Werk

Label: Article

Jahr: 1987

PURL: https://resolver.sub.uni-goettingen.de/purl?311067255_0023|log24

Kontakt/Contact

Digizeitschriften e.V.
SUB Göttingen
Platz der Göttinger Sieben 1
37073 Göttingen

info@digizeitschriften.de

ARCHIVUM MATHEMATICUM (BRNO)
Vol. 23, No. 3 (1987), 147–154

ON BOUNDEDNESS AND STABILITY OF SOLUTIONS OF NONLINEAR SECOND ORDER DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS IN HILBERT SPACES

JÁN FUTÁK

(Received December 28, 1985)

Abstract. In this paper there are investigated an existence problem of bounded solutions and a stability of a trivial solution of non-linear differential equations of the 2nd order in Hilbert spaces.

Key words: differential equations in Hilbert spaces, existence and boundedness of solutions, stability, differential equations with delay.

MS Classification. 34 G 20

Let H be a Hilbert separable space with a norm $\| . \| = (.;.)^{1/2}$ where $(.;.)$ is inner product. Let $[H]$ denote the Banach algebra of bounded linear operators from H to H with the operator norm $| . |$, which we refer to as the uniform operator topology.

Let $L_{\text{loc}}(R_+, H)$ denote the space of locally Lebesgue integrable functions u: $R_+ \to H$ with topology of convergence in the mean on every compact subinterval of R_+ and let $C'_{\text{loc}}(R_+, H)$ mean the space of continuously differentiable functions u: $R_+ \to H$ with the locally uniform convergence. Let T: $C'_{\text{loc}}(R_+, H) \to L_{\text{loc}}(R_+, H)$ be a continuous operator of volterra type.

We shall consider a differential equation

$$x''(t) + A(t)\, x'(t) + B(t) f(t, x(t)) + T(x)(t) = 0, \tag{1}$$

where A, B: $R_+ \to [H]$ are locally absolutely continuous (in the uniform operator topology) and symetrical operators, f: $R_+ x H \to H$ and $\dfrac{\partial f}{\partial t}$ are continuous functions.

We assume further that for each $t \in R_+$ that $B(t)$ is a uniformly positive operator (it guarantees the existence of an inverse operator $B^{-1}(t)$ and also that the least eigenvalue of $B(t)$ is positive—see [1] p. 50).

Let $\mu(K)$ denote the eigenvalue of the operator K. Put $\lambda(t) = \max \mu(B(t))$, $\omega(t) = \max \mu(B^{-1}(t))$ and $\nu(t) = \max \mu[(B^{-1}(t))' - 2B^{-1}(t)\, A(t)]$. Throughout the paper we assume that $\lambda(t)$ is a nondecreasing function on R_+.

We define

$$F_i(t, x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n, \ldots) = \int_0^{x_i} f_i(t, x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{i-1}, s, x_{i+1}, \ldots)\, ds,$$

where f_i, $i = 1, 2, \ldots$ are components of $f(t, x)$ and $x = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n, \ldots) \in H$.

Assume that the series $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} F_i(t, x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n, \ldots)$ is convergent and let

$$F(t, x) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} F_i(t, x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n, \ldots).$$

Next suppose everywhere that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{\partial F_i}{\partial x_k} = 0 \qquad \text{for } k \neq i,\ k = 1, 2, \ldots$$

Let $[0, t^*)$ be the interval of existence of a solution of (1). By solution of (1) we understand any function $x\colon [0, t^*) \to H$ which is locally absolutely continuous on $[0, t^*)$ together with its first derivative, it satisfies (1) everywhere on $[0, t^*)$ and is maximally extended to the right.

Definition. (see [2] and [4]). *Let $f(t, 0) \equiv 0$, $T(0)(t) \equiv 0$ and $b\colon R_+ \to (0, \infty)$ be some continuous function. The solution $x = 0$ of (1) is said to be b–stable if for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ such that every solution $x(t)$ of (1) for which*

$$\| x(0) \|^2 + \| x'(0) \|^2 < \delta$$

is defined on R_+ and

$$\| x(t) \|^2 + \frac{\| x'(t) \|^2}{b(t)} < \varepsilon \qquad \text{for } t \in R_+.$$

On boundedness of solutions and b–stability of a trivial solution of scalar second order differential equations is dealt with in [4]. Boundedness of solutions and b–stability of a trivial solution of nonlinear second order differential systems is investigated in [2]. In this paper the results from [2] and [4] are generalized.

Define for arbitrary function $u\colon R_+ \to H$ and $t \in R_+$

$$\| u \|_t = \max_{0 \leq s \leq t} \| u(s) \|.$$

Theorem 1. *Let $F_0\colon R_+ \to R_+$ be a continuous function and $\alpha\colon R_+ \to R_+$ be a measurable function such that*

$$\text{(2)} \qquad \lim_{t \to \infty} F_0(t) = \infty \qquad \textit{and} \qquad \int_0^{\infty} \lambda(t)\, [\alpha(t)\, \omega(t) + v_+(t)]\, dt < \infty,$$

where $v_+ = \max\{v, 0\}$.

Moreover let

(i) *$F(t, u) \geqq F_0(\| u \|)$ for $t \in R_+$, $u \in H$,*

(ii) $\dfrac{\partial F(t, u)}{\partial t} \leqq \alpha(t) F_0(\| u \|)$ *for* $t \in R_+, u \in H$,

(iii) $\| Tx \|_t \leqq \alpha(t) [\sqrt{\lambda(t)} + \sqrt{\lambda(t) F_0(\| x \|_t)} + \| x' \|_t]$

for $t \in R_+, x \in C'_{\mathrm{loc}}(R_+, H)$.

Then every solution of (1) exists on R_+ and is bounded.

Proof. Let $x(t)$ be arbitrary solution of (1) with its interval existence $[0, t^*)$. Without loss of generality suppose that the function $F_0(t)$ is nondecreasing.

If (1) is multiplied (from the left-hand side) by $B^{-1}(t)$ and the scalar function $x'(t)$ and then integrated from 0 to t, $t \in [0, t^*)$, we obtain

$$(3) \quad \int_0^t (B^{-1}x''; x')\,\mathrm{d}s + \int_0^t (B^{-1}Ax'; x')\,\mathrm{d}s + \int_0^t (f(s, x); x')\,\mathrm{d}s + \int_0^t (B^{-1}Tx; x')\,\mathrm{d}s = 0.$$

As there holds

$$(B^{-1}x''; x') = \frac{1}{2}(B^{-1}x'; x')' - \frac{1}{2}([B^{-1}]'x'; x')$$

and

$$(f(t, x); x') = \frac{\mathrm{d}F(t, x)}{\mathrm{d}t} - \frac{\partial F(t, x)}{\partial t},$$

from (3) we have

$$(4) \quad (B^{-1}x'; x') + 2F(t, x) = C_0 + \int_0^t (([B^{-1}]' - 2B^{-1}A)\,x'; x')\,\mathrm{d}s + \\ + 2\int_0^t \frac{\partial F(s, x)}{\partial s}\,\mathrm{d}s - 2\int_0^t (B^{-1}Tx; x')\,\mathrm{d}s,$$

where $C_0 = (B^{-1}(0)\,x'(0); x'(0)) + 2F(0, x(0))$.

With regard to hypotheses (i), (ii) from (4) it follows

$$(5) \quad (B^{-1}x'; x') + 2F_0(\| x \|) \leqq C_0 + 2\int_0^t \alpha(s) F_0(\| x \|)\,\mathrm{d}s + \int_0^t \nu(s) \| x'(s) \|^2\,\mathrm{d}s + \\ + 2\int_0^t (B^{-1}Tx; x')\,\mathrm{d}s, \qquad \text{for } t \in [0, t^*).$$

From (5) with regard to (iii) we obtain estimates

$$(6) \quad \frac{1}{\lambda(t)} \| x' \|_t^2 \leqq C_0 + 2\int_0^t \alpha(s) F_0(\| x \|_s)\,\mathrm{d}s + \int_0^t \nu_+(s) \| x' \|_s^2\,\mathrm{d}s + \\ + 2\int_0^t \alpha(s)\,\lambda(s)\,\omega(s)\left[1 + \sqrt{F_0(\| x \|_s)} + \frac{\| x' \|_s}{\sqrt{\lambda(s)}}\right]\frac{\| x' \|_s}{\sqrt{\lambda(s)}}\,\mathrm{d}s, \qquad t \in [0, t^*),$$

$$(7)\qquad 2F_0(\| x \|_t) \leqq C_0 + 2\int_0^t \alpha(s)\, F_0(\| x \|_s)\, \mathrm{d}s + \int_0^t \nu_+(s)\, \| x' \|_s^2\, \mathrm{d}s +$$

$$+ 2\int_0^t \alpha(s)\, \lambda(s)\, \omega(s) \left[1 + \sqrt{F_0(\| x \|_s)} + \frac{\| x' \|_s}{\sqrt{\lambda(s)}} \right] \frac{\| x' \|_s}{\sqrt{\lambda(s)}}\, \mathrm{d}s, \qquad t \in [0, t^*).$$

After addition of (6) and (7) we get

$$(8)\qquad \frac{1}{\lambda(t)} \| x' \|_t^2 + 2F_0(\| x \|_t) \leqq 2C_0 + 4\int_0^t \alpha(s)\, F_0(\| x \|_s)\, \mathrm{d}s + 2\int_0^t \nu_+(s)\, \| x' \|_s^2\, \mathrm{d}s +$$

$$+ 4\int_0^t \alpha(s)\, \lambda(s)\, \omega(s) \left[1 + \sqrt{F_0(\| x \|_s)} + \frac{\| x' \|_s}{\sqrt{\lambda(s)}} \right] \frac{\| x' \|_s}{\sqrt{\lambda(s)}}\, \mathrm{d}s \qquad \text{for } t \in [0, t^*).$$

According to the inequality $2(1 + a + b)\, b \leqq 1 + a^2 + 4b^2$ and $\alpha(t) \leqq \alpha(t)\, \lambda(t)\, \omega(t)$ from (8) it follows

$$(9)\qquad \frac{\| x' \|_t^2}{\lambda(t)} + 2F_0(\| x \|_t) \leqq C_1 + 8\int_0^t \lambda(s)\, [\alpha(s)\, \omega(s) + \nu_+(s)] \times$$

$$\times \left[\frac{\| x' \|_s^2}{\lambda(s)} + 2F_0(\| x \|_s) \right] \mathrm{d}s,$$

where $C_1 = 2C_0 + 2\int_0^\infty \lambda(s)\, \alpha(s)\, \omega(s)\, \mathrm{d}s$.

By using of Gronwall inequality ([3], p. 37) we have

$$(10)\qquad \frac{\| x' \|_t^2}{\lambda(t)} + 2F_0(\| x \|_t) \leqq C_1 \exp\left(8\int_0^\infty \lambda(t)\, [\alpha(t)\, \omega(t) + \nu_+(t)]\, \mathrm{d}t\right) \leqq C_2,$$

$$t \in [0, t^*).$$

With respect to (2) we have from (10) that $t^* = \infty$ and $\sup_{t \in R_+} \| x(t) \| < \infty$.

Thus the proof is complete.

Remark. If $\nu(t) \leqq 0$ for $t \in R_+$, then the assertion of Theorem 1 is true, just the integral in (2) is the form

$$\int_0^\infty \lambda(t)\, \alpha(t)\, \omega(t)\, \mathrm{d}t < \infty.$$

Example. Consider a differential equation on $H = R = (-\infty, +\infty)$, of the form

$$(1')\qquad x''(t) + a(t)\, x'(t) + b(t)\, f(t, x(t)) + T(x)(t) = 0,$$

where a, b: $R_+ \to R$ are locally absolutely continuous functions, $b(t) > 0$ and non-decreasing on R_+, f: $R_+ \times R \to R$ and $\dfrac{\partial f(t, x)}{\partial t}$ are continuous functions and T: $C'_{\mathrm{loc}}(R_+, R) \to L_{\mathrm{loc}}(R_+, R)$ is a continuous operator (see [4]) of volterra type.

Then

$$F(t, x) = \int_0^x f(t, s)\, \mathrm{d}s,\ \lambda(t) = b(t) \qquad \text{and} \qquad \nu(t) = \left(\frac{1}{b(t)}\right)' - 2\frac{a(t)}{b(t)}.$$

The integral in (2) is the form

$$\int_0^\infty \left[\alpha(t) + b(t)\left\{\left(\frac{1}{b(t)}\right)' - 2\frac{a(t)}{b(t)}\right\}_+\right] dt < \infty.$$

Thus all assumptions of Theorem 1 for equation (1^r) be satisfied.

If e.g.

$$a(t) \geqq -\frac{b'(t)}{2b(t)} \qquad \text{then } v(t) \leqq 0 \qquad \text{for } t \in R_+ \text{ (see Remark).}$$

Theorem 2. *Let $F_0 : [0, r] \to R_+$, $(r > 0)$ be a continuous function and $\alpha : R_+ \to R_+$ be a measurable function such that*

(11) $F_0(t) > 0$ *for* $0 < t \leqq r$ *and* $\int_0^\infty \lambda(t)\,[\alpha(t)\,\omega(t) + v_+(t)]\,dt < \infty.$

Moreover let

(i′) $F(t, u) \geqq F_0(\| u \|)$ *for* $t \in R_+$, $\| u \| \leqq r$,

(ii′) $\dfrac{\partial F(t, u)}{\partial t} \leqq \alpha(t)\, F_0(\| u \|)$, *for* $t \in R_+$, $\| u \| \leqq r$,

(iii′) $$\| Tx \|_t \leqq \alpha(t)\,[\sqrt{\lambda(t)\, F_0(\| x \|_t)} + \| x' \|_t]$$

$$\textit{for } t \in R_+,\ x \in C'_{\mathrm{loc}}(R_+, H),\ \textit{and } \| x \|_t + \frac{\| x' \|_t^2}{\sqrt{\lambda(t)}} \leqq r.$$

Then the solution $x = 0$ of (1) is λ-stable.

Proof. Without loss of generality suppose that $F_0(t)$ is an increasing function. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be an arbitrary number. To ε take $0 < \delta < \min\{\varepsilon, \lambda(0)\,\varepsilon\}$ such that

(12) $$\beta \| B^{-1}(0) \| \delta + 2\beta \max\{F(0, u), \| u \| \leqq \sqrt{\delta}\} < \min\left\{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}, 2F_0\left(\sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}\right)\right\},$$

where $\beta = 2 \exp(8 \int_0^\infty \lambda(t)\,[\alpha(t)\,\omega(t) + v_+(t)]\,dt)$ holds.

Let $x(t)$ be an arbitrary solution of (1) fulfilling an inequality

(13) $$\| x(0) \|^2 + \| x'(0) \|^2 < \delta.$$

We show that this solution does exist on R_+ and that fulfils

(14) $$\| x(t) \|^2 + \frac{\| x'(t) \|^2}{\lambda(t)} < \varepsilon \qquad \text{for } t \in R_+.$$

On the contrary suppose, that (14) does not holds. Then there exists $t_0 \in (0, \infty)$ such that

$$\| x(t) \|^2 + \frac{\| x'(t) \|^2}{\lambda(t)} < \varepsilon \qquad \text{for } t \in [0, t_0),$$

and

$$\| x(t_0) \|^2 + \frac{\| x'(t_0) \|^2}{\lambda(t_0)} = \varepsilon. \tag{15}$$

Similarly as in the proof of Theorem 1 we obtain from (5) according to assumptions the following estimate

$$\frac{\| x' \|_t^2}{\lambda(t)} + 2F_0(\| x \|_t) \leqq 2C_0 + 8 \int_0^\infty \lambda(s) [\alpha(s)\, \omega(s) + \nu_+(s)] \times \times \left[\frac{\| x' \|_s^2}{\lambda(s)} + 2F_0(\| x \|_s) \right] \mathrm{d}s. \tag{16}$$

On applying of Gronwall inequality to (16) we get

$$\frac{1}{\lambda(t)} \| x'(t) \|^2 + 2F_0(\| x(t) \|) \leqq \beta[\| B^{-1}(0) \| \; \| x'(0) \|^2 + 2F(0,x(0))], \quad t \in [0, t_0],$$

from which there holds that

$$\frac{\| x'(t_0) \|^2}{\lambda(t_0)} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$$

and simultaneously

$$2F_0(\| x(t_0) \|) \leqq 2F_0\left(\sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}\right) \quad \text{or} \quad \| x(t_0) \| < \sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}.$$

From the last two inequalities then we have

$$\| x(t_0) \|^2 + \frac{\| x'(t_0) \|^2}{\lambda(t_0)} < \varepsilon$$

which is a contradiction with (15). This proves that the solution $x(t)$ exists on R_+ and (14) holds. Thus, the solution $x = 0$ of (1) is λ-stable.

Next we shall consider a delay differential equation

$$x''(t) + A(t)\, x'(t) + B(t) f_1(t, \sigma(x; h(t))) + f_2(t, \sigma(x; h(t)), \sigma(x'; h(t))) = 0, \tag{17}$$

where A, B have the same meaning as above, $f_1 : R_+ \times H \to H$ and $\frac{\partial f_1}{\partial t}$ are continuous functions, $f_2 : R_+ \times H \times H \to H$ fulfils local Carathéodory conditions and σ is an operator defined by

$$\sigma(u; t) = \begin{cases} u(t - h(t)) & \text{for } t \geqq h(t), \\ 0 & \text{for } t < h(t), \end{cases}$$

where $h : R_+ \to R_+$ is a measurable function locally bounded on R_+.

Theorem 3. *Let $F_0 : R_+ \to R_+$ be a continuous function and $\alpha, \mathscr{C} : R_+ \to R_+$ be measurable functions such that*

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} F_0(t) = \infty, \qquad \int_0^\infty \lambda(t)\,[\alpha(t)\,\omega(t) + v_+(t)]\,\mathrm{d}t < \infty, \qquad \int_0^\infty h(t)\,\lambda(t)\,\varphi(t)\,\mathrm{d}t < \infty.$$

Further let the function $F(t, u)$ be defined by means of $f_1(t, u)$ as in the Theorem 1 and let fulfil conditions (i), (ii) *of the Theorem 1.*

Suppose that on $R_+ \times H$ there holds

$$\| f_1(t, u) - f_1(t, \bar{u}) \| \leqq \mathscr{C}(t) \| u - \bar{u} \| \tag{18}$$

and on $R_+ \times H \times H$

$$\| f_2(t, u, v) \| \leqq \alpha(t)\,[\sqrt{\lambda(t)} + \sqrt{\lambda(t)\,F_0(\| u \|)} + \| v \|]. \tag{19}$$

Then any solution of (17) *is defined on R_+ and is bounded.*

Proof. If we put

$$f(t, u) = f_1(t, u)$$

and

$$T(x)\,(t) = B(t)\,[f_1(t, \sigma(x; h(t))) - f_1(t, x)] + f_2(t, \sigma(x; h(t)), \sigma(x'; h(t)))$$

then (17) is transformed into (1).

According to assumptions (18) and (19) we obtain

$$\| f_1(t, \sigma(x; h(t))) - f_1(t, x) \| \leqq \varphi(t) \| \sigma(x; h(t)) - x(t) \| \leqq \varphi(t)\,h(t) \| x' \|_t \quad \text{for } x \in C'_{\mathrm{loc}}(R_+, H),$$

and

$$\| Tx \|_t \leqq \lambda(t)\,\varphi(t)\,h(t) \| x' \|_t + \alpha(t)\,[\sqrt{\lambda(t)} + \sqrt{\lambda(t)\,F_0(\| x \|_t)} + \| x' \|_t] \leqq$$
$$\leqq \varrho(t)\,[\sqrt{\lambda(t)} + \sqrt{\lambda(t)\,F_0(\| x \|_t)} + \| x' \|_t],$$

where $\varrho(t) = \lambda(t)\,\varphi(t)\,h(t) + \alpha(t)$ and $\int_0^\infty \varrho(t)\,\mathrm{d}t < \infty$.

Thus, all assumptions of Theorem 1 are fulfilled. Therefore any solution of (17) exists on R_+ and is bounded.

Theorem 4. *Let $F_0 : [0, r] \to R_+$ $(r > 0)$, be a continuous function, $\alpha, \varphi : R_+ \to R_+$ be measurable functions such that*

$$F_0(t) > 0 \quad \textit{for } 0 < t \leqq r, \qquad \int_0^\infty \lambda(t)\,[\alpha(t)\,\omega(t) + v_+(t)]\,\mathrm{d}t < \infty,$$
$$\int_0^\infty h(t)\,\lambda(t)\,\varphi(t)\,\mathrm{d}t < \infty.$$

Let the function $F(t, u)$ have the same meaning as in Theorem 3 and let for $t \in R_+$ and $\| u \| \leqq r$ fulfil conditions (i'), (ii') *from Theorem 2.*

Suppose that on the set $\{(t, u), t \in R_+, \| u \| \leqq r\}$ (18) *holds and on the set $\{(t, u, v), t \in R_+, \| u \| \leqq r, \| v \| \leqq r\}$*

$$\| f_2(t, u, v) \| \leqq \alpha(t) [\sqrt{\lambda(t) F_0(\| u \|)} + \| v \|]$$

holds.

Then the solution $x = 0$ *of* (17) *is* λ*-stable.*

Proof can be carried out in the same way as the of Theorem 2 by using of Theorem 3.

REFERENCES

[1] Ju. L. Daleckij, M. G. Krejn, *Ustojčivosť rešenij differenciaľnych uravnenij v banachovom prostranstve.* Izd. „Nauka", Moskva 1970.

[2] J. Futák, *On boundedness and stability of solutions of nonlinear second order differential systems.* Short communication on III. Conference on differential equation 1985 Ruse (Bulgaria).

[3] F. Hatman, *Obyknovennye differenciaľnye uravnenija.* Izd. „Mir", Moskva 1970.

[4] D. B. Izjumova, *Ob ograničennosti i ustojčivosti rešenij nekotorych funkcionaľno-differenciaľnych uravnenij vtorovo porjadka.* Trudy Instituta prikladnoj matematiky im. J. N. Vekua, 14, 1983, 52–59.

J. Futák
Department of Mathematics
Transport College
Marxa a Engelsa 25
010 88 Žilina
Czechoslovakia