 \dots R_n$$

for each $k \geq n$. Finally

$$t(R_1 \cup \dots \cup R_n) = R_1 \dots R_n$$

The implication c) \Rightarrow a) is obvious.

Corollary. Let R, S be equivalence relations in A and n a positive integer.

Then

a) $(RS)^n$ is an equivalence relation if and only if

$$(RS)^n = (SR)^n$$

b) $(RS)^n R$ is an equivalence relation if and only if

$$(RS)^n R \supset S(RS)^n$$

Proof. Put in Theorem 1

$$\begin{aligned} R_1 &= R_3 = \dots = R_{2n-1} = R \\ R_2 &= R_4 = \dots = R_{2n} = S \end{aligned}$$

If $(RS)^n$ is an equivalence relation then by Theorem 1

$$(RS)^n = (R \cup S)^{2n} = (RS)^n \cup (SR)^n$$

Thus

$$(SR)^n \subset (RS)^n$$

and since

$$(RS)^n = ((SR)^n)^- \subset ((RS)^n)^- = (SR)^n$$

we obtain the equality

$$(RS)^n = (SR)^n$$

The converse follows from the equality

$$(R \cup S)^{2n} = (RS)^n \cup (SR)^n$$

The proof of b) is similar. Note that inclusion in b) can not be replaced in general by equality (see [5], p. 172).

Let now R be an equivalence relation in A and f a mapping of A onto a set B , i.e. $f^{-1}f = E_B$. Define the binary relation S in B as follows: $y_1 S y_2$ means that there exist elements $x_1, x_2 \in A$ such that $x_1 R x_2, f(x_1) = y_1, f(x_2) = y_2$. In other words

$$S = f^{-1} R f$$

We ask under which conditions S is an equivalence relation in B . The answer is given in the following theorem (F denotes the equivalence relation ff^{-1}).

Theorem 2. The following conditions are equivalent:

- a) S is an equivalence relation,
- b) FRF is an equivalence relation,
- c) $FRF = t(F \cup R)$.

Proof. If S is an equivalence relation, then

$$\begin{aligned} FRF &\supset E_A \\ (FRF)^{-} &= FRF \\ (FRF)^2 &= ff^{-1} R ff^{-1} ff^{-1} R ff^{-1} = ff^{-1} R ff^{-1} R ff^{-1} = f S f^{-1} = ff^{-1} R ff^{-1} = FRF \end{aligned}$$

So FRF is an equivalence relation.

By Theorem 1, we have b) \Leftrightarrow c).

Suppose that FRF is an equivalence relation. Since

$$f^{-1} FRF f = S$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} E_B &\subset S \\ S^{-} &= S \\ S^2 &= f^{-1} FRF f f^{-1} FRF f = f^{-1} (FRF)^2 f = f^{-1} FRF f = S \end{aligned}$$

Therefore S is an equivalence relation and Theorem 2 is proved.

In the following theorem we characterize a special situation of this kind (cf. [1]).

Theorem 3. In the notations of Theorem 2, the following statements are equivalent:

- a) if X_1, X_2 are different classes of R , then either $f(X_1) = f(X_2)$ or $f(X_1) \cap f(X_2) = \emptyset$,
- b) $FRF = FR$,
- c) $FR = RF$.

Proof. Suppose that a) holds and $x_1 FRF x_2$. Denote by X_1 , resp. X_2 the class of x_1 , resp. x_2 in R . By hypothesis, there are such $x'_1, x'_2 \in M$ that $x'_1 R x'_2, f(x_1) = f(x'_1) = y_1, f(x_2) = f(x'_2) = y_2$. Let X_3 be the class of the elements x'_1, x'_2 in R . Then

$$\begin{aligned} y_1 &\in f(X_1) \cap f(X_3) \\ y_2 &\in f(X_2) \cap f(X_3) \end{aligned}$$

and consequently

$$f(X_1) = f(X_2) = f(X_3)$$

Thus there exists such $x_2'' \in X_2$ that $x_1 f y_1 f^- x_2''$, i.e. $x_1 F R x_2$. We have proved that $FRF \subset FR$; with the evident inclusion $FR \subset FRF$ we get the equality b).

Suppose now that b) holds. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (FR)^2 &= (FRF)R = FRR = FR \\ (FR)^- &= (FRF)^- = FRF = FR \\ FR &\supset E_A \end{aligned}$$

i.e. FR is an equivalence relation.

Suppose finally that the condition c) is satisfied and a) does not hold. Then there are such classes X_1, X_2 of R that $f(X_1) \neq f(X_2)$ and $f(X_1) \cap f(X_2) \neq \emptyset$. Let e.g. $y_1 \in f(X_1) \cap f(X_2)$, $y_2 \in f(X_2) - f(X_1)$, $y_1 = f(x_1)$, $y_2 = f(x_2)$. Then $x_1 f y_1 f^- R x_2$, but there is no $x \in X_1$ such that $x_1 R x f f^- x_2$, since otherwise we should have $f(x) = f(x_2) = y_2 \in f(X_1)$.

Remark. The equalities

$$\begin{aligned} R_1 R_2 R_1 &= R_1 R_2 \\ R_1 R_2 R_1 &= R_2 R_1 \\ R_1 R_2 &= R_2 R_1 \end{aligned}$$

are equivalent for arbitrary equivalence relations R_1, R_2 in M .

Theorem 4. The following conditions are equivalent:

- a) the images of different classes of R in f are disjoint,
- b) $FRF = R$,
- c) $F \subset R$.

Proof. If a) holds and $x_1 F R F x_2$ then there are x_1', x_2' with $f(x_1') = f(x_1)$, $f(x_2') = f(x_2)$, $x_1' R x_2'$. Let X_1, X_2 be the classes of x_1 and x_2 respectively, and X the class containing x_1', x_2' . Then $X_1 = X_2 = X$ by a) and thus $x_1 R x_2$. So a) implies b).

If $FRF = R$ then $F \subset FRF = R$, i.e. b) implies c).

Suppose now that $F \subset R$ and X_1, X_2 are classes of R with $f(X_1) \cap f(X_2) \neq \emptyset$. Then there exist such $x_1 \in X_1, x_2 \in X_2$ that $f(x_1) = f(x_2)$ and by hypothesis it follows that $x_1 R x_2$. Consequently $X_1 = X_2$ and the theorem is proved.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] Borůvka, O.: Foundations of the Theory of Groupoids and Groups. Deutscher Verlag der Wissenschaften, Berlin 1974, 7.2, p. 58.
- [2] Kosmák, L.: Množinová algebra. ALFA, Bratislava 1978, veta 8.11.
- [3] Ore, O.: Theory of equivalence relations. Duke Math. Journal 9 (1942), 573—627.
- [4] Šik, F.: Über Charakterisierung kommutativer Zerlegungen. Publ. Fac. Sciences MU, (1954), № 3, Brno, th. 1.1.
- [5] Шрейдер, Ю. А.: Равенство, сходство, порядок. Москва 1971.

Received October 25, 1978

Author's address:

Katedra teoretickej kybernetiky MFFUK,
Mlynská dolina,
816 31 Bratislava

SÚHRN

O EKVIVALENCIÁCH

L. Kosmák, Bratislava

Vo vete 1 sú nájdené nutné a dostatočné podmienky, aby súčin konečného počtu ekvivalencií bol ekvivalenciou. Vo vetách 2, 3 a 4 sa študujú zobrazenia rozkladov na množine.

РЕЗЮМЕ

ОБ ОТНОШЕНИЯХ ЭКВИВАЛЕНТНОСТИ

Л. Космак, Братислава

В теореме 1 указаны необходимые и достаточные условия для того, чтобы произведение $n \geq 2$ отношений эквивалентности было отношением эквивалентности. В теоремах 2, 3 и 4 исследуются отображения разбиений на множестве.

