

## Werk

**Label:** Article

**Jahr:** 1981

**PURL:** [https://resolver.sub.uni-goettingen.de/purl?312901348\\_0038|log10](https://resolver.sub.uni-goettingen.de/purl?312901348_0038|log10)

## Kontakt/Contact

[Digizeitschriften e.V.](#)  
SUB Göttingen  
Platz der Göttinger Sieben 1  
37073 Göttingen

✉ [info@digizeitschriften.de](mailto:info@digizeitschriften.de)

**CALCULATION OF DERIVATIVES OF SOLUTIONS OF THE  
n-th ORDER ORDINARY DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION**

JÁN ŠTEKAUER, Bratislava

At the numerical treatment of a differential equation  $y^{(n)} = f(x, y, y', \dots, y^{(n-1)})$  it is often necessary to determine derivatives of  $y(x)$  up to  $(n+k)$ -th order for some natural number  $k$  as a function of  $f(x, y, y', \dots, y^{(n-1)})$ . In this paper the recurrence relation which enables to calculate the  $(n+k)$ -th derivative of  $y(x)$  using derivatives of lower order is proved.

1. Preliminary. Let  $k$  be a natural number. Let a function  $y(x)$ , which is the solution of

$$y^{(n)} = f(x, y, y', \dots, y^{(n-1)}) \quad (1.1)$$

has all derivatives up to the  $(n+k)$ -th order.

Let us define differential operators  $D_{in}$ ,  $i=1, 2, \dots, k$  :

$$D_{1n}f = \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial}{\partial y}y' + \frac{\partial}{\partial y'}y'' + \dots + \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{(n-1)}}y^{(n)} \right) f \quad (1.2)$$

$$D_{in}f = \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial y}y^{(i)} + \frac{\partial}{\partial y'}y^{(i+1)} + \dots + \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{(n-1)}}y^{(i+n-1)} \right) f, \quad i=2, 3, \dots, k \quad (1.3)$$

For simplicity we write  $f$  instead of  $f(x, y, y', \dots, y^{(n-1)})$ .

The product of two operators  $D_{i_1n} \cdot D_{i_2n}$ ;  $i_1, i_2=1, 2, \dots, k$ , we understand the operator  $D_{i_1n} D_{i_2n}$  which is the formal product (as polynomials) of  $D_{i_1n}$  and  $D_{i_2n}$ . In this formal product a product of partial derivatives means mixed second order

partial derivative and total derivatives of  $y(x)$  we multiply in usual way. Analogically we can define product  $D_{i_1 n} D_{i_2 n} \dots D_{i_p n}$  for some natural  $p \geq 2$ ;  $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_p = 1, 2, \dots, k$ .

When  $i_1 = i_2 = \dots = i_p = i$  for some natural  $p$ , we shall talk about the  $p$ -th power of  $D_{i n}$  and write  $D_{i n}^p$ . In addition we define  $D_{i n}^0$  as the identical operator.

**R e m a r k :** It is evident, that  $D_{i n}^p f \neq (D_{i n} f)^p$  for  $p \geq 2$ .

**L e m m a :** Let  $r, i_j \geq 2$  ( $j=2, 3, \dots, r$ ),  $p_j$  ( $j=1, 2, \dots, r$ ) be natural numbers. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dx} (D_{1n}^{p_1} \prod_{j=2}^r D_{i_j n}^{p_j} f) &= D_{1n}^{p_1+1} \prod_{j=2}^r D_{i_j n}^{p_j} f + p_1 D_{1n}^{p_1-1} D_{2n} \prod_{j=2}^r D_{i_j n}^{p_j} f + \\ &+ \sum_{s=2}^r p_s D_{1n}^{p_1} \frac{\prod_{j=2}^r D_{i_j n}^{p_j}}{D_{i_s n}} D_{i_s+1, n} f \end{aligned} \quad (1.4)$$

**R e m a r k :** The expression in the sum in (1.4) means that the  $s$ -th term has the form

$$p_s D_{1n}^{p_1} D_{i_2 n}^{p_2} \dots D_{i_{s-1} n}^{p_{s-1}} D_{i_s n}^{p_s-1} D_{i_s+1, n} D_{i_{s+1} n}^{p_{s+1}} \dots D_{i_r n}^{p_r} f.$$

**P r o o f :** If we write out all operators on the left-hand side (1.4) according to the definition, we have

$$\frac{d}{dx} (D_{1n}^{p_1} \prod_{j=2}^r D_{i_j n}^{p_j} f) = \frac{d}{dx} \left[ \sum_{M_1} \sum_{M_2} \dots \sum_{M_r} \left( \prod_{j=1}^r K_j \right) A \left( \prod_{j=1}^r B_j \right) \right] \quad (1.5)$$

where

$$K_1 = \frac{p_1!}{\prod_{q=0}^n (p_{1q}!)} \quad (1.6), \quad K_j = \frac{p_j!}{\prod_{q=1}^n (p_{jq}!)}, \quad j=2, 3, \dots, r \quad (1.7)$$

$$M_1 = \left\{ (p_{10}, p_{11}, \dots, p_{1n}), \sum_{i=0}^n p_{1i} = p_1 \right\} \quad (1.8)$$

$$M_j = \left\{ (p_{j1}, p_{j2}, \dots, p_{jn}) \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n p_{ji} = p_j \right\}, \quad j=2, 3, \dots, r \quad (1.9)$$

$$A = \frac{\partial^{L_0} f}{\partial x^{p_{10}} \partial y^{L_1} \partial (y')^{L_2} \dots \partial y^{(n-1)} L_n} \quad (1.10)$$

$$L_0 = \sum_{i=1}^r p_i \quad (1.11), \quad L_j = \sum_{i=1}^r p_{ij}, \quad j=1, 2, \dots, n \quad (1.12)$$

$$B_1 = \prod_{i=1}^n (y^{(i)})^{p_{1i}} \quad (1.13)$$

$$B_j = \prod_{s=1}^n (y^{(i_j+s-1)})^{p_{js}}, \quad j=2, 3, \dots, r \quad (1.14)$$

Differentiation of the right-hand side (1.5) as a product of functions gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{M_1} \sum_{M_2} \dots \sum_{M_r} \left( \prod_{j=1}^r K_j \right) \left( \frac{d}{dx} A \right) \left( \prod_{j=1}^r B_j \right) + \\ & \sum_{M_1} \sum_{M_2} \dots \sum_{M_r} \left( \prod_{j=1}^r K_j \right) A \left( \frac{d}{dx} B_1 \right) \left( \prod_{j=2}^r B_j \right) + \\ & \sum_{s=2}^r \sum_{M_1} \sum_{M_2} \dots \sum_{M_r} \left( \prod_{j=1}^r K_j \right) A \left( \frac{d}{dx} B_s \right) \left( \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq s}}^r B_j \right) \end{aligned} \quad (1.15)$$

Now let us consider the first term in (1.15). After short calculation we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{M_1} \sum_{M_2} \dots \sum_{M_r} \left( \prod_{j=1}^r K_j \right) \left( \frac{d}{dx} A \right) \left( \prod_{j=1}^r B_j \right) = \\ & = \left\{ \left[ \sum_{M_1} K_1 \left[ \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} y' + \dots + \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{(n-1)}} y^{(n)} \right) \frac{\partial^{p_1}}{\partial x^{p_{10}} \partial y^{p_{11}} \dots \partial (y^{(n-1)})^{p_{1n}}} \right] \times \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. \times B_1 \right\} \left( \prod_{j=2}^r D_{i_j n}^{p_j} \right) f = D_{1n} D_{1n}^{p_1} \left( \prod_{j=2}^r D_{i_j n}^{p_j} \right) f \quad \text{and this is the first} \end{aligned}$$

term on the right-hand side (1.4) .

In the second term in (1.15) is

$$\frac{d}{dx} B_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n p_{1i} (y^{(i)})^{p_{1i}-1} y^{(i+1)} \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n (y^{(j)})^{p_{1j}} \quad \text{and then}$$

$$\sum_{M_1} \sum_{M_2} \dots \sum_{M_r} \left( \prod_{j=1}^r K_j \right) \wedge \left( \frac{d}{dx} B_1 \right) \left( \prod_{j=2}^r B_j \right) = \left[ \sum_{M_1} \sum_{i=1}^n K_1 p_{1i} \times \right.$$

$$\times \frac{\partial^{p_1}}{\partial x^{p_{10}} \partial y^{p_{11}} \dots \partial (y^{(n-1)})^{p_{1n}}} (y^{(i)})^{p_{1i}-1} y^{(i+1)} \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n (y^{(j)})^{p_{1j}} \Big] \times$$

$$\times \prod_{j=2}^r D_{i j n}^{p_j} f = \left\{ \left[ \sum_{M_1} \frac{p_1!}{\prod_{q=0}^n (t_{1q}!)} \frac{\partial^{p_1-1}}{\partial x^{t_{10}} \partial y^{t_{11}} \dots \partial (y^{(n-1)})^{t_{1n}}} \times \right. \right.$$

$$\times \prod_{j=1}^n (y^{(j)})^{t_{1j}} \left. \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{(i-1)}} y^{(i+1)} \right) \right\} \prod_{j=2}^r D_{i j n}^{p_j} f =$$

$$= p_1 D_{1n}^{p_1-1} D_{2n} \prod_{j=2}^r D_{i j n}^{p_j} f \quad , \text{ and this is the second term}$$

on the right-hand side (1.4) . In the last derivation

the substitution  $p_{1i}-1=t_{1i}$  ,  $p_{1j}=t_{1j}$  ,  $j \neq i$  for all  $i=1,2,\dots,n$

was used,  $M_1' = \{(t_{10}, t_{11}, \dots, t_{1n}), \sum_{i=0}^n t_{1i} = p_1-1\}$  .

Analogously to that we can show for every  $s=2,3,\dots,r$  that

$$\sum_{M_1} \sum_{M_2} \dots \sum_{M_r} \left( \prod_{j=1}^r K_j \right) \wedge \left( \frac{d}{dx} B_s \right) \left( \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq s}}^r B_j \right) =$$

$$= p_s D_{1n}^{p_s} \frac{\prod_{j=2}^r D_{i j n}^{p_j}}{D_{i s n}} D_{i s+1, n} f \quad \text{and summing through all } s \text{ we have}$$

the third term on the right-hand side (1.4), what completes the proof.

2. Recurrence relation.

**Theorem :** Let  $k$  be a natural number. Let

$$P_k = \left\{ (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_k), p_i - \text{non-negative integers, } \sum_{i=1}^k ip_i = k \right\}$$

and let function  $y(x)$  satisfy assumptions from the previous section. Then

$$y^{(n+k)} = \sum_{P_k} \frac{k!}{\prod_{i=1}^k (p_i!)} \frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^k (i!)^{p_i}} \left( \prod_{i=1}^k D_{in}^{p_i} \right) f \quad (2.1)$$

**Remark :** From the definition of  $P_k$  follows, that if

$(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_k) \in P_k$ , then  $p_k=1$  if  $(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_{k-1}) = (0, 0, \dots, 0)$ , or  $p_k=0$  otherwise.

**Proof :** We shall accomplish the proof using induction with respect to  $k$ .

I. Let  $k=1$ . Differentiation of (1.1) with respect to  $x$  gives

$$y^{(n+1)} = \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} y' + \dots + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y^{(n-1)}} y^{(n)} \right) = D_{1n} f \quad (2.2)$$

The same result we shall get from (2.1), because  $P_1 = \{(1)\}$ .

II. Let the theorem holds for  $m = 1, 2, \dots, k$ . Let now  $m = k+1$ .

The proof of this part we shall accomplish in two steps.

1. We shall show that, if we do not take coefficients into consideration, then all terms of  $y^{(n+k+1)}$  have the form

$$\left( \prod_{i=1}^{k+1} D_{in}^{p_i} \right) f \quad (2.3)$$

Really, differentiating of every term in (2.1) without respect to coefficients we have (according to lemma)

$$\frac{d}{dx} \left[ \left( \prod_{i=1}^k D_{in}^{p_i} \right) f \right] = \left( D_{1n}^{p_1+1} \prod_{i=2}^k D_{in}^{p_i} \right) f + \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ p_j \neq 0}}^k p_j \left( \frac{\prod_{i=1}^k D_{in}^{p_i}}{D_{jn}} \right) D_{j+1,n} f$$

(2.4)

Denoting in the first term on the right-hand side (2.4)  $(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_k, t_{k+1}) = (p_1+1, p_2, p_3, \dots, p_k, 0)$  and in the second term  $(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_k, t_{k+1}) = (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_{j-1}, p_j^{-1}, p_{j+1}+1, p_{j+2}, \dots, p_k, 0)$  for  $j=1, 2, \dots, k-1$  and  $(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_k, t_{k+1}) = (0, 0, \dots, 0, 1)$  for  $j=k$  (the last expression arised from  $(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_k) = (0, 0, \dots, 0, 1)$ ), it is easy to see, that in every case is  $(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_{k+1}) \in P_{k+1}$  and that the differentiation of every term of  $y^{(n+k)}$  gives terms of the form (2.3). And because every term of  $y^{(n+k+1)}$  we can get only by differentiation of  $y^{(n+k)}$ , there are no terms of other form in  $y^{(n+k+1)}$ .

2. We shall show that the term of the form (2.3) has the coefficient

$$\frac{(k+1)!}{\prod_{i=1}^{k+1} (p_i!)} \frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^{k+1} (i!)^{p_i}} \quad (2.5)$$

Let  $(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_{k+1}) \in P_{k+1}$ . There are two alternatives :

a/  $p_{k+1} = 0$ .

Then  $\sum_{i=1}^k ip_i = k+1$  and according to lemma we can term (2.3) get from these terms of  $y^{(n+k)}$ :

$$D_{1n}^{p_1-1} \prod_{i=2}^k D_{in}^{p_i} f \quad (2.6)$$

which contribution to the coefficient of (2.3) is

$$\frac{k!}{(p_1-1)! \prod_{i=2}^k (p_i!)} \frac{1}{(1!)^{p_1-1} \prod_{i=2}^k (i!)^{p_i}} \quad (2.7)$$

and

$$D_{jn}^{p_j+1} D_{j+1,n}^{p_{j+1}-1} \prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq j, i \neq j+1}}^k D_{in}^{p_i} f, \quad j=1,2,\dots,k-1 \quad (2.8)$$

which contribution is for every  $j$

$$\frac{k!}{(p_j+1)!(p_{j+1}-1)! \prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq j, i \neq j+1}}^k (p_i!)} \frac{p_j+1}{[(j+1)!]^{p_j+1-1} \prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq j, i \neq j+1}}^k (i!)^{p_i}} \quad (2.9)$$

Summing (2.9) through all  $j = 1,2,\dots,k-1$  and adding (2.7) we have

$$\frac{k!}{\prod_{i=1}^k (p_i!)} \frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^k (i!)^{p_i}} \left[ \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{j!}{(j-1)!} p_j \right] = \frac{(k+1)!}{\prod_{i=1}^{k+1} (p_i!)} \frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^{k+1} (i!)^{p_i}} \quad (2.10)$$

and this is (2.5) .

It is still necessary to consider that it can be  $p_j=0$  for some  $j$ . Of course, there is no term of the form (2.8) in  $y^{(n+k)}$  for such  $j$  and also the corresponding term in the square bracket on the left-hand side (2.10) is missing. But this fact has no influence neither upon the value of this bracket nor upon the final expression.

b/  $p_{k+1} = 1$  .

Then  $p_i = 0$  ,  $i=1,2,\dots,k$  , and (2.3) has the form

$$D_{k+1,n} f \quad (2.11)$$

which we can get according to lemma only differentiating the term  $D_{kn} f$  of  $y^{(n+k)}$  and this one has coefficient

$$\frac{k!}{1!} \frac{1}{k!} = 1 = \frac{(k+1)!}{1!} \frac{1}{(k+1)!} \quad (2.12)$$



what is again (2.5). This completes the proof of the theorem.

Author's address: RNDr. Ján Štekauer, Ipeľská 15, 83900 Bratislava

Received: April 4, 1978

### S ú h r n

Výpočet derivácií riešenia diferenciálnej rovnice obyčajnej  
n-tého rádu

Ján Štekauer

Prí vyšetřovaní obyčajnej diferenciálnej rovnice  $y^{(n)} = f(x, y, y', \dots, y^{(n-1)})$  je často potrebné určiť derivácie funkcie  $y(x)$  až do rádu  $n+k$  pre nejaké prirodzené číslo  $k$  ako funkciu pravej strany tejto diferenciálnej rovnice. V článku je dokázaný rekurentný vzťah pre výpočet  $(n+k)$ -tej derivácie funkcie  $y(x)$  pomocou nižších derivácií tejto funkcie.

### Р е з ю м е

Вычисление производных решения обыкновенного дифференциального уравнения  $n$ -того порядка

Ян Штекауер

Рассматривая обыкновенное дифференциальное уравнение  $y^{(n)} = f(x, y, y', \dots, y^{(n-1)})$  часто надо определить производные функции  $y(x)$  до  $(n+k)$ -того порядка для какого-нибудь натурального числа  $k$  как функцию правой стороны этого уравнения. В статье доказана рекуррентная формула для вычисления производной  $(n+k)$ -того порядка функции  $y(x)$  при помощи низших производных этой функции.