

## Werk

**Titel:** Algorithmical aspects of the problem of classifying multi-projections of verone...

**Autor:** Hoa, Le Tuan

**Jahr:** 1989

**PURL:** [https://resolver.sub.uni-goettingen.de/purl?365956996\\_0063|log25](https://resolver.sub.uni-goettingen.de/purl?365956996_0063|log25)

## Kontakt/Contact

[Digizeitschriften e.V.](#)  
SUB Göttingen  
Platz der Göttinger Sieben 1  
37073 Göttingen

✉ [info@digizeitschriften.de](mailto:info@digizeitschriften.de)

ALGORITHMETICAL ASPECTS OF THE PROBLEM OF  
CLASSIFYING MULTI-PROJECTIONS OF VERONESIAN VARIETIES

LE TUAN HOA

It is shown that in order to check the arithmetical Cohen-Macaulayness or Buchsbaumness of projections of Veronesian varieties one only needs finitely many operations. A practical criterion for a class of projections of one-dimensional Veronesian varieties to have these properties is given. As a consequence, we obtain an upper bound for the difference between the Buchsbaum invariant and the length of the semigroup ideal for the Buchsbaum projections.

1. Introduction

This paper is a case study of a problem on the boundary between commutative algebra and computer algebra. The question is that of deciding the Cohen-Macaulayness or Buchsbaumness of multi-projections of Veronesian varieties.

An  $r$ -dimensional Veronesian variety  $V$  is a projective variety over a field  $k$  given parametrically by the set  $M_{r,d}$  of all monomials of degree  $d$  in  $r$  indeterminates (say,  $t_1, \dots, t_r$ ) for some integers  $r \geq 2$ ,  $d \geq 1$ . By a projection  $W$  of  $V$  we understand a projective variety over  $k$  given parametrically by a subset  $M_W$  of  $M_{r,d}$ .

In his fundamental paper [4] Gröbner showed that the defining prime ideal of  $V$  is always perfect, i.e. the homogeneous coordinate ring of  $V$  is a Cohen-Macaulay ring, but certain projections of  $V$  have imperfect

defining prime ideals. In view of this phenomenon he then posed the problem of classifying projections of Veronesian varieties.

For simple, double or triple projections of  $V$  whose sets of parameters are obtained from  $M_{r,d}$  by deleting respectively one, two or three monomials, Gröbner's problem found a satisfactory solution in [8], [9] and [5] where one showed, in terms of the deleted monomials, which ones of these projections are arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay or arithmetically Buchsbaum, i.e. the local ring of the vertex of its affine cone is Cohen-Macaulay or Buchsbaum, respectively. For one-dimensional Veronesian varieties there is some effort to solve this problem in [1] and [10].

The aim of this paper is to solve this problem from the algorithmical viewpoint for the simplicial case, i.e. for all projections whose sets of parameters contain all monomials  $t_1^d, t_2^d, \dots, t_r^d$  (see Section 2). Namely, we shall show that to check whether such a projection  $W$  is arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay or arithmetically Buchsbaum or not one only needs finitely many operations (Theorem 2.2). This one is able to do, because in this case a general combinatorial topological criterion of [11] for an affine semigroup ring to be Cohen-Macaulay turns out only a combinatorial criterion. This result generalizes a result of [1] and [10] for one-dimensional Veronesian varieties. But the number of operations is still too large. Even for the one-dimensional case the arithmetical conditions in [1] or in [10] are very complicated, because following Bresinsky one must define the Apery sequence of a numerical semigroup. In Section 3 we will give a practical criterion for projections of one-dimensional Veronesian varieties whose sets of parameters contain  $t_1^{d-1}t_2$  to be arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay or arithmetically Buchsbaum. As a consequence, for such a arithmetically Buchsbaum projection we can give some bound for the difference between the Buchsbaum invariant

and the so-called length of its associated semigroup ideal.

The following notation will be used throughout. Let  $Z$  be the set of integers. If  $p, q$  are integers and  $p \leq q$ ,  $[p, q]$  denotes the set of integers  $n$  with  $p \leq n \leq q$ . If  $A, B$  are subsets of  $Z^r$  we denote by  $A \pm B$  the sets  $\{a \pm b, a \in A \text{ and } b \in B\}$ .

## 2. Simplicial case

Let  $W$  be an arbitrary projection of a Veronesian variety  $V$ . Let  $M_W$  be its associated set of parameters. Put

$$I_W = \{(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r) \in N^r ; t_1^{\alpha_1} \dots t_r^{\alpha_r} \in M_W\},$$

where  $N$  denotes the set of non-negative integers. Then one can identify the homogeneous coordinate ring of  $W$  with the semigroup ring of the affine semigroup  $H_W$  generated by  $I_W$  in  $N^r$ . We call  $H_W$  the associated affine semigroup of  $W$ . If no confusion can arise we shall drop the index  $W$  in the notation  $M_W, I_W$  etc.

Recall that an affine semigroup  $H$  in  $N^r$  (i.e. a finitely generated semigroup) is called simplicial if there exist elements  $f_1, \dots, f_n$  in  $H$  such that

- (i)  $f_1, \dots, f_n$  are linearly independent over  $Q$ ,
  - (ii) For all  $f \in H$  there exist non-negative integers  $m > 0$  and  $m_1, \dots, m_n$  such that  $mf = m_1f_1 + \dots + m_nf_n$ .
- (See [3]).

In this paper we consider only the projections whose associated affine semigroups are simplicial. For  $i = 1, \dots, r$ , let

$$e_i = (\dots, \underline{d}, \dots),$$

where  $d$  is in the  $i$ -th place and the lined points denote the zero components. Then, by using a suitable Hochster-transformation as in [6], we can always reduce to the case  $e_i \in I_W$  for every  $i = 1, \dots, r$ . Without loss of generality we may therefore assume that  $e_1, \dots, e_r \in I_W$ . Let

$$\bar{H} = \{e \in Z(H) ; me \in H \text{ for some integer } m > 0\},$$

where  $Z(H)$  denotes the additive group generated by  $H$  in  $Z^r$ . For a positive integer  $p$ , put

$$H_p = \left\{ e \in \bar{H} ; e + pe_i \in H \text{ and } e + pe_j \in H \text{ for some } 1 \leq i, j \leq r \right\}.$$

If  $e = ([e]_1, \dots, [e]_r) \in \bar{H}$ , then  $\delta(e) = ([e]_1 + \dots + [e]_r)/c$  will be called the degree of  $e$ . Note that  $\delta(e) = 1$  if  $e \in I$ . Let  $c$  denote the number of elements of  $I$ . Then we have

LEMMA 2.1. Let  $e$  be an arbitrary element of  $H_p$ . Then

$$e = h + e',$$

for some  $h \in H$  and  $e' \in H_p$  with  $\delta(e') < 2cd-1$ .

PROOF. We prove this lemma by induction on the degree of  $e \in H_p$ . If  $\delta(e) < 2cd-1$  there is nothing to prove. Assume  $e \in H_p$  and  $\delta(e) \geq 2cd-1$ . By the definition of  $H_p$  there exist  $i \neq j, 1 \leq i, j \leq r$  such that

$$e + pe_i = \sum_{a \in I} m_a a, \quad (1)$$

and

$$e + pe_j = \sum_{a \in I} n_a a, \quad (2)$$

where  $m_a, n_a \in \mathbb{N}$ . Put

$$L_1 = \left\{ l ; [a]_l = 0 \text{ for all } a \in I \text{ with } m_a \geq d \text{ in (1)} \right\},$$

$$L_2 = \left\{ l ; [a]_l = 0 \text{ for all } a \in I \text{ with } n_a \geq d \text{ in (2)} \right\}.$$

Since  $m_a [a]_l \leq (d-1)[a]_l$  for  $l \in L_1$ , from (1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{l \in L_1} [e]_l &\leq \sum_{l \in L_1} [e + pe_i]_l = \sum_{l \in L_1, a \in I} m_a [a]_l \\ &\leq (d-1) \sum_{l \in L_1, a \in I} [a]_l \\ &\leq (d-1) \sum_{a \in I} \sum_{l=1}^r [a]_l = cd(d-1). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly as above, we have

$$\sum_{l \in L_2} [e]_l \leq cd(d-1).$$

Summing up, we then get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{l \in L_1 \cup L_2} [e]_l &\leq 2cd(d-1) < d(2cd-1) \leq d\delta(e) \\ &= \sum_{l=1}^r [e]_l . \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $[1, r] \setminus (L_1 \cup L_2) \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $l$  be an index of  $[1, r] \setminus (L_1 \cup L_2)$ . Then we can find elements  $a', b' \in I$  with  $[a']_l \neq 0$ ,  $m_a \geq d$  and  $[b']_l \neq 0$  and  $n_b \geq d$ . Substituting in (1) the expression

$$\begin{aligned} m_a a' &= (m_a - d)a' + da' \\ &= (m_a - d)a' + [a']_1 e_1 + \dots + [a']_r e_r , \end{aligned}$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} (e - e_1) + pe_i &= \sum_{a \in I, a \neq a'} m_a a + (m_a - d)a' + \\ &+ \sum_{k \neq l} [a']_k e_k + ([a']_l - 1)e_l . \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $(e - e_1) + pe_i \in H$ . Similarly  $(e - e_1) + pe_j \in H$ . Thus  $e - e_1 \in H_p$ . By the inductive assumption the statement is now immediate.

We can now prove the main result of this section.

**THEOREM 2.2.** To check if  $W$  is arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay or arithmetically Buchsbaum or not we only need finitely many operations.

**PROOF.** Set  $\underline{m}_H = k[H \setminus (0)]$  the maximal graded ideal of  $k[H]$ . Then, as we have remarked in the introduction,  $W$  is arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay (resp. Buchsbaum) iff  $k[H]_{\underline{m}_H}$  is a Cohen-Macaulay (resp. Buchsbaum) ring, since  $k[H]_{\underline{m}_H}$  is isomorphic to the local ring of the vertex of the affine cone of  $W$ . By [3] and [9] (see also [11]) that is equivalent to  $H_1 = H$  or  $(H \setminus (0)) + H_2 \subseteq H$ , respectively. Put

$$E_p = \{e ; \delta(e) < 2cd - 1 \text{ and } e \in H_p \setminus H\}.$$

Since  $H$  is generated by  $I$ , from the above statement and from Lemma 2.1 we can conclude that  $W$  is arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay iff  $E_1 = \emptyset$  and  $W$  is arithmetically Buchsbaum iff  $I + E_2 \subset H$ . To compute  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  and to check whether  $I + E_2 \subset H$  we need obviously only finitely many operations, q.e.d.

From the finiteness of Apery sequences one can deduce the above theorem from [1, Theorem 3.1] for projections of one-dimensional Veronesian varieties. Independently, for a class of such projections Trung [10] has obtained the same result. Obviously, the associated affine semigroups of projections of one-dimensional Veronesian varieties are simplicial. Also, our theorem is a generalization of Bresinsky's and Trung's result.

### 3. Projections of one-dimensional Veronesian varieties

In this section we consider the case  $r = 2$ , i.e. we consider projections of one-dimensional Veronesian varieties. Such projections are also called projective monomial curves. Let  $C$  be such a curve. Since the associated affine semigroup of  $C$  is simplicial, we may assume as usual that  $e_1, e_2 \in I_C$ . Let

$$J_C = \{\alpha \in \mathbb{N} ; (d-\alpha, \alpha) \in I_C\}.$$

Then we can find a non-decreasing sequence of integers  $a_0, \dots, a_{2s+1}$  with

$$0 = a_0 \leq a_1 < a_1 + 1 < a_2 \leq a_3 < \dots < a_{2s} \leq a_{2s+1} = d,$$

such that  $J = \bigcup_{i=0}^s [a_{2i}, a_{2i+1}]$ . We shall only consider the case  $a_1 > 0$ , i.e.  $1 \in J$ . (For the case  $a_1 = 0$ ,  $a_{2s} = d$ , i.e.  $1 \notin J$  and  $d-1 \notin J$ , there are only a few particular results in [1] and [10]).

Let  $t$  be any of  $1, \dots, s$ . Denote by  $K^t$  the set of all elements of the form  $(d-\alpha, \alpha) + ne_1$ ,  $\alpha \in [a_{2t-1}+1, a_{2t}-1]$

and  $n \geq 0$ , and by  $H^t, \underline{H}^t$  the additive semigroups in  $N^2$  generated by the elements  $(d-\alpha, \alpha)$  with  $\alpha \in \bigcup_{i=t}^s [a_{2i}, a_{2i+1}]$  or  $\alpha \in \bigcup_{i=0}^{t-1} [a_{2i}, a_{2i+1}]$ , respectively. Set

$$\widetilde{F} = \bigcup_{t=1}^s (H^t - e_2) \cap (K^t \setminus \underline{H}^t) .$$

Then we have

LEMMA 3.1[10, Lemma 3.1]. Suppose that  $a_1 > 0$ . Then  $C$  is arithmetically Buchsbaum iff  $\widetilde{F} + I \subseteq H$ . In that case  $i(C) = \#\widetilde{F}$ , where  $i(C)$  denotes the Buchsbaum invariant of  $C$  and  $\#$  denotes the cardinality.

REMARK. This Lemma is formulated in [10] only for the case  $a_1 > 0$  and  $a_{2s} = d$ , but the proof is suitable for the general case  $a_1 > 0$ .

Let  $S = \langle v_1, \dots, v_n \rangle$  denote the additive subsemigroup of  $N$  generated by the elements  $v_1, \dots, v_n \in N$ . If  $v \in S$ ,  $v = m_1 v_1 + \dots + m_n v_n$  and  $m_1 + \dots + m_n$  minimal, then  $m_1 + \dots + m_n$  will be called the degree of  $v$  with respect to  $S$  and denoted by  $\delta_S(v)$  (or simply by  $\delta(v)$ ).

Let now  $S$  be a semigroup of  $N$  generated by  $J$ . Further, we denote by  $F$  the set of all non-negative integers  $\alpha$  with the following properties:

- (i)  $\alpha \in [a_{2t-1}+1, a_{2t}-1]$  for some  $t = 1, \dots, s$ ,
- (ii)  $\alpha + d \in S_\alpha := \langle \bigcup_{i=t}^s [a_{2i}, a_{2i+1}] \rangle$ ,
- (iii)  $\delta(\alpha) \geq \delta_{S_\alpha}(\alpha+d)$ .

REMARK. From (iii) it follows that  $\alpha + d$  must belong to the subsemigroup  $\langle \bigcup_{i=t}^s [a_{2i}, a_{2i+1}] \setminus \{d\} \rangle$ . Therefore, in the case  $a_1 > 0$ ,  $a_{2s} = d$  the conditions (i) and (ii) are equivalent to the following conditions

- (i')  $\alpha \in [a_{2t-1}+1, a_{2t}-1]$  for some  $t = 1, \dots, s-1$ ,



$$(ii') \alpha + d \in \left\langle \bigcup_{i=t}^{s-1} [a_{2i}, a_{2i+1}] \right\rangle.$$

Now we can simplify Trung's result as follows

THEOREM 3.2. Suppose that  $a_1 > 0$ . Then  $C$  is arithmetically Buchsbaum if and only if

$$\delta_{S_\alpha}(\alpha + d) \geq \delta(\alpha + \beta),$$

for all  $\alpha \in E$  and  $\beta \in J \setminus \{d\}$ . In that case,  $i(C) = \# E$ .

In particular,  $C$  is an arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay curve if and only if  $E = \emptyset$ .

To prove the theorem, we shall use the following easy result

LEMMA 3.3([1, Lemma 3.1], [7, Lemma 1]). Let  $J^\# \subseteq [0, d]$ .  $H^\#$  denotes the subsemigroup in  $N^2$  generated by the elements  $(d-\alpha, \alpha)$ ,  $\alpha \in J^\#$ , and  $S^\#$  denotes the subsemigroup in  $N$  generated by  $J^\#$ . Let  $\alpha, \beta \in N$  with  $\alpha + \beta \equiv 0 \pmod d$ . Then

$$(\beta, \alpha) \in H^\# \text{ iff } \alpha \in S^\# \text{ and } \alpha + \beta \geq \delta_{S^\#}(\alpha)d.$$

REMARK. The above lemma differs from [1, Lemma 3.1] and [7, Lemma 1] in that here we may have  $0 \notin J^\#$  or  $d \notin J^\#$ .

PROOF OF THEOREM 3.2. We will prove that the condition of the theorem is equivalent to the condition of Lemma 3.1. Let  $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$  and  $\alpha + \beta \equiv 0 \pmod d$ . By definition of  $K^t$  we have

$$(\beta, \alpha) \in K^t \text{ iff } \alpha \in [a_{2t-1}+1, a_{2t}-1].$$

By definition of  $H^t$  and of  $S_\alpha$  and by Lemma 3.3

$$(\beta, \alpha) \in H^t - e_2 \text{ iff } \alpha + d \in S_\alpha \text{ and } \beta + (\alpha + d) \geq \delta_{S_\alpha}(\alpha + d)d.$$

Since  $1 \in J$ , we have always  $\alpha \in \underline{S} := \left\langle \bigcup_{i=0}^{t-1} [a_{2i}, a_{2i+1}] \right\rangle$ . Again by Lemma 3.3, we get

$$(\beta, \alpha) \notin H^t \text{ iff } \alpha + \beta < \delta_{\underline{S}}(\alpha)d.$$

On the other hand, it is trivial that  $\delta_{\underline{S}}(\alpha) = \delta(\alpha)$  if  $\alpha \in [a_{2t-1}+1, a_{2t}-1]$ . Combining the above conditions, we then get that  $(\beta, \alpha) \in \widetilde{E}$  iff  $\alpha$  satisfies the conditions (i), (ii) of the definition of  $E$  and

$$(\delta_{\underline{S}}(\alpha + d) - 1)d \leq \alpha + \beta \leq (\delta(\alpha) - 1)d.$$

From this it follows in particular that  $\delta(\alpha) \geq \delta_{\underline{S}}(\alpha+d)$ . Summing up, we have

$$\widetilde{E} = \{(\beta, \alpha) ; \alpha \in E \text{ and } (\delta_{\underline{S}}(\alpha+d)-1)d - \alpha \leq \beta \leq (\delta(\alpha)-1)d - \alpha\}.$$

Finally we set

$$\mathcal{E} = \{([\delta_{\underline{S}}(\alpha+d)-1]d - \alpha, \alpha) ; \alpha \in E\} \subseteq \widetilde{E}.$$

By Lemma 3.3, it is easily seen that the condition of the theorem is equivalent to the condition  $\mathcal{E} + I \subseteq H$ . From this it now follows that the implication ( $\Leftarrow$ ) is trivial.

Conversely, assume that the condition of the theorem is satisfied. Then, putting  $\beta = 0 \in J$ , we have

$$\delta_{\underline{S}}(\alpha+d) \geq \delta(\alpha+0) = \delta(\alpha),$$

for all  $\alpha \in E$ . Combining this with the condition (iii) of the definition of  $E$ , we get that  $\delta(\alpha) = \delta_{\underline{S}}(\alpha+d)$  for all  $\alpha \in E$ . Hence  $\mathcal{E} = \widetilde{E}$ . Since  $\mathcal{E} + I \subseteq H$ , we must have  $\widetilde{E} + I \subseteq H$ . As a consequence, we have

$$i(C) = \#\widetilde{E} = \#\mathcal{E} = \#E.$$

The proof of Theorem 3.2 is now complete.

The following corollary is an improvement of [1, Theorem 4.5](cf. also [10, Theorem 4.1]).

**COROLLARY 3.4.** Suppose that  $a_1 > 0$ . If  $\delta(t) \leq 2$  for every integer  $1 \leq t \leq a_{2(s-1)} + a_{2s-1} - 1$  in case  $a_{2s} = d$  and  $1 \leq t \leq a_{2s} + d - 2$  in case  $a_{2s} < d$ , then  $C$  is an arithmetically Buchsbaum curve. If  $a_{2s} < d$ , this condition is also necessary.

**PROOF.** Sufficiency. Since

$$\max_{\alpha \in E} \alpha \leq \begin{cases} a_{2(s-1)} - 1, & \text{if } a_{2s} = d, \\ a_{2s} - 1, & \text{if } a_{2s} < d, \end{cases}$$

it follows immediately from the condition of the theorem that

$$\delta(\alpha + \beta) \leq 2 \leq \delta_{S_\alpha}(\alpha + d),$$

for all  $\beta \in J \setminus \{d\}$  and  $\alpha \in E$ .

Necessity. Suppose that  $a_{2s} < d$ . Then we have  $d - 1 \in J$ . We prove by descending induction on  $t \leq d$  that either  $t \in J$  or  $t \in E$  and  $\delta(t) = \delta(t + d) = 2$ . Assume that  $t < d$ . If  $t \in J$  there is nothing to prove. Hence we may assume  $\delta(t) \geq 2$ . If  $t + 1 \in J$  then  $\delta_{S_t}(t + d) = 2 = \delta(t)$ , because  $t + d = (t + 1) + (d - 1)$ . Hence  $t \in E$ . If  $t + 1 \notin J$  then by the inductive assumption  $t + 1 \in E$ . Since  $d - 1 \in J$ , by Theorem 3.2 and the inductive assumption we get

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(t + d) &= \delta([t + 1] + [d - 1]) \leq \delta_{S_t}(t + 1 + d) \\ &\leq \delta(t + 1) = 2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\delta(t + d) = 2$ . Note that  $\delta_{S_t}(t + d) = 2$  iff  $\delta(t + d) = 2$ . Hence  $\delta_{S_t}(t + d) = 2 \leq \delta(t)$ . This shows again that  $t \in E$ . Thus, in both cases,  $t \in E$  and  $\delta(t + d) = 2$ . Again by Theorem 3.2 we get

$$2 \leq \delta(t) = \delta(t + 0) \leq \delta_{S_t}(t + d) = 2.$$

Hence  $\delta(t) = 2$ , as required.

For the rest of this section we shall study the difference between the Buchsbaum invariant  $i(C)$  and the so-called length of the associated semigroup ideal for an arithmetically Buchsbaum curve in the case  $a_1 > 0$ .

First let us recall the notation of the length of a semigroup ideal (see [1] and [7]). Let  $K \subseteq \mathbb{N}^r$  be an additive semigroup.  $I \subseteq K$  is said to be a semigroup ideal of  $K$  if  $I + K \subseteq I$ .  $I$  is irreducible if for semigroup ideals  $I_1$  and  $I_2$  such that  $I = I_1 \cap I_2$  either  $I = I_1$  or

$I = I_2$ . Then every semigroup ideal  $I \subseteq K$  such that  $\#(K \setminus I) < \infty$  has a unique irredundant representation as a finite intersection of  $\ell(I)$  irreducible semigroup ideals.  $\ell(I)$  is called the length of  $I$ . Moreover

$$\ell(I) = \#\{e ; e \in K \setminus I, e + (K \setminus (0)) \subseteq I\}.$$

Let  $H \subseteq \mathbb{N}^2$  be, as usual, the associated semigroup of  $C$ . Put

$$H' = \{e \in \bar{H} ; e + m_1 e_1 \in H \text{ and } e + m_2 e_2 \text{ for some } m_1, m_2 \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

Then we have

LEMMA 3.5([2],[9]).  $C$  is arithmetically Buchsbaum if and only if  $(H \setminus (0)) + H' \subseteq H$ , i.e. iff  $H \setminus (0)$  is a semi-group ideal of  $H'$ . In that case  $i(C) = \#(H' \setminus H)$ .

Thus, if  $C$  is arithmetically Buchsbaum we can consider the length  $\ell(H \setminus (0))$  of the semigroup ideal  $H \setminus (0)$  of  $H'$ . In this case, we call  $H \setminus (0)$  the associated semi-group ideal of  $C$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \ell(H \setminus (0)) &= \#\{e \in (0) \cup (H' \setminus H) ; e + (H' \setminus (0)) \subseteq H\} \\ &= \#\{e \in (0) \cup (H' \setminus H) ; e + (H' \setminus H) \subseteq H\}. \end{aligned}$$

If  $C$  is arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay then  $H' = H$ , hence  $i(C) - \ell(H \setminus (0)) = -1$ . If from now on we let  $C$  be arithmetically non-Cohen-Macaulay Buchsbaum, then we have  $i(C) \geq \ell(H \setminus (0))$ . Our aim is to give some bound for the difference  $i(C) - \ell(H \setminus (0))$ . For that we need the following lemma:

LEMMA 3.6. Suppose that  $a_1 > 0$  and  $C$  is arithmetically Buchsbaum. Then for every element  $\alpha \in E$

$$\delta(\alpha) = \delta_{S_\alpha}(\alpha + d) = \delta(\alpha + d).$$

PROOF. The equality  $\delta(\alpha) = \delta_{S_\alpha}(\alpha + d)$  was shown in the proof of Theorem 3.2.

For the second equality note that  $\delta_{S_\alpha}(\alpha + d) \geq \delta(\alpha + d)$ , because  $S_\alpha \subseteq S$ . Let  $n = \delta(\alpha + d)$ . Then there

exist elements  $\alpha_1 \leq \alpha_2 \leq \dots \leq \alpha_n$ ,  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in J$  such that  $\alpha + d = \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n$ . Assume that  $\alpha_1 < \alpha$ . Then  $\alpha - \alpha_1 > 0$  and  $\delta(\alpha - \alpha_1 + d) \leq n - 1$  (note that  $n \geq 2$ ). By Lemma 3.3 it follows that

$$((n-2)d - (\alpha - \alpha_1), \alpha - \alpha_1) + e_2 \in H,$$

and

$$((n-2)d - (\alpha - \alpha_1), \alpha - \alpha_1) + \delta(\alpha - \alpha_1)e_1 \in H.$$

Thus

$$((n-2)d - (\alpha - \alpha_1), \alpha - \alpha_1) \in H'.$$

Therefore, by Lemma 3.5

$$((n-1)d - \alpha, \alpha) = ((n-2)d - (\alpha - \alpha_1), \alpha - \alpha_1) + (d - \alpha_1, \alpha_1) \in H.$$

Again by Lemma 3.3 we must have  $\delta(\alpha) \leq n - 1$ . Hence  $\delta_{S_\alpha}(\alpha + d) = \delta(\alpha) < \delta(\alpha + d)$ , a contradiction. Also  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \geq \alpha$ . That means however  $\delta_{S_\alpha}(\alpha + d) \leq n$ . Hence  $\delta_{S_\alpha}(\alpha + d) = \delta(\alpha + d)$ , as required.

COROLLARY 3.7. Suppose that  $a_1 > 0$  and  $C$  is arithmetically non-Cohen-Macaulay Buchsbaum. Then

$$i(C) - \ell(H \setminus (0)) = \#\{\alpha \in E; \text{there exists } \beta \in E \\ \text{s.t. } \alpha + \beta \in E \text{ and } \delta(\alpha + \beta) = \delta(\alpha) + \delta(\beta) - 1\}.$$

PROOF. As in the proof of Theorem 3.2 we set

$$\mathcal{E} = \{([\delta_{S_\alpha}(\alpha + d) - 1]d - \alpha, \alpha); \alpha \in E\}.$$

From the definition of  $H'$  and by Lemma 3.3 it is easy to show that  $\mathcal{E} \subseteq H' \setminus H$ . Since  $C$  is arithmetically Buchsbaum,  $i(C) = \#(H' \setminus H)$ . By Theorem 3.2,  $i(C) = \#\mathcal{E}$ . Hence  $\mathcal{E} = H' \setminus H$ . Moreover  $H \neq H'$ , because  $C$  is not arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay. Therefore

$$\ell(H \setminus (0)) = \#\{e \in \mathcal{E}; e + \mathcal{E} \subseteq H\}.$$

From this it follows that

$$i(C) - \ell(H \setminus (0)) = \#\{e \in \mathcal{E}; \text{there exists } f \in \mathcal{E} \\ \text{s.t. } e + f \in \mathcal{E}\}.$$

By Lemma 3.6 this implies immediately the formula of the corollary, q.e.d.

In particular, we have

COROLLARY 3.8. Assume that  $a_1 > 0$  and  $C$  is arithmetically Buchsbaum. Moreover assume that  $\delta(\alpha) = 2k - 1$  for some element  $\alpha \in E$  and  $\delta(\beta) = k$  for all other elements  $\beta \in E$  and  $\beta \neq \alpha$ , where  $k$  is an integer  $\geq 2$ . Then  $i(C) - \ell(H \setminus (0))$  is equal to the number of representations of  $\alpha$  as the sum of two elements of  $E$ , where  $a + b \neq b + a$ .

REMARK. Bresinsky has first in [1] examined the difference  $i(C) - \ell(H \setminus (0))$  and questioned what non-negative values are assumed by this difference for arithmetically non-Cohen-Macaulay Buchsbaum curves. To answer this question, Kästner [7] has constructed a class of examples which shows that this difference can take any non-negative value. His examples satisfy all assumptions of the above corollary for  $k = 2$ . Thus, this corollary gives a method to solve Bresinsky's question.

We are now ready to give an estimate for  $i(C) - \ell(H \setminus (0))$ .

THEOREM 3.9. Suppose that  $a_1 > 0$  and  $C$  is arithmetically Buchsbaum. Let  $c = \# I_C = \# J_C$ . Then

$$i(C) - \ell(H \setminus (0)) \leq \max \{0, d + 2 - 2c\}.$$

PROOF. If  $E = \emptyset$  there is nothing to prove. Let  $E \neq \emptyset$ . We set

$$\mu = \max_{\alpha \in E} \delta(\alpha).$$

If  $\mu = 2$  then  $\delta(\alpha) = 2$  for all  $\alpha \in E$ . From Corollary 3.7 it follows that  $i(C) - \ell(H \setminus (0)) = 0$ . Now we assume that  $\mu \geq 3$ . Let  $\alpha_1 < \alpha_2 < \dots < \alpha_k$  be all elements of  $E$  with  $\delta(\alpha_1) = \dots = \delta(\alpha_k) = \mu$ . Denote by  $F$  the set

$$F = \left\{ \alpha \in E ; \text{there exists } \beta \in E \text{ s.t. } \alpha + \beta \in E \text{ and } \delta(\alpha + \beta) = \delta(\alpha) + \delta(\beta) - 1 \right\}.$$

Then by Corollary 3.7 we must show that

$$\# F \leq \max (0, d + 2 - 2c).$$

Note that  $\alpha_i \notin F$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, k$ . Let

$$J \cap [0, \alpha_k] = \{ \beta_0 = 0, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_m \}.$$

For  $i = 0, 1, \dots, m$  we have  $\alpha_k = \beta_i + (\alpha_k - \beta_i)$ . Since  $\beta_i \in J$  and  $\delta(\alpha_k) = \mu \geq 3$ ,  $\alpha_k - \beta_i \notin J$ . In particular,  $\alpha_k - \beta_i \neq \beta_j$  for all  $i, j \leq m$ . If  $\alpha_k - \beta_i \in E$ , then by Lemma 3.6 and Theorem 3.2 we have

$$\delta(\alpha_k - \beta_i) \geq \delta((\alpha_k - \beta_i) + \beta_i) = \delta(\alpha_k) = \mu.$$

Hence  $\delta(\alpha_k - \beta_i) = \mu$ . Thus, we can conclude that either  $\alpha_k - \beta_i \notin E$  or  $\alpha_k - \beta_i = \alpha_j$  for some  $1 \leq j \leq k$ . In both cases  $\alpha_k - \beta_i \notin F$ . Since  $\beta_i \notin F$ , we have

$$\#(F \cap [0, \alpha_k]) \leq (\alpha_k + 1) - 2(m+1) = \alpha_k - (2m+1). \quad (1)$$

Next, let

$$J \cap [\alpha_k + 1, d - 1] = \{ \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n \}.$$

(Note that we have always  $\alpha_k + 1 \leq d - 1$ ). In the representations

$$\alpha_k + d = \gamma_i + (\alpha_k + d - \gamma_i),$$

we have  $\alpha_k + d - \gamma_i \in [\alpha_k + 1, d - 1]$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . By Lemma 3.6  $\delta(\alpha_k + d) = \delta(\alpha_k) = \mu$ . If  $\alpha_k + d - \gamma_i \in E$ , then arguing as above we get that  $\delta(\alpha_k + d - \gamma_i) = \mu$ , a contradiction to the maximality of  $\alpha_k$ . Hence  $\alpha_k + d - \gamma_i \notin E$ . Therefore

$$\#(E \cap [\alpha_k + 1, d - 1]) \leq d - (\alpha_k + 1) - 2n. \quad (2)$$

Since  $c = m + n + 2$  and  $F \subseteq E$ , combining (1) and (2) we have

$$\#F \leq d - 2(m + n + 1) = d + 2 - 2c, \text{ q.e.d.}$$

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. The author would like to thank Prof. W. Vogel and Prof. H. Bresinsky for the encouragement.

### References

- [1] H. Bresinsky, Monomial Buchsbaum ideals in  $P^r$ .  
manuscripta math. 47(1984), 105-132
- [2] S. Goto, On the Cohen-Macaulayfication of certain

- Buchsbaum rings. Nagoya Math. J. 80(1980), 107-116
- [3] S. Goto, N. Suzuki and K. Watanabe, On affine semigroup rings. Japanese J. Math. 2(1976), 1-12
  - [4] W. Gröbner, Über Veronesesche Varietäten und deren Projectionen. Arch. Math. 16(1965), 257-264
  - [5] L.T. Hoa, Classification of the Triple Projections of Veronese Varieties. Math. Nachr. 128(1986), 185-197
  - [6] M. Hochster, Ring of invariants of tori, Cohen-Macaulay rings generated by monomials and polytopes. Ann. Math. 96(1972), 318-337
  - [7] J. Kästner, Zu einem Problem von H. Bresinsky über monomiale Buchsbaum Kurven. manuscripta math. 54 (1985), 197-204
  - [8] P. Schenzel, On Veronesean embeddings and projections of Veronesean varieties. Arch. Math. 30 (1978), 391-397
  - [9] N.V. Trung, Classification of double projections of Veronese varieties. J. Math. Kyoto Univ. 22(1983), 567-581
  - [10] N.V. Trung, Projections of one-dimensional Veronese varieties. Math. Nachr. 118(1984), 47-67
  - [11] N.V. Trung and L.T. Hoa, Affine semigroups and Cohen-Macaulay rings generated by monomials. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 298(1986), 145-167

Le Tuan Hoa  
Martin-Luther-Universität  
Sektion Mathematik  
GDR-401 Halle

(Received September 8, 1988)



