

Werk

Label: Article

Jahr: 1980

PURL: https://resolver.sub.uni-goettingen.de/purl?312901348_0037|log17

Kontakt/Contact

Digizeitschriften e.V.
SUB Göttingen
Platz der Göttinger Sieben 1
37073 Göttingen

✉ info@digizeitschriften.de

UNIVERSITAS COMENIANA
ACTA MATHEMATICA UNIVERSITATIS COMENIANAE
XXXVII - 1980

ON THE CONSTRUCTION OF THE MEASURE FROM A CONTENT

BELOSLAV RIEČAN, MICHAL ŠABO, Bratislava

The method stated in the title is very well known (see e.g. [5] , [6] , [7]). We want to present here a generalization of the method applicable also to integrals of the Daniell type. Hence we shall consider functions $J : S \rightarrow R$, where S is a convenient lattice. If S is a lattice of sets then the classical measure construction theorem can be obtained. If S is a lattice of real-valued functions (on a compact space) then an analogy for Daniell integral case is obtained.

Of course, similar lattice generalizations have appeared (see [1] , [2] , [3] , [8] , [9] , [10] , [11] , [12] , [14] , [15]) with various constructions. (A review of the constructions is contained in [13]). The construction of the measure from a content uses the Carathéodory measurability theorem. Hence we shall use the results of the paper [12] , where an abstract lattice generalization of this method is studied.

1. Content

We shall define here the generalized content, a function defined on a sublattice of a given lattice H . First the assumptions stated on H :

Let H be a lattice with the least element σ and with a binary operation $+$ satisfying the following condition:

1. If $a_n \nearrow a$ and $b_n \nearrow b$ then $a_n + b_n \nearrow a + b$ and
 $a_n \wedge b_n \nearrow a \wedge b$.

Besides the usual ordering \leq induced by the lattice operations, there is given a relation \prec on H satisfying the following conditions:

2. If $a \prec b$ then $a \leq b$.
3. $\sigma \prec \sigma$.
4. If $a \prec b$ and $b \leq c$ then $a \prec c$.
5. If $a \prec b$ and $c \prec d$ then $a \vee c \prec b \vee d$, $a \wedge c \prec b \wedge d$ and $a + c \prec b + d$.

Further let C , B be sublattices of H containing σ , closed under $+$ and satisfying the following conditions:

6. If $b_n \in B$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$), $b_n \nearrow b$, then $b \in B$.
7. To every $b \in B$ there are $\{c_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$, $\{b_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$, such that $c_n \in C$, $b_n \in B$, $b_n \leq c_n \prec b$ and $b_n \nearrow b$.
8. If $b_n \nearrow b$, $c \prec b$, $c \in C$, $b_n \in B$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$), then there is n_0 such that $c \prec b_{n_0}$.

Example 1. Let X be a locally compact Hausdorff topological space satisfying the second axiom of countability, $H = 2^X$, C be the family of all compact sets, B be the family of all open Borel sets, \leq and \prec coincide with the inclusion.

Example 2. Let H be the set of all non-negative real-valued functions defined on a compact set Q , C be the family consisting of all positive continuous functions and the zero function, B be the family of corresponding lower semicontinuous functions, \leq usual ordering and $f \prec g$ iff either $f(x) < g(x)$ for all $x \in Q$ or $f(x) = g(x) = 0$ for all $x \in Q$.

Now, we can define a content:

Definition 1. A real-valued function $\lambda : C \rightarrow R$ is called a content, if it satisfies the following conditions:

9. $\lambda(\sigma) = 0$.
10. If $c \leq d$, then $\lambda(c) \leq \lambda(d)$.
11. $\lambda(c) + \lambda(d) \geq \lambda(c \vee d) + \lambda(c \wedge d)$ for every $c, d \in C$.
12. $\lambda(c + d) \leq \lambda(c) + \lambda(d)$ for every $c, d \in C$.

Definition 2. For every content $\lambda : C \rightarrow R$ we define a mapping $J_0 : B \rightarrow R \cup \{\infty\}$ by the formula:

$$J_0(b) = \sup \{ \lambda(c); c \prec b, c \in C \}.$$

Lemma 1. Let $b_n \leq c_n \prec b$, $b_n \in B$, $c_n \in C$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$), $b_n \nearrow b$. Then $J_0(b) = \lim \lambda(c_n)$.

Proof. Put $c \in C$, $c \prec b$. By (8) there is n_0 such that $c \prec b_{n_0}$. Then $c \prec b_{n_0} \leq b_n \leq c_n \prec b$ for every $n \geq n_0$. Hence, (2) and (10) imply $\lambda(c) \leq \lambda(c_n)$ for $n \geq n_0$ and therefore $\lambda(c) \leq \liminf \lambda(c_n) \leq \limsup \lambda(c_n) \leq J_0(b)$.

Theorem 1. The mapping J_0 has the following properties:

13. $J_0(\sigma) = 0$
14. If $u, v \in B$, $u \leq v$, then $J_0(u) \leq J_0(v)$.
15. $J_0(u) + J_0(v) \geq J_0(u \wedge v) + J_0(u \vee v)$ for every $u, v \in B$.
16. $J_0(u+v) \leq J_0(u) + J_0(v)$ for every $u, v \in B$.
17. If $u_n \in B$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) and $u_n \nearrow u$, then $\lim J_0(u_n) = J_0(u)$.

Proof. If $c \prec \sigma$, then $c \leq o$. Hence $c = \sigma$ and therefore $J_0(o) = \lambda(o) = 0$. The property (13) is proved. The property

(14) is evident. To prove (15) and (16) take $u_n, v_n \in B$, $c_n, d_n \in C$ such that $u_n \leq c_n \prec u$, $v_n \leq d_n \prec v$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) and $u_n \nearrow u$, $v_n \nearrow v$. By Lemma 1 $J_0(u) = \lim \lambda(c_n)$, $J_0(v) = \lim \lambda(d_n)$. (1) and (5) imply:

$$\begin{aligned} u_n \wedge v_n &\leq c_n \wedge d_n \prec u \wedge v, \quad u_n \wedge v_n \nearrow u \wedge v \\ u_n \vee v_n &\leq c_n \vee d_n \prec u \vee v, \quad u_n \vee v_n \nearrow u \vee v \\ u_n + v_n &\leq c_n + d_n \prec u + v, \quad u_n + v_n \nearrow u + v; \end{aligned}$$

hence by Lemma 1, (11) and (12)

$$\begin{aligned} J_0(u \vee v) + J_0(u \wedge v) &= \lim \lambda(c_n \vee d_n) + \lim \lambda(c_n \wedge d_n) \leq \\ \lim \lambda(c_n) + \lim \lambda(d_n) &= J_0(u) + J_0(v), \\ J_0(u + v) &= \lim \lambda(c_n + d_n) = \lim \lambda(c_n) + \lim \lambda(d_n) = \\ J_0(u) + J_0(v). \end{aligned}$$

Finally we prove (17). Let $u_n \in B$, $u_n \nearrow u$, $c \in C$, $c \prec u$. Then (8) implies the existence of n_0 such that $c \prec u_{n_0}$. Hence $\lambda(c) \leq J_0(u_{n_0}) \leq \lim J_0(u_n) \leq J_0(u)$ and therefore $J_0(u) \leq \leq \lim J_0(u_n) \leq J_0(u)$.

2. Measurability

First we define $J^*: H \rightarrow R \cup \{\infty\}$ by the formula:

$$J^*(x) = \inf \{J_0(b); b \geq x, b \in B\}.$$

The notion of the Caratheodory measurability needs another binary operation \setminus on H .

In Example 1 the operation \setminus can be understood as the set theoretic difference, in Example 2 it can be defined by $f \setminus g = f - \min(f, g)$.

In the general case the following definition is possible:

Definition 3. An element $x \in H$ is called to be measurable, if $J_0(u) \geq J(u \setminus x) + J^*(u \wedge x)$ for every $u \in B$.

Denote by M the set of all measurable elements.

If we assume some properties of the operation \setminus and the initial mapping J_0 (in our case these properties of J_0 are satisfied - see Theorem 1), we obtain good properties of M (see [12]). Here we are interested in the inclusion $C \subset M$ only.

Theorem 2. Let H satisfy (1) - (5), B and C satisfy (6) - (8) and the following two conditions:

18. If $d \prec u \setminus c$, $u \in B$, $d, c \in C$ then $u \wedge c \leq u \setminus d$.

19. If $u \in B$, $c \in C$ then $u \setminus c \in B$.

Let $\lambda : C \rightarrow R$ be a content satisfying the following condition

20. If $d \prec u \setminus c$, $e \prec u \setminus d$; $c, e, d \in C$, $u \in B$, then
 $e + d \prec u$ and $\lambda(e + d) = \lambda(e) + \lambda(d)$.

Then $C \subset M$.

Proof. Let $c \in C$. Take $e, d \in C$ and $u \in B$ satisfying (20). Then $J_0(u) \geq \lambda(e + d) = \lambda(e) + \lambda(d)$. Hence $J_0(u) \geq \sup \{ \lambda(e); e \prec u \setminus d \} + \lambda(d) = J_0(u \setminus d) + \lambda(d) = J(u \wedge c) + \lambda(d)$ by (18) and (19).

Since $d \prec u \setminus c$ was arbitrary, we obtain

$$J_0(u) \geq J^*(u \wedge c) + J_0(u \setminus c) = J(u \wedge c) + J^*(u \setminus c).$$

3. Extendability

Finally we give a sufficient condition for the equality $J(c) = \lambda(c)$ for every $c \in C$.

Theorem 3. Let $\lambda(c) = \sup \{ \lambda(d); d \prec u \leq e, d \in C, u \in B \} = \inf \{ \lambda(e); c \leq v \leq e, e \in C, v \in B \}$ for every $c \in C$. Then $\lambda(c) = J^*(c)$ for every $c \in C$.

Proof. If $u \leq c, u \in B, c \in C$ and $d \prec u, d \in C$, then $d \prec c$ by (4). Hence $\lambda(d) \leq \lambda(c)$ by (2) and (10), and therefore
21. $J_0(u) = \sup \{ \lambda(d); d \prec u \} \leq \lambda(c).$

To every $\varepsilon > 0$ and $c \in C$ there are $e, d \in C, u, v \in B$ such that $d \prec u \leq c, c \leq v \leq e$ and

$$22. \quad \lambda(c) - \lambda(d) < \varepsilon, \quad \lambda(e) - \lambda(c) < \varepsilon.$$

Then (21) and (22) give

$$\begin{aligned} J^*(c) &\geq J^*(u) = J_0(u) \geq \lambda(d) > \lambda(c) - \varepsilon \\ J^*(c) &\leq J^*(v) = J_0(v) \leq \lambda(e) < \lambda(c) + \varepsilon \quad \text{for every} \\ &\quad \varepsilon > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $J^*(c) = \lambda(c)$.

R E F E R E N C E S

- [1] Alfsen, E.M.: Order preserving maps and integration processes, Math. Ann. 149(1963), 419-461.
- [2] Brehmer, S.: Verbandtheoretische Charakterisierung des Mass- und Integralbegriffs von Carathéodory, Potsdam. Forsch. 1974, B, 3, 88-91.
- [3] Brehmer, S.: Algebraic characterization of measure and integral by the method of Carathéodory, to appear.
- [4] Futáš, E.: Extension of continuous functionals, Mat. Čas. 21(1971), 191-198.
- [5] Halmos, P.R.: Measure theory, New York 1950.
- [6] Neubrunn, T.: O konštrukcii miery z objemu, Acta fac. rer. nat. Univ. Comen., Mathem., 6(1961), 301-317.

- [7] Riečan, B.: Bemerkung zur Konstruktion des Masses aus dem Inhalt, Acta fac. rer. nat. Univ. Comen., Mathem., 13(1966), 13-22.
- [8] Riečan, B.: О непрерывном продолжении монотонных функционалов некоторого типа, Mat. - fyz. Čas. 15 (1965), 116-125.
- [9] Riečan, B.: О продолжении операторов с значениями в линейных полуупорядоченных пространствах, Čas. pěst. mat., 93(1968), 459-471.
- [10] Riečan, B.: An extension of Daniell integration scheme, Mat. časop. 25(1975), 211-219.
- [11] Riečan, B.: Extension of measures and integrals by the help of a pseudometric, Math. Slovaca 27(1977), 143-152.
- [12] Riečan, B.: On the Carathéodory method of the extension of measures and integrals, Math. Slovaca 27(1977), 365-374.
- [13] Riečan, B.: On the unified measure and integration theory, Acta fac. rer. nat. Univ. Comen., Mathem.
- [14] Šabo, M.: Classification and extension by the transfinite induction, Math. Slovaca 28(1978).
- [15] Šabo, M.: On an extension of finite functionals by the transfinite induction, Math. Slovaca 26(1976), 193-200.

Author's address: Beloslav Riečan, Katedra teórie pravdepodobnosti a matematickej štatistiky PFUK, Matematický pavilón, Mlynská dolina
816 31 Bratislava

Michal Šabo, Katedra matematiky Chemicko-technologickej fakulty SVŠT, Gorkého 5
800 00 Bratislava

S Ú H R N

O KONŠTRUKCII MIERY Z OBJEMU

BELOSLAV RIEČAN - MICHAL ŠABO, BRATISLAVA

V práci sa študujú zobrazenia $J : S \rightarrow R$, kde S je vhodný zväz. V prípade, že S je zväz množín, dostávame klasickú konštrukciu miery z objemu. V prípade, že S je zväz reálnych funkcií (na kompaktnnej množine), dostávame analógiu tejto konštrukcie pre Daniellov integrál.

Р Е З Д М Е

О КОНСТРУКЦИИ МЕРЫ ИЗ ОВЪЕМА

ВЕЛОСЛАВ РИЧАН - МИХАЛ ШАБО, БРАТИСЛАВА

В работе изучается отображения $J : S \rightarrow R$, где S подходит для структура. Если в качестве S взять структуру множеств, то получается классическая конструкция меры из объема. В случае, когда S - структура действительных функций (на компактном множестве), получается аналог этой конструкции для интеграла Даниэля.