

## Werk

**Titel:** Deformations of Algebras and Cohomology of Fixed Point Sets.

**Autor:** Puppe, Volker

**PURL:** [https://resolver.sub.uni-goettingen.de/purl?365956996\\_0030|log11](https://resolver.sub.uni-goettingen.de/purl?365956996_0030|log11)

## Kontakt/Contact

Digizeitschriften e.V.  
SUB Göttingen  
Platz der Göttinger Sieben 1  
37073 Göttingen

✉ [info@digizeitschriften.de](mailto:info@digizeitschriften.de)

# DEFORMATIONS OF ALGEBRAS AND COHOMOLOGY OF FIXED POINT SETS

Volker Puppe

In [13] it is shown that under certain conditions the cohomology algebra of the fixed point set of a space with group action is in an algebraic sense a deformation of the cohomology algebra of the space itself. Here we attempt to prove a converse of the above statement, i.e. we try to realize geometrically a given algebraic deformation of a (commutative) graded algebras as the cohomology algebra of the fixed point set of a suitable space with group action. The first part of this note in a sense reduces this realization problem in equivariant topology to a non-equivariant problem while the second part uses Sullivan's theory of minimal models to actually obtain a converse for  $S^1$ -actions, where cohomology is taken with rational coefficients.

## 1. Reduction to non-equivariant realization problems.

We recall some more or less standard notation (s. e.g. [2], [4]):

Let  $X$  be a compact space (a somewhat weaker finiteness assumption would suffice (s. [4] and the remark (1.3) below)) on which a group  $G$  acts; then  $X \times_G E_G := X \times_G E_G \rightarrow B_G$  denotes the fibre bundle with fibre  $X$  associated to the universal principal  $G$ -bundle  $G \rightarrow E_G \rightarrow B_G$ ,  $F$  denotes the fixed point set of the  $G$ -action on  $X$ , and  $H^*(-)$  Čech cohomology with coefficients in a field  $K$ . The cohomology algebra  $H^*(B_G)$  is abbreviated by  $R$ . As usual in P.A. Smith theory we allow the following pairs  $(G, K) = (Z_p, Z_p)$ ,  $p$  prime (actually only the case  $p=2$  is worked out in detail,

0025-2611/79/0030/0119/\$03.60

the case  $p$  odd needs some modifications which are not given here) or  $(S^1, Q)$  for the first part of this note. The second part is restricted to  $(G, K) = (S^1, Q)$ .

Because of its importance for the following we recall the fundamental Borel et al. - localization theorem which can be stated as:

Localization Theorem (Borel et al.):

The  $R$ -algebra morphism  $j_G^*: H^*(X_G) \rightarrow H^*(F_G) \cong H^*(F) \otimes_K R (= H^*(F) \otimes R)$  which is induced by the inclusion  $j: F \rightarrow X$ , becomes an isomorphism after localization with respect to the multiplicative set  $\{t^n, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ , where  $t$  denotes the polynomial generator of  $R$ .

Throughout this note we make the assumption that  $\dim_K(\bigoplus_n H^n(X))$  is finite. Since a deformation of  $K$ -algebras does not change the dimension of the underlying  $K$ -vector space, the following proposition collects a number of statements which are equivalent to  $\dim_K(\bigoplus_n H^n(X)) = \dim_K(\bigoplus_n H^n(F))$ .

(1.1) PROPOSITION: The following conditions are equivalent:

- (a)  $\dim_K(\bigoplus_n H^n(X)) = \dim_K(\bigoplus_n H^n(F))$
- (b)  $X$  is totally nonhomologous to zero in  $X_G$  (with respect to  $H^*(-)$ ), i.e.  $i^*: H^*(X_G) \rightarrow H^*(X)$  is surjective.
- (c)  $G (= \pi_1(B_G))$  acts trivially on  $H^*(X)$  and the Serre spectral sequence of the fibration  $X \rightarrow X_G \rightarrow B_G$  degenerates.
- (d)  $G$  acts trivially on  $H^*(X)$  and  $H^*(X_G)$  is a free  $R$ -module (of rank  $\dim_K(\bigoplus_n H^n(X))$ )
- (e)  $G$  acts trivially on  $H^*(X)$  and  $j_G^*: H^*(X_G) \rightarrow H^*(F_G) = H^*(F) \otimes_K R$  is injective.
- (f)  $i^*: H^*(X_G) \rightarrow H^*(X)$  induces an isomorphism  $\bar{i}^*: H^*(X_G) \otimes_R K_0 \xrightarrow{\cong} H^*(X)$ , where  $K_0$  is  $K$  considered as an  $R$ -module via the augmentation  $\epsilon: R \rightarrow K$ ,  $\epsilon(t) = 0$ .

Proof: That a), b) and c) are equivalent is shown in [4], VII (1.6). If (c) holds, then  $H^*(X_G)$  as an  $R$ -module is isomorphic to the  $E_2$ -term of the Serre spectral sequence of the fibre bundle  $X \rightarrow X_G \rightarrow B_G$ , i.e.  $H^*(X_G) \cong H^*(X) \otimes H^*(B_G) \cong H^*(X) \otimes R$  as  $R$ -modules, which gives (d).

If (d) holds, then  $H^*(X_G)$  maps injectively into its localization  $H^*(X_G)_\ell$ . It therefore follows immediately from the localization theorem and the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^*(X_G) & \xrightarrow{j_G^*} & H^*(F) \otimes R \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H^*(X_G)_\ell & \xrightarrow{\cong} & H^*(F) \otimes R_\ell \end{array}$$

that  $j_G^*$  is injective, i.e. (e) holds.

If on the other hand (e) is assumed to hold, then  $H^*(X_G)$  is a  $R$ -submodule of the free  $R$ -module  $H^*(F) \otimes R$ . Since  $R$  is a principal ideal domain, one gets (d).

It follows from the Eilenberg-Moore spectral sequence (s.e.g. [9], (4.7)) that (d) implies (f), and clearly (f) implies (b) since  $i^*$  factors through  $\bar{i}^*$ , i.e.

$$i^*: H^*(X_G) \twoheadrightarrow H^*(X_G) \otimes_R K_0 \xrightarrow{\bar{i}^*} H^*(X).$$

To abbreviate notation, just for the purpose of this note, we make the following

(1.2) DEFINITION: A  $G$ -space is called h-simple (i.e. homologically simple) if one (and hence all) of the properties a)-f) in proposition (1.1) is (are) fulfilled.

Our aim is to realize geometrically a given injective morphism of graded  $R$ -algebras  $\gamma: B \rightarrow A = A \otimes R$  ( $A$  graded  $K$ -algebra,  $A$  "extension" of  $A$  to an  $R$ -algebra using the usual multiplication for the tensor product), which becomes an isomorphism after localization (with respect to  $\{t^n, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ ) by choosing a space  $F$  such that  $H^*(F) \cong A$  and successively attaching "free  $G$ -cells" to  $F$  to obtain an equivariant relative CW-complex  $(X, F)$  in the sense of

[11] (compare [3]) such that the inclusion of the fixed point set  $j: F \rightarrow X$  induces the map  $\gamma: B \rightarrow A$  (up to isomorphism) when  $H^*(-)_G$  is applied, i.e.

$$\gamma: B \cong H^*(X_G) \xrightarrow{j_G^*} H^*(F_G) \cong A.$$

(1.3) Remark: It is easy to see (imitating the usual proof) that the localization theorem for singular cohomology holds for a finite (or even finite dimensional) relative  $G$ -CW-complex  $(X, F)$  of the above form, i.e. for a  $G$ -space  $X$  which is obtained by attaching finitely many free  $G$ -cells (resp. free  $G$ -cells of bounded dimension) to a given space  $F$  on which  $G$  acts trivially.

We therefore investigate the situation which is induced in cohomology by attaching free  $G$ -cells  $G \times D^n$  to a given  $G$ -space along the boundary  $G \times S^{n-1}$ . Let  $X$  be a  $G$ -space and  $\alpha: S^{n-1} \rightarrow X$  a given (non-equivariant) map. There is a unique  $G$ -map  $\tilde{\alpha}: G \times S^{n-1} \rightarrow X$  defined by  $\tilde{\alpha}(g, s) = g\alpha(s)$ , such that  $\tilde{\alpha}|_{\{1\} \times S^{n-1}} = \alpha$ . We obtain a  $G$ -space  $Y$  containing  $X$ ,  $X \xrightarrow{q} Y$ , by attaching  $G \times D^n$  via  $\tilde{\alpha}$  to  $X$ , i.e.  $Y := X \bigcup_{\tilde{\alpha}} (G \times D^n)$ .

It follows that  $Y_G = X_G \bigcup_{\alpha_G} (G \times D^n)_G \simeq X_G \bigcup_{i \circ \alpha} D^n$  because of the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} S^{n-1} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & G \times S^{n-1} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\alpha}} & X \\ & \searrow \simeq & \downarrow & & \downarrow i \\ & & (G \times S^{n-1})_G & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\alpha}_G} & X_G \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{(the unnamed maps being} \\ \text{canonical inclusions).} \end{array}$$

Clearly  $Y$  and  $X$  have the same fixed point set.

To calculate  $H^*(Y_G)$  we have the long exact sequence:

$$\dots \rightarrow \tilde{H}^{k-1}(S^{n-1}) \rightarrow H^k(Y_G) \xrightarrow{q_G^*} H^k(X_G) \xrightarrow{(i\alpha)^*} \tilde{H}^k(S^{n-1}) \rightarrow \dots$$

We assume for the following that  $(G, K) = (S^1, Q)$  or  $(Z_2, Z_2)$ .

(1.4) PROPOSITION: If  $X$  is an h-simple  $G$ -space and  $\alpha: S^{n-1} \rightarrow X$  a given map, then  $Y := X \underset{\alpha}{\cup} G \times D^n$  is h-simple if and only if  $\alpha^*: H^*(X) \rightarrow H^*(S^{n-1})$  is surjective.

Proof: By assumption, (1.1) (b) and (1.1) (e)

$i^*: H^*(X_G) \rightarrow H^*(X)$  is surjective,  $j_G^*: H^*(X_G) \rightarrow H^*(F_G)$  injective and  $G$  acts trivially on  $H^*(X)$ . Hence  $\alpha^*$  surjective  $\Leftrightarrow (i\alpha)^*$  surjective  $\Leftrightarrow q_G^*$  injective  $\Leftrightarrow (qj)_G^*$  injective  $\Leftrightarrow Y$  h-simple (by (1.1) (e) and the fact that  $G$  acts trivially on  $H^*(Y)$  if and only if  $\alpha^*$  is surjective).

As an immediate consequence of this and of the localization theorem one has:

(1.5) COROLLARY: If  $X$  is an h-simple  $G$ -space and  $\alpha: S^{n-1} \rightarrow X$  a map such that  $\alpha^*: H^*(X) \rightarrow H^*(S^{n-1})$  is surjective, then:

- (a)  $Y := X \underset{\alpha}{\cup} G \times D^n$  is an h-simple  $G$ -space.
- (b)  $q_G^*: H^*(Y_G) \rightarrow H^*(X_G)$  is an embedding of  $R$ -algebras which becomes an isomorphism after localization.
- (c)  $\dim_K \left( H^*(X_G) / H^*(Y_G) \right) = 1$ .
- (d)  $q^*: H^k(Y) \rightarrow H^k(X)$  is surjective for  $k \neq n-1$ , and injective for  $k \neq n+1$  (resp.  $k \neq n$ ) if  $G = S^1$  (resp.  $G = Z_2$ ). In addition  $\dim_K(\ker q^*) = \dim_K(\text{coker } q^*) = 1$ .

Proof: Only part (d) is left to be proved. But (d) follows immediately from the Mayer-Vietoris sequence

$$\dots \rightarrow \tilde{H}^{k-1}(G \times S^{n-1}) \rightarrow \tilde{H}^k(Y) \rightarrow \tilde{H}^k(X) \oplus \tilde{H}^k(G \times D^n) \rightarrow \tilde{H}^k(G \times S^{n-1}) \rightarrow \dots$$

(1.6) COROLLARY: Let  $B \xrightarrow{\gamma} A$  be an embedding of graded  $R$ -algebras with  $\gamma_\ell$  an isomorphism and  $\dim_K(A/B) = 1$ . (Such a map will be called an elementary embedding of  $R$ -algebras for the purpose of this note.) If there exist an h-simple  $G$ -space  $X$  such that  $H^*(X_G) \cong A$  and a map  $\alpha: S^{n-1} \rightarrow X$  such that  $\alpha^*: H^*(X) \cong A \otimes_R K_0 \rightarrow A/B \cong \tilde{H}^*(S^{n-1})$  coincides with the map  $\bar{\pi}: A \otimes_R K_0 \rightarrow A/B$  induced by the projection  $\pi: A \rightarrow A/B$ , then there exists an h-simple  $G$ -space  $Y$  and an inclusion

$X \xrightarrow{q} Y$ , namely  $X \subset X \bigcup_{\alpha} G \times D^n = Y$  such that  $q$  realizes  $\gamma$ ,  
i.e.  $q_G^* = \gamma$  (up to isomorphism).

Proof: The above calculations show that  $q_G^*: H^*(X_G) \rightarrow H^*(X_G)$

is just the kernel of  $\alpha \circ i^*: H^*(X_G) \xrightarrow{i^*} H^*(X) = H^*(X_G) \otimes_R K_0 \xrightarrow{\alpha^*} \tilde{H}^*(S^{n-1})$ , whereas  $\gamma: B \rightarrow A$  is the kernel

of  $\pi: A \rightarrow A/B$  which allows the factorization

$\pi: A \rightarrow A \otimes_R K_0 \xrightarrow{\pi} A/B$ , since for degree reasons  $A/B$  is a

trivial  $R$ -module (i.e.:  $R^+(A/B) = 0$ , where  $R^+$  are the elements of  $R$  which have degree  $> 0$ .)

(1.7) Examples: a) The case  $n=1$  needs a little extra attention. One could of course avoid complications by assuming all spaces and algebras to be connected. But this is not adequate since clearly connected  $G$ -spaces may have non-connected fixed point sets. Since the cohomology algebra of a topological space has a canonical splitting into a product of connected algebras (namely the cohomology algebras of the components) we assume (on the algebraic side) that all graded algebras considered here are products of connected graded algebras. A morphism between such algebras respects the splitting in the following sense:

$\gamma: B = \bigoplus_{j=1}^s B_j \rightarrow A = \bigoplus_{i=1}^r A_i$  decomposes into  $\gamma = \bigoplus_{j=1}^s \gamma_j$ ,

$\gamma_j: B_j \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i \in I_j} A_i$ , with  $\{I_j, j=1, \dots, s\}$  a suitable partition

of the set  $\{1, \dots, r\}$  into disjoint subsets. (In general  $I_j = \emptyset$  may occur for some indices  $j$  in which case  $\bigoplus_{i \in \emptyset} A_i = 0$ .)

If such a morphism  $\gamma: B \rightarrow A$  is an embedding of  $R$ -algebras with  $A/B \cong \tilde{H}(S^0)$  and  $A \cong H^*(X_G)$  for an  $h$ -simple  $G$ -space  $X$ , then  $\bar{\pi}: A \otimes_R K_0 \rightarrow A/B$  can always be realized by a map  $\alpha: S^0 \rightarrow X$ .

b) Let  $\gamma: B \rightarrow A$  be given with  $A \cong H^*(X_G)$ ,  $X$  an  $h$ -simple  $G$ -space. Assume in addition:  $X$  simply connected finite CW-complex,  $H^k(X) = 0$  for  $0 < k < n$ ,  $n \geq 2$  and  $A/B \cong \tilde{H}^*(S^n)$ . Then by the Hurewicz theorem  $\bar{\pi}: A \otimes K_0 \cong H^*(X) \rightarrow \tilde{H}^*(S^n)$  can be realized by a map  $\alpha: S^{\mathbb{R}^n} \rightarrow X$ , and by (1.6)  $X \rightarrow X \underset{\alpha}{\cup} G \times D^{n+1} = Y$  realizes  $\gamma$ . It follows from the Mayer-Vietoris sequence in cohomology that  $H^k(Y) = 0$  for  $0 < k < n$  and  $\dim_K H^n(Y) = \dim_K(H^n(X)) - 1$ . Clearly  $Y$  is also simply connected.

(1.8) PROPOSITION: Let  $\gamma: B \rightarrow A$  be an embedding of  $R$ -algebras, where  $A$  and  $B$  are products of connected algebras. If  $\dim_K(A/B) = r < \infty$  and  $\gamma_\ell: B_\ell \rightarrow A_\ell$  is an isomorphism then  $\gamma$  can be decomposed into a finite sequence of elementary embeddings (s.(1.6)),  $\gamma = \gamma_1 \cdot \dots \cdot \gamma_r$ , such that  $|\bar{q}_i| \leq |\bar{q}_j|$  for  $i < j$ , where  $\bar{q}_i$  denotes the generator of coker  $\gamma_i$ .

Proof: Let  $Q := A/B$ . Choose a basis  $\{q_1, \dots, q_r\}$  of  $Q$  as a  $K$ -vector space such that  $|q_i| \leq |q_j|$  for  $i < j$ , ( $| \cdot |$  denotes the degree of an element). Define  $C_1 := \ker \pi_1: A \xrightarrow{\pi} Q \xrightarrow{\rho_1} Q_1$ , where  $\rho_1: Q \xrightarrow{\rho_1} Q_1$  is the projection of  $Q$  onto the 1-dimensional (graded)  $K$ -vectorspace  $Q_1$  generated by  $\bar{q}_1$ ,  $\rho_1(q_1) = \bar{q}_1$ ,  $\rho_1(q_i) = 0$ ,  $i \neq 1$ . If  $|q_1| > 0$  then  $Q_1$  can be given the trivial  $R$ -algebra structure (without unit), and for degree reasons  $\rho_1: A \rightarrow Q_1$  is a morphism of  $R$ -algebras. It follows that  $\gamma_1: C_1 \rightarrow A$  is an elementary embedding. If  $|q_1| = 0$  the assumed splitting of  $\gamma$  (compare (1.7)a)) gives the desired result also. By construction  $B \rightarrow C_1$  is an embedding of  $R$ -algebras which fulfils the hypothesis of the proposition except that  $\dim_K(C_1/B) = r-1$ . The proof is finished by induction.

Clearly the above decomposition of  $\gamma$  is not uniquely determined, but the corollary (1.11) of the following proposition makes up for this to some extent.



(1.9) PROPOSITION: Let  $X$  be a  $G$ -CW-complex (of finite type in case  $K = \mathbb{Z}_2$ ) which is obtained from its fixed point set  $F$  by attaching free  $G$ -cells of dimension  $> 0$ . Assume that  $X$  is  $h$ -simple and all components of  $X$  and  $F$  are simply connected. Then there exists a  $G$ -CW-complex  $Y$  with the same properties but such that all equivariant relative  $n$ -skeletons  $(Y, F)^n$  are  $h$ -simple, and there exists a  $G$ -map  $f: (Y, F) \rightarrow (X, F)$  such that  $f|_F = \text{id}$ , and  $f_G: Y_G \rightarrow X_G$  (and hence  $f: Y \rightarrow X$ , too) is a  $K$ -homotopy equivalence.

Proof: Roughly the proof consists of omitting those equivariant  $n$ -cells which do not contribute to the (co)-homology of  $X$ , i.e. generate elements in the (co)-homology of the  $n$ -skeleton which do not survive in  $X$ , together with the  $(n+1)$ -cells which kill these additional elements.

Since  $X$  is  $h$ -simple each component of  $X$  is a  $G$ -CW-complex itself and we therefore can assume without restriction that  $X$  is connected. Put  $(Y, F)^0 := (X, F)^0 = F$ ,  $(Y, F)^1 :=$  a minimal connected sub- $G$ -CW-complex of  $(X, F)^1$  (containing  $F$ ) and  $(Y, F)^2 = (Y, F)^1 \bigcup_{\alpha_\nu} G \times D^2$ , where the  $\alpha_\nu: S^1 \rightarrow (Y, F)^1$

form a "basis" of the (non-abelian) free group  $\pi_1((Y, F)^1)$  (which actually is trivial in case  $G = S^1$ ). Since the composition  $S^1 \rightarrow (Y, F)^1 \rightarrow X$  is nullhomotopic by assumption one can extend the inclusion  $(Y, F)^1 \rightarrow (X, F)^2$  to a  $G$ -map  $f^2: (Y, F)^2 \rightarrow (X, F)^2$  such that  $(f_G^2)_*: H_1((Y, F)_G^2) \rightarrow H_1((X, F)_G^2)$  is isomorphic for  $i = 0, 1$ , and injective for  $i = 2$ . The equivariant skeletons  $(Y, F)^i$ ,  $i = 0, 1, 2$  are  $h$ -simple and  $(Y, F)^2$  is simply connected. Assume now by induction hypothesis that one has already constructed the equivariant  $n$ -skeleton  $(Y, F)^n$  and a  $G$ -map  $f^n: (Y, F)^n \rightarrow (X, F)^n$  such that  $(Y, F)^n$  is  $h$ -simple and simply connected, and  $(f_G^n)_*: H_1((Y, F)_G^n) \rightarrow H_1((X, F)_G^n)$  is isomorphic for  $i < n$  and injective for  $i = n$  ( $n \geq 2$ ). Let  $(X, F)^{n+1}$  be obtained from  $(X, F)^n$  by attaching a disjoint union  $\bigcup_{\nu \in V} G \times D_\nu^{n+1}$  of free  $G$ -cells along the maps  $\alpha_\nu: S_\nu^n \rightarrow (X, F)^n$  (extended to  $G$ -maps

$\tilde{\alpha}_v: G \times S_v^n \rightarrow (X, F)_G^n$ . Choose a subset  $V' \subset V$  such that the composition

$$H_n\left(\bigsqcup_{v \in V'} S_v^n\right) \xrightarrow{(\alpha_v)_*} H_n((X, F)_G^n) \rightarrow H_n((X, F)_G^n / (f_G^n)_*(H_n((Y, F)_G^n)))$$

is an isomorphism, which is possible because the following composition is surjective

$$H_n(F_G) \xrightarrow{\cong} H_n((Y, F)_G^n) \rightarrow H_n((X, F)_G^n) \rightarrow H_n((X, F)_G^{n+1}) \xrightarrow{\cong} H_n(X_G).$$

Define  $(\overline{X, F})^n := (X, F)^n \cup \left(\bigsqcup_{v \in V'} G \times D_v^{n+1}\right)$ ; then the composition  $H_i((Y, F)_G^n) \rightarrow H_i((X, F)_G^n) \rightarrow H_i((\overline{X, F})_G^n)$  is isomorphic

for  $i \leq n$ , in fact for all  $i$ , since  $H_i(F_G) \xrightarrow{\cong} H_i((Y, F)_G^n) \xrightarrow{\cong} H_i((X, F)_G^n) \xrightarrow{\cong} H_i((\overline{X, F})_G^n)$  for  $i > n$  because  $(X, F)^n$  and  $(Y, F)^n$  are relative equivariant  $n$ -skeletons. It follows from the (generalized) Whitehead theorem that  $\pi_n((Y, F)_G^n) \rightarrow \pi_n((\overline{X, F})_G^n)$  and  $\pi_n((Y, F)^n) \rightarrow \pi_n((\overline{X, F})^n)$  are isomorphic modulo torsion

in case  $(G, K) = (S^1, Q)$  (resp.  $q$ -torsion,  $q$  prime to 2, in case  $(G, K) = (Z_2, Z_2)$ ). Here we use the "finite type" assumption to conclude that  $\pi_n((\overline{X, F})^n, (Y, F)^n)$  is  $q$ -torsion from the fact that its 2-completion is zero.) We therefore can find maps  $\beta_v: S_v^n \rightarrow (Y, F)^n$  such that  $\gamma \alpha_v m_v \simeq \gamma f^n \beta_v$ :

$S_v^n \rightarrow (\overline{X, F})^n$ , where  $\gamma: (X, F)^n \rightarrow (\overline{X, F})^n$  is the canonical inclusion and  $m_v: S_v^n \rightarrow S_v^n$  is a map of degree  $m_v \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $m_v \neq 0$  in case  $(G, K) = (S^1, Q)$  (resp.  $m_v \neq 0$  and prime to 2, i.e.  $m_v$  odd, in case  $(G, K) = (Z_2, Z_2)$ ), i.e.  $m_v$  is a  $K$ -homotopy equivalence. Defining  $(Y, F)^{n+1} := (Y, F)^n \cup \left(\bigsqcup_{v \in V''} G \times D_v^{n+1}\right)$ ,

where  $V'' \subset (V \setminus V')$  is a subset such that the composition

$$H_n\left(\bigsqcup_{v \in V''} S_v^n\right) \xrightarrow{(\alpha_v)_*} H_n((X, F)_G^n) \xrightarrow{\gamma_*} H_n((\overline{X, F})_G^n) \rightarrow H_n((\overline{X, F})_G^n)$$

is injective and  $\text{im}\left(H_n\left(\bigsqcup_{v \in V''} S_v^n\right) \rightarrow H_n((\overline{X, F})_G^n)\right) =$

$\text{im}\left(H_n\left(\bigsqcup_{v \in V} S_v^n\right) \rightarrow H_n((\overline{X, F})_G^n)\right)$ , one gets a  $G$ -map  $f^{n+1}$ :

$(Y, F)^{n+1} \rightarrow (X, F)^{n+1}$  which extends  $f^n$  such that  $(f_G^{n+1})_*: H_i((Y, F)_G^{n+1}) \rightarrow H_i((X, F)_G^{n+1})$  is isomorphic for  $i \leq n$  and injective for  $i = n+1$ .

One finally gets a  $G$ -map of simply connected,  $h$ -simple  $G$ -spaces  $f: Y \rightarrow X$  such that  $(f_G)_*: H_i(Y_G) \rightarrow H_i(X_G)$  is an isomorphism for all  $i$ , hence  $f_G$  and  $f$  are  $K$ -homotopy equivalences.

(1.10) Remark: The assumptions in (1.9) can be weakened.

a) The condition on the dimension of attached cells to be greater than zero is not really necessary. One can replace a connected  $G$ -CW-complex with non-empty fixed point set by a  $G$ -homotopy equivalent one which has no free  $G$ -cells of dimension zero.

b) If  $G = Z_2$  then any  $G$ -action is semifree and any  $G$ -CW-complex  $(X, F)$  can be obtained by attaching free  $G$ -cells to the fixed point set  $F$ . For  $G = S^1$  this is not the case. But if  $X$  has only finitely many orbit types (e.g.  $(X, F)$  finite) then one can choose a finite cyclic subgroup  $H$  containing all finite isotropy groups which occur in  $X$ . The group  $G/H \cong S^1$  then acts semifreely on  $X/H$  with fixed point set  $F$ , and the projection  $(X, F) \rightarrow (X/H, F)$  induces an isomorphism  $H_*(X_G) \rightarrow H_*((X/H)_G)$ .

c) Certainly the assumption on the vanishing of fundamental groups can be weakened but we will not go into this here.

(1.11) COROLLARY: If  $\gamma: B \rightarrow A$  can be realized by a  $G$ -CW-complex  $(X, F)$  which fulfils the assumption of (1.9), then any decomposition  $\gamma = \gamma_r \cdot \dots \cdot \gamma_1$  of  $\gamma$  which fulfils the dimension condition in (1.8) can be realized.

Proof: By (1.9) one can replace  $(X, F)$  by a  $G$ -CW-complex  $(Y, F)$  such that all equivariant skeletons of  $(Y, F)$  are  $h$ -simple. The inclusions of the equivariant skeletons induce a decomposition of  $\gamma$  such that the given one is a refinement of this "skeletal" decomposition. After rearranging the attaching maps without changing the equivariant  $K$ -homotopy type one can realize the refinement by attaching the free  $G$ -cells one at a time in an order which corresponds to the given decomposition.

Similar to the proof of (1.9) one can show the following

(1.12) Remark: If  $X$  is a simply connected CW-complex (of finite type in case  $K=\mathbb{Z}_p$ ) such that  $\dim_K(\bigoplus_i H_i(X)) < \infty$  then there exists a finite, simply connected CW-complex  $Y$  and a map  $f: Y \rightarrow X$  which is a  $K$ -homotopy equivalence.

Proof: Without restriction we can assume that  $X$  is connected and  $X^0 = X^1 = \{*\}$ . Put  $Y^0 = X^0$  and  $Y^1 = X^1$ . Assume by induction hypothesis (which is fulfilled for  $n = 0, 1$ ) that  $Y^n$  is simply connected and finite, and  $f^n: Y^n \rightarrow X^n$  has been constructed such that  $f^n_*: H_i(Y^n) \rightarrow H_i(X^n)$  is isomorphic for  $i \leq n$  and the composition  $H_n(Y^n) \rightarrow H_n(X^n) \rightarrow H_n(X)$  is an isomorphism. Let  $X^{n+1}$  be obtained from  $X^n$  by attaching

$\bigcup_{v \in V} D_v^{n+1}$  along  $\alpha_v: S_v^n \rightarrow X^n$ . Choose a subset  $V' \subset V$  such that  $H_n(\bigcup_{v \in V'} S_v^n) \xrightarrow{(\alpha_v)_*} H_n(X)$  is injective and  $\text{im}(H_n(\bigcup_{v \in V'} S_v^n) \rightarrow H_n(X^n)) = \text{im}(H_n(\bigcup_{v \in V} S_v^n) \rightarrow H_n(X))$ . Define  $\tilde{X}^n :=$

$X^n \cup_{\alpha_v} (\bigcup_{v \in V'} D_v^{n+1})$ , then the composition

$H_i(Y^n) \rightarrow H_i(X^n) \rightarrow H_i(\tilde{X}^n)$  is isomorphic for all  $i$ . We therefore can find maps  $\beta_v: S_v^n \rightarrow Y^n$  such that

$\gamma \alpha_v m_v \cong \gamma \beta_v: S_v^n \rightarrow X^n \xrightarrow{f^n} \tilde{X}^n$ ,  $m_v: S_v^n \rightarrow S_v^n$  as in the proof of (1.9). Defining  $Y^{n+1} := Y^n \cup_{\beta_v} (\bigcup_{v \in V''} D_v^{n+1})$ , where  $V'' \subset (V \setminus V')$

is a finite subset such that the composition

$H_n(\bigcup_{v \in V''} S_v^n) \xrightarrow{\partial^{-1}} H_{n+1}(X^{n+1}) \rightarrow H_{n+1}(X)$  is an isomorphism

( $\partial$  being the boundary operator in the long exact sequence  $\rightarrow H_{n+1}(X^{n+1}) \xrightarrow{\partial} H_n(\bigcup_{v \in (V \setminus V')} S_v^n) \xrightarrow{Q} H_n(\tilde{X}^n) \rightarrow \dots$ ) one gets a

map  $f^{n+1}: Y^{n+1} \rightarrow X^{n+1}$  which extends  $f^n$  such that

$f^{n+1}_*: H_i(Y^{n+1}) \rightarrow H_i(X^{n+1})$  is isomorphic for  $i \leq n$  and the composition  $H_{n+1}(Y^{n+1}) \rightarrow H_{n+1}(X^{n+1}) \rightarrow H_{n+1}(X)$  is an iso-

morphism. Since  $\dim_K(\oplus H_i(X)) < \infty$  there exists a (big enough) integer  $m$  such that for  $Y = Y^m$  and  $f = f^m$  one has  $f_*: H_i(Y) \rightarrow H_i(X)$  is isomorphic for all  $i$ . Hence  $f: Y \rightarrow X$  is a  $K$ -homotopy equivalence.

(1.13) Remark: The preceding results reduce the problem of realizing a given embedding  $\gamma: B \rightarrow A$  by a relative  $G$ -CW-complex  $(X, F)$ , where  $X$  and each component of the fixed point set  $F$  is simply connected, to the problem of realizing certain morphisms in cohomology as induced by elements of homotopy groups.

(1.14) Remark: Given a space  $F$ . There exists an  $h$ -simple  $G$ -space  $X$  with fixed point set  $F$  such that the cup-product structure in  $\tilde{H}^*(X)$  is trivial. This corresponds to the fact, that any algebra can be obtained by deforming the algebra which has trivial product structure (s.e.g. [5], 2.2). If  $S^2$  is equipped with the (orthogonal)  $G$ -action which keeps the poles fixed acting freely on the equator, then  $X$  can be defined as the smash product  $X = F \wedge S^2$  with the "diagonal" action. Since  $X$  is a suspension the cup-product structure is trivial. (In the simply connected case one could also apply (1.7) several times to obtain such a space  $X$ .)

The connection between embeddings of  $R$ -algebras and deformation of  $K$ -algebras is given by the following two propositions:

(1.15) PROPOSITION: If  $\gamma: B \rightarrow A = A \otimes R$  is an embedding of  $R$ -algebras such that  $\gamma_\ell$  is an isomorphism, then  $A$  is a deformation of the  $K$ -algebra  $B = B \otimes_R K_0$  in the sense of Gerstenhaber (s. [6], compare [13]).

Proof: Since  $\gamma_\ell: B_\ell \rightarrow A_\ell$  is an isomorphism one has  $B \otimes_R K_1 = B_\ell \otimes_{R_\ell} K_1 \xrightarrow{\cong} A_\ell \otimes_{R_\ell} K_1 = A \otimes_R K_1$  where  $K_1$  is  $K$  con-

sidered as an  $R$ -(resp.  $R_\ell$ -)algebra via  $\eta: R = K[t] \rightarrow K, \eta(t) = 1$  (compare [12]). As an  $R$ -module  $B$  is isomorphic to  $B \otimes R$  since it is a (free) submodule of the free  $R$ -module  $A \otimes R$  and  $\text{rank}_R B = \text{rank}_K B$ . Hence  $B$  being isomorphic to  $B \otimes R$  with "twisted" multiplication can be considered a one-parameter family of deformations of the algebra  $B$  which, evaluated at  $t=1$ , gives the algebra  $A$  (compare [13]).

To get some kind of a converse of (1.12) in our situation one has to use the additional structure which comes from filtrations and gradings of the algebras involved. If  $X$  is an  $h$ -simple  $G$ -space then  $B = H^*(X_G)$  has a finite filtration  $0 \subset F_0(B) \subset \dots \subset F_k(B) \subset F_{k+1}(B) \subset \dots$  by (graded)  $R$ -modules such that the cup-product maps  $F_i(B) \otimes F_j(B)$  to  $F_{i+j}(B)$  and the associated graded, in fact bi-graded,  $R$ -algebra is isomorphic to  $B \otimes R$  (with  $R$ -algebra structure given by the usual tensor product) as a bi-graded algebra. Tensoring with the  $R$ -module  $K_1$  gives an induced filtration  $0 \subset F_0(A) \subset \dots \subset F_{k-1}(A) \subset F_k(A)$  of  $A = B \otimes_R K_1$ ,

$F_k(A) = \text{im}(F_k(B) \otimes_R K_1 \rightarrow B \otimes_R K_1)$ . Since  $F_k(B)/F_{k-1}(B)$  is a free  $R$ -module  $(\bigoplus_k F_k(B)/F_{k-1}(B) \cong B \otimes R)$ ,

$F_{k-1}(B) \otimes_R K_1 \rightarrow F_k(B) \otimes_R K_1$  is again an inclusion and one has  $\bigoplus_k F_k(A)/F_{k-1}(A) \cong (B \otimes R) \otimes_R K_1 \cong B$  as graded algebras.

On the other hand  $A \cong H^*(F)$  has a grading as the cohomology algebra of the fixed point set  $F$  of  $X$ . If we denote the elements of  $A$  of filtration  $k$  and degree  $r$  by  $F_k^r(A)$  we have the properties:

- (i)  $F_i^r(A) \otimes F_j^s(A) \rightarrow F_{i+j}^{r+s}(A)$  (cup-product)
- (ii)  $F_k^r(A) = 0$  if  $k < r$ ,

where (i) follows from the multiplicative properties of the filtration  $F_*(B)$  (and the cup-product) and (ii) from the fact that  $B = H^*(X_G) \rightarrow A = H^*(F) \otimes R$  is filtration pre-

serving where the filtration of  $A$  is given by

$F_k(A) = \left( \bigoplus_{i=0}^k H^i(F) \right) \otimes R$ , which implies (by tensoring with the  $R$ -module  $K_1$ ) that  $F_k(A)$  is contained in  $\bigoplus_{i=0}^k H^i(F)$ .

We therefore get that the embedding  $H^*(X_G) \rightarrow H^*(F) \otimes R$  not only implies that  $H^*(F)$  is a deformation of  $H^*(X)$  but also gives a filtration on  $H^*(F)$  which fulfils (i) and (ii) above such that the associated graded algebra is isomorphic to  $H^*(X)$ .

If  $B = (B^*[t], g_t)$  is a one-parameter family of deformations of the graded commutative algebra  $B^*$  one can define a filtration on  $B$  by  $F_k(B) = \left( \bigoplus_{i=0}^k B^k \right)[t]$  which fulfils

$F_i(B) \otimes F_j(B) \xrightarrow{g_t} F_{i+j}(B)$ . If  $A = B_1 = B \otimes_R K_1$  we therefore get an induced filtration  $F_0(A) \subset \dots \subset F_{k-1}(A) \subset F_k(A) \subset \dots$  on  $A$  from which one can recover the grading of  $B$  since by the same argument as above we get  $\bigoplus_k F_k(A) / F_{k-1}(A) \cong B$  as

graded algebras. But since  $K_1$  is not a graded  $R$ -module ( $\eta: K[t] \rightarrow R, \eta(t)=1$  does not preserve the degree) the algebra  $A$  does not inherit a grading from the graded (by total degree) algebra  $B = (B^*[t], g_t)$ . (In case  $G = S^1$  one gets an induced  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -grading on  $A$  since  $t$  has degree 2.) To get a converse of (1.12) we therefore assume that  $A$  can be equipped with a grading which is compatible with the filtration in the sense that (i) and (ii) above are fulfilled.

(1.16) PROPOSITION: Under the above assumptions there exists an embedding of graded  $R$ -algebras  $\gamma: \tilde{B} \rightarrow A = A \otimes R$  such that  $\gamma_\ell$  is an isomorphism and  $\tilde{B} \otimes_R K_0 = B$  as graded algebras.

Proof: a) case  $G = \mathbb{Z}_2$ : Define  $\tilde{B}^n = \{ \sum_i a_i t^i, a_i \in F_n^{n-i}(A) \} \subset A \otimes R$  (compare [7], § 3). Then  $\tilde{B} = \bigoplus_n \tilde{B}^n$  is a graded sub-

algebra of  $A = A \otimes R$ . Since for each  $a \in A$  there exists a power  $t^s$  of  $t$  such that  $a \cdot t^s \in \tilde{B}^*$  one gets that  $\gamma_\ell$  is an isomorphism. It remains to show that  $\tilde{B} \otimes_R K_0 \cong B$ . But

$$\tilde{B} \otimes_R K_0 \cong \tilde{B} / t \cdot \tilde{B} \cong \bigoplus_k F_k(A) / F_{k-1}(A) \cong B. \text{ For a fixed degree}$$

$$\text{one has } \tilde{B}^n / t \tilde{B}^{n-1} = \bigoplus_{i=0}^n F_n^{n-i}(A) / F_{n-1}^{n-i}(A) \cong B^n.$$

b) case  $G = S^1$ : Define  $\tilde{B}^n = \{ \sum_i a_i t^i, a_i \in F_n^{n-2i} \} \subset A \otimes R$ .

The rest is analogous to the first case.

2. The case  $(G, K) = (S^1, Q)$ . We now use Sullivan's theory of minimal models (s. [14] or [10]) to handle the non-equivariant realization problem of part 1 in certain cases. Our main result is:

(2.1) Theorem: If  $\gamma: B \rightarrow A$  is an embedding of  $R$ -algebras as in (1.8) and  $A = A \otimes R$ , where  $A$  is a finitely generated  $K$ -algebra, then  $\gamma$  can be realized by a relative  $G$ -CW-complex  $(X, F)$ .

This result is an immediate consequence of (1.8) and the following

(2.2) LEMMA: If  $\gamma: B \rightarrow A$  is an elementary embedding of  $R$ -algebras and  $X$  a  $h$ -simple formal  $G$ -space which realizes  $A$ , i.e.  $H^*(X_G) \cong A$  and there exists a homotopy equivalence of differential graded algebras (d.g.a.'s)  $M(X_G) \xrightarrow{h_{X_G}} H^*(X_G)$ , where the free  $R$ -algebra  $M(X_G)$  is the minimal model of  $X_G$ , then  $\gamma$  can be realized by attaching a  $G$ -cell to  $X$ , such that the resulting  $G$ -space  $Y := X \cup G \times D^n$  is again formal. (Since we have to allow non connected spaces the minimal model of such a space is understood to be the cartesian product of the minimal models of the connected components.)

Proof: By the theorem of Grivel (s. [8] or [10] (20.3))

$M(X) := M(X_G) \otimes_R K_0$  is the minimal model for  $X$  and  $h_{X_G}$  in-



duces a homotopy equivalence of d.g.a.'s  $h_X: M(X) \rightarrow H^*(X)$ .

1. case: degree (of the generator) of  $A/B = 0$ . We then already know from (1.7)a) that  $\bar{\pi}: A \otimes_R K_0 \rightarrow A/B$  can be real-

ized by a map  $\alpha: S^0 \rightarrow X$ . It remains to show that the resulting G-space  $Y := X \underset{\alpha}{\cup} G \times D^1$  (resp.  $Y_G$ ) is formal. But  $X_G$  is obtained from  $X_G$  by connecting two different components by a line, which (up to homotopy) means that one takes the wedge of these two components leaving alone the others. We therefore get that  $Y_G$  is again formal (s.e.g. [10](15.14)).

2. case: degree of  $A/B > 0$ . Without restriction we then can assume that  $X$  is connected. The morphism  $h_X: M(X) \rightarrow H^*(X)$  induces a map  $\bar{h}_X: \tilde{M}(X) / (\tilde{M}(X))^2 \rightarrow \tilde{H}^*(X) / (\tilde{H}^*(X))^2$  of the indecomposables.

Hence any algebra map  $\bar{\pi}: A \otimes_R K_0 \cong H^*(X) \rightarrow A/B \cong \tilde{H}^*(S^{n-1})$  gives a map  $\tilde{M}(X) / (\tilde{M}(X))^2 \rightarrow \tilde{H}^*(X) / (\tilde{H}^*(X))^2 \rightarrow \tilde{H}^*(S^{n-1})$  which by Sullivan's theory therefore can be realized by a map  $\alpha: S^{n-1} \rightarrow X$  (s. [14], (10.1)). Put  $Y := X \underset{\alpha}{\cup} G \times D^n$  and therefore  $Y_G \simeq X_G \underset{i \cdot \alpha}{\cup} D^n$ . Then  $K = \ker \left( M(X_G) \xrightarrow{h_{X_G}^*} H^*(X_G) \xrightarrow{i^*} H^*(X) \xrightarrow{\alpha^*} \tilde{H}^*(S^{n-1}) \right)$  is a model for  $Y_G$  (s. [15] § 2) and  $h_{X_G}$  induces a homotopy equivalence of d.g.a.'s  $k_{Y_G}: K \rightarrow H^*(Y_G)$ .

One therefore gets a homotopy equivalence of d.g.a.'s  $h_{Y_G}: M(Y_G) \rightarrow H^*(Y_G)$ , where  $M(Y_G)$  is the minimal model of  $Y_G$ . By (1.5)  $Y$  is h-simple and  $q: Y \rightarrow X$  realizes  $\gamma$ .

The proof of (2.1) now consists of an iterated application of (2.2) using the decomposition of  $\gamma: B \rightarrow A$  into elementary embeddings (s. (1.8)) starting with a formal space  $F$  which realizes  $A = H^*(F)$ .

(2.3) Remark: If  $A$  in (2.1) is simply connected (and  $\dim_K(A) < \infty$ ) then by (1.12) one can realize  $A$  by a formal

space  $F$  which is a finite CW-complex.

(2.4) COROLLARY: Any deformation of a finite dimensional connected commutative graded  $K$ -algebra  $B$ , which fulfils the assumption of (1.16), can be geometrically realized by an  $h$ -simple  $G$ -space  $X$ , with  $H^*(X) \cong B$ .

(2.5) Remark: The above corollary does not imply that any deformation of  $H^*(X)$  for a given space  $X$  can be realized by an  $G$ -action on  $X$ . (s. e.g. [1], Example 3 for a counterexample)

#### References

- [1] ALLDAY, C. and HALPERIN, S.: Lie group actions on spaces of finite rank.  
Quart.J.Math. Oxford (2) 29, 69-76 (1978)
- [2] BOREL, A.: Seminar on Transformation groups. Annals of Math. Studies, No.46, Princeton, New Jersey: Princeton Univ. Press 1960
- [3] BREDON, G.E.: Equivariant Cohomology Theories. Springer, Lecture Notes in Math. Vol. 34 (1967)
- [4] BREDON, G.E.: Introduction to Compact Transformation Groups. New York - London: Academic Press 1972
- [5] GABRIEL, P.: Finite representation type is open. Springer, Lecture Notes in Math. Vol. 488, 132-155 (1975)
- [6] GERSTENHABER, M.: On the deformation of rings and algebras. Ann. of Math. 79, 59-103 (1964)
- [7] GERSTENHABER, M.: On the deformation of rings and algebras II. Ann. of Math. 84, 1-19 (1966)
- [8] GRIVEL, P.P.: Thèse, Université de Genève 1977
- [9] GUGENHEIM, V.K.A.M. and MAY, J.P.: On the Theory and Applications of Differential Torsion Products. Providence, Mem. of the Amer. Math. Soc. No. 142 (1974)
- [10] HALPERIN, S.: Lectures on minimal models. Publications interne de l' U.E.R. de Mathématiques, Université de Lille 1977

- [11] ILLMAN, S.: Equivariant singular homology and cohomology for actions of compact Lie groups. Springer, Lecture Notes in Math. Vol. 298, 403-415 (1972)
- [12] PUPPE, V.: On a conjecture of Bredon. manuscripta math. 12, 11-16 (1974)
- [13] PUPPE, V.: Cohomology of fixed point sets and deformation of algebras. manuscripta math. 23, 343-354 (1978)
- [14] SULLIVAN, D.: Infinitesimal computations in topology. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. No. 47, 269-331 (1977)
- [15] WU WEN-TSÜN: Theory of  $I^*$ -functor in algebraic topology. Scientia Sinica 19, 647-664 (1976)

Volker Puppe  
Universität Konstanz  
Fachbereich Mathematik  
Universitätsstraße 10  
  
7750 Konstanz  
Bundesrepublik Deutschland

(Received May 15, 1979)