

## Werk

**Titel:** Parabolic orbifolds and the dimension of the maximal measure for rational maps.

**Autor:** Zdunik, Anna

**Jahr:** 1990

**PURL:** [https://resolver.sub.uni-goettingen.de/purl?356556735\\_0099|log39](https://resolver.sub.uni-goettingen.de/purl?356556735_0099|log39)

## Kontakt/Contact

Digizeitschriften e.V.  
SUB Göttingen  
Platz der Göttinger Sieben 1  
37073 Göttingen

✉ [info@digizeitschriften.de](mailto:info@digizeitschriften.de)

## Parabolic orbifolds and the dimension of the maximal measure for rational maps

Anna Zdunik

Institute of Mathematics, Warsaw University, PKiN IXp, 00-901 Warsaw, Poland

### § 0. Introduction

Let  $f: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be a rational map of the Riemann sphere,  $\deg(f) \geq 2$ . A natural invariant measure  $m$  – the measure of maximal entropy was constructed by Ljubich [Lju] and independently by Freire, Lopes and Mañé [FLM].

The aim of this paper is to compare this measure with some Hausdorff measures. First recall the following definition. For a probability measure  $\nu$  on  $\mathbb{C}$  (or, more generally, on a smooth manifold) the Hausdorff dimension of  $\nu$  is defined by a formula

$$HD(\nu) = \inf_{Y: \nu(Y) = 1} HD(Y)$$

(where  $HD(Y)$  is the Hausdorff dimension of  $Y$ ).

It was conjectured by Ljubich [Lju2] that Hausdorff dimension of the measure  $m$  is strictly smaller than the Hausdorff dimension of the Julia set  $J(f)$  (which is a support of  $m$ ) except for some very special cases, called “critically finite with parabolic orbifold”.

In the present paper we give a proof of this conjecture as well as some related results.

We shall compare the measure  $m$  with the Hausdorff measure  $A_\alpha$  where  $\alpha = HD(m)$ .

Recall that a measure  $\nu$  is said to be absolutely continuous with respect to  $A_\beta$  ( $\nu \ll A_\beta$ ) if

$$\text{for every Borel set } E \subset \mathbb{C} \quad A_\beta(E) = 0 \Rightarrow \nu(E) = 0;$$

$\nu$  is said to be singular with respect to  $A_\beta$  ( $\nu \perp A_\beta$ ) if there exists a Borel set  $F \subset \mathbb{C}$  such that

$$\nu(F) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad A_\beta(F) = 0.$$

It is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} \nu \perp A_\beta &\Rightarrow HD(\nu) \leq \beta \\ \nu \ll A_\beta &\Rightarrow HD(\nu) \geq \beta. \end{aligned}$$

For the measure  $m$  and  $\alpha = HD(m)$  we know that

$$\begin{aligned} m \perp A_\beta & \quad \text{for all } \beta > \alpha \\ m \ll A_\beta & \quad \text{for all } \beta < \alpha \end{aligned}$$

(use the remark above and ergodicity of  $m$ ).

The question of the relation between  $m$  and  $A_\alpha$  remains open. The answer to this question turns out to be crucial for the proof of Ljubich's conjecture.

We prove the following

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $f: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be a rational map of degree  $d \geq 2$ ,  $m$  – the measure of maximal entropy,  $\alpha = HD(m)$ . Then  $m$  is singular with respect to the  $\alpha$ -dimensional Hausdorff measure  $A_\alpha$  except for the case when  $f$  is critically finite with parabolic orbifold.*

**Theorem 2.** *We have  $HD(J(f)) > HD(m)$  iff  $f$  is not critically finite with parabolic orbifold.*

*Remark 1.* All maps with parabolic orbifold are classified in [DH], § 9. In § 1 we collect some useful facts on orbifolds.

## § 1. Basic notations and definitions

**Orbifolds.** An orbifold is a useful tool of describing the dynamics of some rational maps. The notion of orbifold was introduced by Thurston (see [T] for a general definition). We consider only orbifolds homeomorphic to the sphere  $\mathbb{S}^2$ . Such an orbifold can be understood to be the sphere  $\mathbb{S}^2$  with a collection of “singular” points  $p_1 \dots p_k \in \mathbb{S}^2$  and positive integers  $v(p_1) \dots v(p_k) > 1$  ascribed to these points.

We allow some  $v(p_i)$  to be equal  $\infty$ .

Such orbifold is denoted by  $(v(p_1), \dots, v(p_k))$ .

A notion of Euler characteristic of an orbifold was introduced in [T]. For our type of orbifolds it is given by the formula

$$\chi(\mathcal{O}) = 2 - \sum_{i=1}^k \left( 1 - \frac{1}{v(p_i)} \right) \quad (*)$$

An orbifold  $\mathcal{O}$  is called parabolic if  $\chi(\mathcal{O}) = 0$ . Using the formula (\*) above, it is easy to write down all parabolic orbifolds homeomorphic to the sphere  $\mathbb{S}^2$ :  $(2, 2, 2, 2)$ ,  $(3, 3, 3)$ ,  $(2, 4, 4)$ ,  $(2, 3, 6)$ ,  $(2, 2, \infty)$ ,  $(\infty, \infty)$ .

Let  $f$  be a rational map such that the trajectories of all critical points are finite (such a map is called critically finite). There is a natural way of constructing an orbifold corresponding to  $f$ . The singular points are critical values of  $f$  (i.e. the points  $f^k(c)$  for some critical point  $c$  and some  $k \geq 1$ ). The numbers  $v(p_i)$  are chosen so that  $v(f(p))$  is a multiple of  $v(p) \cdot \deg_p f$ . There is exactly one “minimal” way of such a choice. In particular, the orbifold  $(\infty, \infty)$  corresponds

to the map  $z \rightarrow z^{\pm d}$ , while  $(2, 2, \infty)$  corresponds to Tchebysheff polynomials (up to sign). These are (up to a conjugacy by a Möbius transformation) the only maps with parabolic orbifold and  $J(f) \neq \mathbb{C}$ .

*Notations.* Since we are dealing with maps of the Riemann sphere, we use usually the spherical metrics. Also, all the derivatives are computed with respect to this metrics.

If  $B$  is a ball of radius  $r$  (in the usual or in the spherical metrics), then we denote by  $\gamma \cdot B$  the ball with the same center and the radius  $\gamma \cdot r$ . The open unit disc will be denoted by  $D$ .  $\lambda$  denotes two-dimensional Lebesgue measure on the Riemann sphere (i.e. given by a spherical metrics).

By “critical value” we mean the image of a critical point under any iteration of  $f$  (for the first image we use rather a term “first critical value”).

Very often we make use of the following Koebe Distortion Theorem:

**Theorem** (see [Go], Ch. 2, § 4). (1) *For every  $0 < \delta < 1$  there exists  $C_\delta > 0$  such that for every univalent function  $f$  defined in  $D$*

$$\log \left| \frac{f'(x)}{f'(y)} \right| \leq C_\delta |x - y| \quad \text{for } x, y \in D_\delta$$

(where  $D_\delta$  is a disc of radius  $\delta$ , centered at 0).

In this formulation the usual derivative (rather than the spherical one) appears. It is easy to check, however, that for spherical metrics the following version is true:

(2) *For every  $\gamma > 0$  there exists a constant  $K_\gamma$  such that if  $B \subset \mathbb{C}$  is a ball of radius  $R$  (with respect to the spherical metrics), the map  $f: \gamma \cdot B \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is univalent and*

$$\lambda(f(\gamma \cdot B)) < \frac{1}{2} \lambda(\mathbb{S}^2),$$

then

$$\log \left| \frac{f'(x)}{f'(y)} \right| \leq K_\gamma |x - y| \quad \text{for } x, y \in B$$

(where the distances and derivatives are computed with respect to the spherical metrics).

## § 2. Idea of proof

We start with the well-known L.-S. Young’s formula for Hausdorff dimension of an invariant ergodic measure  $\nu$ .

We have (see [Y]):

$$HD(\nu) = \frac{h_\nu}{\chi_\nu} \quad \text{provided } h_\nu > 0.$$

$\chi_v$  is the  $v$ -Ljapunov exponent of the map  $f$ ;  $\chi_v = \int \log |f'| d\nu$  (notice that  $h_v > 0$  implies  $\chi_v > 0$ , by Ruelle's inequality [R]) we have

$$h_v(f) \leq \int \max(0, 2\chi(f)(x)) d\nu(x)$$

where

$$\chi(f)(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log |(f^n)'(x)|;$$

this observation was done in [P2]).

Our measure  $m$  is the measure of maximal entropy, so

$$h_m(f) = h_{\text{top}}(f) = \log d$$

and

$$\alpha = HD(m) = \frac{\log d}{\int \log |f'| dm}.$$

Define the function

$$\varphi = \alpha \log |f'| - \log d.$$

We have  $\int \varphi dm = 0$ .

Now, we use the results of [PUZ].

Look at the partial sums

$$S_n \varphi = \varphi + \varphi \circ f + \dots + \varphi \circ f^{n-1}.$$

Notice that

$$\exp(S_n \varphi(x)) = \frac{(|(f^n)'(x)|)^\alpha}{d^n}.$$

If  $B$  is a ball around  $x$  such that  $f^n|_B$  is univalent and  $f^n(B)$  has a big size, then  $\exp S_n \varphi(x)$  equals (up to a bounded factor)  $\frac{m(B)}{(\text{diam } B)^\alpha}$ . (Recall, that the Jacobian of  $m$  equals  $d$ , see [FLM].)

This observation suggests that examining of the partial sums  $S_n \varphi$  is a good way of comparing  $m$  and  $A_\alpha$ .

This was the way chosen in [PUZ] in an analogous situation. We check that  $(\varphi \circ f^n)_{n=0}^\infty$  is a sequence of weakly dependent random variables and that the Law of Iterated Logarithm holds under the essential assumption:  $\varphi$  is not homologous to 0 in  $L^2(J, m)$ . If this assumption is fulfilled, then, using the Law of Iterated Logarithm, one can prove the singularity of  $m$  with respect to  $A_\alpha$  (and even a stronger singularity, see Theorem 6, § 5 in [PUZ]).

So, we have to study a situation when  $\varphi$  is homologous to 0, i.e. when there exists a function  $u \in L^2(J, m)$  such that

$$(H) \quad \varphi = u \circ f - u.$$

Sects. 5–8 are devoted to this problem.

First we show that  $u$ , which is a priori only a measurable function, must be actually much “better”, i.e. continuous in domains not containing critical values (Lemma 2). Studying the possible singularities of  $u$ , we describe the behavior of trajectories of critical points in  $J$  (Proposition 4).

In the case  $J(f) = \mathbb{C}$  we conclude that  $f$  must be critically finite with parabolic orbifold.

The remaining case is treated in Sects. 6–8.

We already know (by Proposition 4) that  $f|_J$  is an expanding or (so-called) subexpanding map.

Now, in order to control the behavior of remaining critical trajectories, (as it has been done in Prop. 4 for critical trajectories in  $J(f)$ ), we extend  $u$  beyond  $J(f)$ , having still the homology formula (H) fulfilled.

Now, two cases can happen (they are treated in Sects. 7 and 8). In the first case our function can be extended to the open subset  $\Gamma$  of  $\mathbb{C}$  containing  $J(f)$  (in fact  $\Gamma$  is the whole  $\mathbb{C}$  minus sinks and trajectories of critical values).

The only possibility which does not lead to a contradiction is  $z \rightarrow z^{\pm d}$  (in expanding case) and Tchebysheff polynomial (up to sign) in subexpanding case. These two maps correspond to the orbifolds  $(\infty, \infty)$  and  $(2, 2, \infty)$  respectively.

The other case is when we manage only to extend our function  $u$  to a one-dimensional real-analytic set  $\Gamma$ , consisting of a finite number of curves. This case is eliminated again by studying the singularities of  $u$  in  $\Gamma$ .

In Theorem 2 we compare Hausdorff dimension of the Julia set  $J$  with Hausdorff dimension  $\alpha$  of the measure  $m$ . Provided  $\varphi$  is not homologous to zero, we find a subset  $X \subset J$  invariant under some iterate  $f^n$  of  $f$ , such that  $f^n|_X$  is expanding and  $HD(X) > \alpha$ . Roughly speaking, the idea is to use only the “expanding (and rich enough) part” of the dynamics of  $f$ .

If  $f|_J$  is expanding, then it is easy to conclude the implication ( $\varphi$  not homologous to zero)  $\Rightarrow HD(J) > HD(m)$  from the well-known Bowen-Manning-McCluskey picture. Consider the function  $t \rightarrow P(-t \log|f'|)$  where  $P$  is the usual topological pressure. This function is decreasing and convex. Moreover

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} P(-t \log|f'|) &= -\chi_m(f), \\ \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \Big|_{t=0} P(-t \log|f'|) &= \frac{1}{\alpha^2} \cdot \sigma^2. \end{aligned}$$

(where  $\sigma^2$  is so-called asymptotic variance for the sequence  $S_n \varphi$ , see Proposition 3, § 4; we have  $\sigma^2 = 0$  iff  $\varphi$  is homologous to zero).

The point of intersection of a line tangent at 0 to the graph of this function with  $t$ -axis gives us the value

$$t_0 = \frac{h(f)}{\chi_m(f)} = HD(m),$$

while the point of intersection of the graph itself with  $t$ -axis gives the value  $t_1 = HD(J)$ .

These two values are equal iff  $\sigma^2 = 0$ .

### § 3. Geometric coding tree

The geometric coding tree is a very efficient tool, which allows us to use the methods of symbolic dynamics. This construction was proposed in [J] for expanding maps, the usefulness for arbitrary maps was noticed in [P2]. The tree was the main technical tool in [PUZ]. The proof of convergence is motivated by ideas of [FLM]. Denote by  $\Sigma^d$  the set  $\{1, \dots, d\}^{\mathbb{Z}^+}$ . Our aim is to use this space as a coding space for the dynamics of  $f$  on  $J$ . This can be done as follows (see also [PUZ], § 4).

We choose a point  $z \in \mathbb{C}$  not being a critical value and curves  $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_d$  joining  $z$  to all points of the set  $f^{-1}(\{z\})$ , such that  $\gamma_i \cap \gamma_j = \{z\}$ . These curves have to be chosen so that

$$\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} f^n(\text{Crit } f) \cap \bigcup_i \gamma_i = \emptyset$$

(where  $\text{Crit } f$  is the set of critical points of  $f$ ).

Now, for every sequence  $\eta \in \Sigma^d$  we define a sequence  $(z_n(\eta))_{n=0}^{\infty}$  by induction. First, let  $z_0(\eta)$  be the endpoint of  $\gamma_{\eta_0}$  different from  $z$ . Define also the curve  $\gamma_0(\eta)$  to be  $\gamma_{\eta_0}$ . Now, assume that  $z_n(\eta)$  and  $\gamma_n(\eta)$  are already defined. We put

$$\gamma_{n+1}(\eta) = f_{v(\eta)}^{-(n+1)}(\gamma_{\eta_{n+1}})$$

where  $f_{v(\eta)}^{-(n+1)}$  is a branch of  $f^{-(n+1)}$  sending  $z$  to  $z_n(\eta)$ . The point  $z_{n+1}(\eta)$  is defined to be endpoint of  $\gamma_{n+1}(\eta)$  different from  $z_n(\eta)$ .

Obviously, the sum  $\gamma(\eta) = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \gamma_n(\eta)$  is again a curve. The whole set  $\Gamma = \bigcup_{\eta} \gamma(\eta)$  forms a tree (with branches possibly intersecting).

There is a natural metrics in the space  $\Sigma^d$ :  $d(\eta, \beta) = \frac{1}{2^i}$  where  $i = \max\{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+ : \eta_j = \beta_j\}$ . Thus, a natural notion of Hausdorff dimension (with respect to this metrics) can be considered.

The following crucial lemma shows, that this tree is a good way of coding the dynamics of  $f$ .

**Lemma 1.** (Przytycki, [P1], compare also [P2]). *For every rational map of degree  $d \geq 2$  there exists a geometric coding tree  $\Gamma$  and a subset  $E \subset \Sigma^d$  such that  $HD(E) = 0$  and for  $\eta \in \Sigma^d - E$  the branch  $\gamma(\eta)$  converges exponentially fast (i.e.  $\text{diam } \gamma_n(\eta)$  converge to zero exponentially).*

In this way, we obtain a coding map  $R: \Sigma^d - E \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . (It is denoted by  $R$  to underline the similarity to boundary value of the Riemann map from the unit disc onto a simply-connected domain). Let  $s$  be the left shift on  $\Sigma^d$ . Then (by construction) we have

$$R \circ s = f \circ R.$$

Let  $\mu$  be the measure of maximal entropy on  $\Sigma^d$ ,  $h_\mu = h_{\text{top}}(s) = \log d$ .

We have  $HD(\mu) > 0$ . By ergodicity of  $\mu$ , this implies  $HD(F) > 0$  for every set  $\mathcal{P}$  of positive  $\mu$ -measure. Thus,  $\mu(E) = 0$  (since  $HD(E) = 0$ ).

This implies that the image  $m = R_* \mu$  is well-defined. Notice that  $\text{supp}(m) = J$ .

**Proposition 1.** *The measure  $m$  is the (unique) measure of maximal entropy on  $J(f)$ .*

*Proof* is contained in fact in [P2], where one gets  $h_m = h = \log d$ . On the other hand,  $h_{\text{top}}(f) = \log d$ . Thus,  $m$  is the measure of maximal entropy. Proof of uniqueness is contained in [M] and [Lju].  $\square$

#### § 4. Singularity with respect to $A_x$

In this Section we collect some fact which have been proved (in a slightly different form) in [PUZ].

**Proposition 2** (see [PUZ], § 5, Lemma 4, 5, 6).

(a) *The function  $\Psi = \log|f'| \circ R$  is in the class  $L^p(\mu)$  for every  $0 < p < \infty$ .*

(b) *For every  $p > 0$  there exist  $K > 0$ ,  $\beta \in (0, 1)$  such that for every  $n \geq 0$*

$$(1) \quad \int |\Psi - E_\mu(\Psi | \mathcal{A}_n)|^p d\mu < K \beta^n$$

(where  $\mathcal{A}^n$  is a partition into cylinders of length  $n$ ,  $E(\Psi | \mathcal{A}_n)$  is the conditional expectation),

$$(2) \quad \int |(\Psi - \int \Psi d\mu) \cdot (\Psi - \int \Psi d\mu) \circ s^n| \leq K \beta^n. \quad \triangle$$

Let  $\varphi = \alpha \cdot \Psi - \log d$ .

Using the assertion of Proposition 2 and the mixing property of  $\mu$ , we conclude (compare [Ph-St], Th. 7.1, and [PUZ], Lemma 6, § 5).

**Proposition 3.** *The limit (called: asymptotic variance)*

$$\sigma^2 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\int (S_n \varphi)^2 d\mu}{n} \quad \text{exists.}$$

Moreover, if  $\sigma^2 \neq 0$ , then the sequence  $(\varphi \circ s^n)_{n=1}^\infty$  satisfies the Law of Iterated Logarithm. If  $\sigma^2 = 0$ , then the sequence  $n \rightarrow \int (S_n \varphi)^2 d\mu$  is bounded.

**Corollary.** *If  $\sigma^2 \neq 0$ , then  $m \perp A_x$  and even a stronger singularity (due to the Law of Iterated Logarithm) occurs:*

$$m \perp A_{\eta_c} \quad \text{for } c > c_0$$

where

$$c_0 = \frac{2\sigma^2}{\int \log|f'| dm}$$

and  $A_{\eta_c}$  is the Hausdorff measure corresponding to the function

$$\eta_c(t) = t^\alpha \exp\left(c \log \frac{1}{t} \log \log \log \frac{1}{t}\right). \quad \triangle$$



*Proof* can be derived from [PUZ], Theorem 6.  $\square$

Now, we come back to the original space  $L^2(J(f), m)$ . Denote  $\phi = \alpha \log|f'| - \log d$ ; we have  $\varphi = \phi \circ R$ . Assume  $\sigma^2 = 0$ . Then by Proposition 3 we know that the integrals  $\int (S_n \phi)^2 dm$  are bounded. Then, by standard consideration (quoted, for example, in [PUZ], Lemma 1, § 1) we conclude that  $\phi$  is homologous to 0 in  $L^2(J(f), m)$ , i.e. there exists a function  $u \in L^2(J(f), m)$  such that

$$(H) \quad \phi = u \circ f - u.$$

### § 5. Properties of the function $u$

In this section we show that our function  $u$ , which was a priori only an element of  $L^2$ , must be actually better. The following lemma is crucial for understanding when (H) can happen.

**Lemma 2.** *Assume  $\phi = u \circ f - u$  for some  $u \in L^2(J(f), m)$ . If  $p$  is not a critical value (i.e.  $f^n c \neq p$  for all  $n \geq 1$  and all critical points  $c$ ) then there exists a neighbourhood  $U$  of  $p$  and a continuous function  $w: U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $u = w$   $m$ -almost everywhere in  $U$ .*

*Proof.* In the case when  $f|J$  is expanding, one can use the ideas coming from [Li]. (The proof of a similar fact in the expanding situation was given in [PUZ], Lemma 1, § 1).

We try to use an analogous way of reasoning in non-expanding case.

We know that  $u$  is  $m$ -measurable, thus by Luzin theorem there exists a set  $F$  of measure  $m$  bigger than  $\frac{3}{4}$  such that  $u|F$  is uniformly continuous.

We claim that

$$(*) \quad \text{there exists } \delta > 0 \text{ such that}$$

if  $B$  is a disc (small enough) centered at  $p$ , then there exists a subset  $E \subset B$  of full measure such that if  $x, y \in E$ , then one can find a sequence  $m_i \rightarrow \infty$  and a holomorphic branch  $f_v^{-n_i}$  defined on  $2 \cdot B$  for which

$$\begin{aligned} \text{diam}(f_v^{-n_i}(B)) &\leq K \exp(-n_i \delta), \\ f_v^{-n_i}(x) &\in F, \quad f_v^{-n_i}(y) \in F. \end{aligned}$$

( $K$  is some constant independent of  $i$ ).

Assume  $(*)$  is true. Then we have

$$u(x) - u(y) = \log \left| \frac{(f^{n_i})'(f_v^{-n_i} x)}{(f^{n_i})'(f_v^{-n_i} y)} \right| + u(f_v^{-n_i} x) - u(f_v^{-n_i} y).$$

The first summand can be estimated by  $c \cdot |x - y|$ , where  $c$  is some constant, by Distortion Theorem. The second summand tends to zero as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ , since  $\text{dist}(f_v^{-n_i} x, f_v^{-n_i} y) \rightarrow 0$  and  $u|F$  is uniformly continuous. Thus, it is enough to prove that  $(*)$  is true.

*Proof of (\*):* We have to pass to the natural extension  $(\tilde{J}, \tilde{m}, \tilde{f})$ . Let  $\pi: \tilde{J} \rightarrow J$  be the projection onto 0-th coordinate. We fix a ball  $B$  centered at  $p$  such that there are no critical values up to order  $M$  in  $2 \cdot B$  ( $M$  is a positive integer to be specified later on). Fix also a positive number  $K$ .

Let  $f_v^{-n}$  be a branch of  $f^{-n}$  defined in a neighbourhood of  $p$ . We say that this branch is good if

- (1)  $f_v^{-n}$  is well-defined in  $2 \cdot B$
- (2)  $\text{diam}(f_v^{-n}(B)) < K \exp(-n\delta)$ .

We say that  $(f_v^{-n})_{n=1}^\infty$  is a sequence of branches if

$$f \circ f_v^{-(n+1)} = f_v^{-n}.$$

The following lemma, motivated by the paper [FLM] was proved in [PUZ] (Lemma 8, § 5). Here, we formulate it in a more convenient form.

**Basic lemma.** *For every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exist constants  $M > 0$  (fixing the size of  $B$ ),  $\delta > 0$  and a subset  $\tilde{K} \subset \pi^{-1}(B)$  such that  $\frac{\tilde{m}(\tilde{K})}{m(B)} > 1 - \varepsilon$  and if  $(\dots x_{-k}, x_{-k+1}, \dots, x_0, x_1, \dots)$  is an element of  $\tilde{K}$ , then  $x_{-k} = f_v^{-k}(x_0)$  for some good branch of  $f^{-k}$ .*

*Proof.* We sketch the proof here, since we shall need the explicit construction of  $\tilde{K}$  later on.

The idea is to remove consecutively “bad” branches  $f_v^{-n}$ .

We start with  $d^M$  branches of  $f^{-M}$  defined on  $2 \cdot B$ . We remove those branches for which  $f_v^{-M}(2 \cdot B)$  contains a first critical value. Thus, we remove at most  $2d - 2$  branches.

Assume that the good branches  $f_v^{-(n-1)}$  have been already chosen and the images  $f_v^{-(n-1)}(2 \cdot B)$  do not contain critical values. We consider all branches  $f_\eta^{-1} \circ f_v^{-(n-1)}$  (i.e. good branches  $f_v^{-(n-1)}$  are composed with  $d$  possible branches  $f_\eta^{-1}$  defined on  $f_v^{-(n-1)}(2 \cdot B)$ ).

Among them the branches to be removed are those branches  $f_v^{-n}$  for which

$$\lambda(f_v^{-n}(B)) > \exp(-2n\delta)$$

or  $f_v^{-n}(2 \cdot B)$  contains a first critical value.

We proceed by induction.

A straightforward computation (compare [PUZ], § 5, Lemma 8) shows, that the remaining set  $\tilde{K}$  (consisting of sequences  $(\dots x_{-k}, x_{-k+1}, \dots, x_0, x_1, \dots)$  such that  $x_0 \in B$  and  $x_{-k} = f^{-k}(x_0)$  for some branch  $f^{-k}$  which has not been removed) has measure  $m$  as close to  $\tilde{m}(B)$  as we want (if  $\delta$  is small and  $M$  large enough). Notice, that every branch chosen in this way is good (use the Koebe Distortion Theorem).  $\square$

Notice that the set  $\tilde{K}$  has a natural product structure. There is a bijection  $\varphi_{x,y}$  between the fibres  $\pi^{-1}(\{x\}) \cap \tilde{K}$  and  $\pi^{-1}(\{y\}) \cap \tilde{K}$ , namely:

$$\varphi_{x,y}((\dots x_{-k}, x_{-k+1}, \dots, x_0, x_1, \dots)) = (\dots y_{-k}, y_{-k+1}, \dots, y_0, y_1, \dots)$$

if  $x_{-k}$  and  $y_{-k}$  are obtained by use of the same branch of  $f^{-k}$  defined in  $B$ .

Moreover,

$$(\varphi_{x,y})_* \tilde{m}_x = \tilde{m}_y$$

where  $\tilde{m}_x, \tilde{m}_y$  are conditional measures on fibres of the partition into sets

$$\pi^{-1}(\{x\}) \cap \tilde{K} \quad (x \in B).$$

Now, from the ergodicity of  $\tilde{m}$  it follows that there exists a subset  $\tilde{E} \subset \tilde{K}$  of full measure such that for  $\tilde{x} \in \tilde{E}$

$$\tilde{f}^{-n}(\tilde{x}) \in \tilde{F} = \pi^{-1}(F)$$

happens with frequency  $m(F)$  (bigger than  $\frac{3}{4}$ ).

Since  $\tilde{m}(\tilde{E}) = \tilde{m}(\tilde{K})$ , for  $m$ -almost all  $x \in B$

$$\tilde{m}_x(\tilde{E} \cap \pi^{-1}(\{x\}) \cap \tilde{K}) = \tilde{m}_x(\pi^{-1}(\{x\}) \cap \tilde{K}).$$

Thus, for almost all  $y \in B \cap \pi(\tilde{E})$

$$\tilde{m}_y(\varphi_{x,y}(\tilde{E} \cap \pi^{-1}(\{x\}) \cap \tilde{K})) = \tilde{m}_y(\pi^{-1}(\{y\}) \cap \tilde{K})$$

i.e.  $\varphi_{x,y}(\tilde{E} \cap \pi^{-1}(\{x\}) \cap \tilde{K})$  has a full measure in the fibre  $\pi^{-1}(\{y\}) \cap \tilde{K}$  (due to the product structure of  $\tilde{K}$ ).

It follows, that for these  $x, y$  there exists a common sequence of branches  $f_v^{-n}$  such that both  $f_v^{-n}(x)$  and  $f_v^{-n}(y)$  fall into  $F$  with a frequency bigger than  $\frac{3}{4}$ . Thus, one can find a sequence  $n_i$  such that

$$f_v^{-n_i}(x) \in F \quad \text{and} \quad f_v^{-n_i}(y) \in F.$$

In this way, (\*) is proved, completing the proof of Lemma 2.  $\square$

As a corollary, we get an important

**Proposition 4.**

(1) If  $f^k(d_1) = f^l(d_2)$  for some  $d_1, d_2 \in J$ ,  $d_1, d_2$  not being critical values, then

$$\deg_{d_1}(f^k) = \deg_{d_2}(f^l).$$

(2) If  $c$  is a critical point in  $J$  and  $f^k(c) = f(x)$  for some  $x$ , then  $x$  is either a critical point or a critical value.

(3) The trajectories of all critical points in  $J$  are finite.

*Proof.* (1) It follows from Lemma 2, that  $u$  is bounded a.e. in some neighbourhood of  $d_1$ . Using the homology formula (H) we conclude that  $u$  has a singularity

$$\frac{s-1}{s} \log |y - f^k(d_1)| \quad (s = \deg_{d_1} f^k)$$

in the neighbourhood of  $f^k(d_1)$ , i.e.  $u(y) - \frac{s-1}{s} \log |y - f^k(d_1)|$  is bounded for  $y$  close to  $f^k(d_1)$ . By the same reasoning we get a singularity

$$\frac{t-1}{t} \log |y - f^l(d_2)| \quad \text{where } t = \deg_{d_2} f^l$$

in the neighbourhood of  $f^l(d_2) = f^k(d_1)$ . Comparing these two results we get  $t = s$ .

Now, (3) follows easily from (2) while (2) is a consequence of (1).  $\square$

*Remark 2.* Denote  $\mathcal{P}_f = \bigcup_{n \geq 1} f^n$  (critical points in  $J$ ). Assume  $\phi$  is homologous to zero. Then to every point  $b \in \mathcal{P}_f$  we can ascribe a positive integer  $v(b)$  such that

$$(1) \quad v(f(b)) = \deg_b f \cdot v(b)$$

and

$$(2) \quad u(x) \approx \alpha \left( 1 - \frac{1}{v(b)} \right) \log |x - b|$$

in the neighbourhood of  $b$  (the sign  $\approx$  means here: the difference is bounded).

This is possible by Proposition 4.

We get an important

**Corollary.** *If  $\phi$  is homologous to zero and  $J(f)$  is the whole  $\bar{\mathbb{C}}$ , then  $f$  is critically finite with parabolic orbifold.*

*Proof.* The numbers  $v(b)$  are precisely the numbers ascribed to critical values in the definition of an orbifold. Moreover Proposition 4 together with the property (1) of Remark 2 above show that  $f: \mathcal{O}_f \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_f$  is a covering map of orbifolds (see [T] for the definition; for our orbifolds it means just, that  $v(f(b)) = \deg_b f \cdot v(b)$ ). Thus (as it is shown in [T])

$$\chi(\mathcal{O}_f) = d \cdot \chi(\mathcal{O}_f), \quad \text{hence } \chi(\mathcal{O}_f) = 0. \quad \square$$

Next, we assume that  $\phi$  is homologous to 0 and  $J(f) \neq \bar{\mathbb{C}}$ .

First, notice that there are neither Siegel discs nor Herman rings in the complement of  $J(f)$  (since the boundary of such domain is contained in the

closure of trajectories of critical points in  $J(f)$  and here the trajectories of critical points in  $J(f)$  are finite.

Thus, there are only basins of sinks and their preimages in the complement of  $J(f)$ . Moreover, parabolic basins are also excluded, since we have

**Lemma 3.** (a) *If  $p \in J$  is a periodic point of period  $n$  and there exists a “good way back” from  $p$  (i.e. a sequence  $(x_i)_{i=1}^{\infty}$  of points such that  $f(x_1) = p$ ,  $f(x_{i+1}) = x_i$  for  $i \geq 1$  and none of  $x_i$  is a critical point), then*

$$|(f^n)'(p)|^\alpha = d^n \quad (\text{thus, } p \text{ is a source}).$$

(b) *If  $p \in J$  is a periodic point of period  $n$  and  $p = f^k(c)$ , where  $c$  is a critical point not being a critical value, then*

$$|(f^n)'(p)|^\alpha = d^{ns} \quad \text{where } s = \deg_c f^k$$

(thus,  $p$  is also a source).

*Proof* relies on a straightforward computation and will be omitted.  $\square$

## § 6. Case $J(f) \neq \mathbb{C}$

Here, we want to describe the trajectories of critical points outside  $J(f)$ .

Our first step will be to extend  $u$  beyond  $J(f)$  as far as possible; we require the extended function to satisfy the homology formula:

$$u(f(x)) - u(x) = \alpha \log |f'(x)| - \log d$$

whenever  $u(f(x))$ ,  $u(x)$  are defined.

We have two (slightly different) cases: either there are no critical points in  $J$  (expanding case) or critical points in  $J$  satisfy the statement of Proposition 4, in particular their trajectories are finite (subexpanding case).

For a subexpanding map it is convenient to introduce a new, “adapted” metrics (compare [DH2]) defined by the function

$$v(x) = \sum_{b \in \mathcal{P}_f} \frac{1}{|x - b|^{\left(1 - \frac{1}{v(b)}\right)}}.$$

The derivative in this new metrics is  $Df = |f'| \frac{v \circ f}{v}$  and  $\log Df$  is homologous to  $\log d$ ,  $\log Df = \log d + w \circ f - w$  where  $w = \log v + u$ .

The function  $w$  is bounded (see Prop. 4 for a discussion of singularities of  $u$ ). In particular, we have  $Df^n > 1$  for some  $n$  (since  $Df^n = n \log d + w \circ f^n - w$ ).

Both cases (expanding and subexpanding ones) will be treated in the same way.

First, remind that a sequence of branches is a sequence such that

$$f(f_v^{-(n+1)}(x)) = f_v^{-n}(x).$$

Define a set  $\Gamma = \{x \in \mathbb{C} : x \text{ is neither a critical point nor a sink and for } x_0 \in J \text{ not being a critical value and an arbitrary curve joining } x \text{ to } x_0 \text{ and not passing through critical values and sinks the formula}$

$$(\#) \quad \bar{u}(x) = u(x_0) + \alpha \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (\log |f'(f_{v,\gamma}^{-i} x)| - \log |f'(f_{v,\gamma}^{-i} x_0)|)$$

gives the same result (i.e. independent of the choice of  $x_0$ ,  $\gamma$  and a sequence of branches  $f_{v,\gamma}^{-i}$  along  $\gamma$ ).

In the following lemmas we list some properties of the set  $\Gamma$ .

**Lemma 4.** (1) *If  $x \in J - \{\text{critical values in } J\}$  then  $x \in \Gamma$  and  $\bar{u}(x) = u(x)$ .*

(2)  *$f^{-1}(\Gamma) \subset \Gamma$  and the function  $\bar{u}$  satisfies the homology formula (H) whenever  $x, f(x) \in \Gamma$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $x \in J$ . Choose  $x_0 \in J$  and a curve  $\gamma$  as in definition of  $\Gamma$ . We have

$$f_{v,\gamma}^{-n}(\gamma) \rightrightarrows J$$

Indeed, remind that there are only basins of sinks and their preimages in the complement of  $J$ . Hence, for  $n$  large  $f_{v,\gamma}^{-n}(\gamma)$  is contained in a neighbourhood  $V$  of  $J$  in which  $f$  is expanding with respect to the usual metrics or to the adapted one (in the subexpanding case).

In both cases we have

$$\text{diam}(f_{v,\gamma}^{-n}(B)) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0.$$

It follows that

$$u(f_{v,\gamma}^{-n}(x)) - u(f_{v,\gamma}^{-n}(x_0)) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} & u(x_0) + \alpha \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (\log |f'(f_{v,\gamma}^{-i}(x))| - \log |f'(f_{v,\gamma}^{-i}(x_0))|) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \left( u(x_0) + \alpha \sum_{i=1}^n \log |f'(f_{v,\gamma}^{-i}(x))| - n \log d \right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \left( \alpha \sum_{i=1}^n \log |f'(f_{v,\gamma}^{-i}(x_0))| - n \log d \right) \right) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (u(x_0) + u(x) - u(f_{v,\gamma}^{-n}(x)) - u(x_0) + u(f_{v,\gamma}^{-n}(x_0))) = u(x) \end{aligned}$$

and obviously the result does not depend on the way we have chosen.

Proof of (2) is based on a straightforward computation and will be omitted.  $\square$

**Lemma 5.** *Let  $y_0$  be neither a critical value nor a sink. Take a disc  $B$  around  $y_0$  containing no critical values. Then  $\Gamma \cap B$  is*

- (1) *the whole  $B$  or*
- (2) *an empty set or*
- (3) *the sum of a finite number of real-analytic curves and isolated points.*

*Proof.* Fix a point  $x_0 \in J$  and a curve  $\gamma$  joining  $x_0$  and  $y_0$  as in the definition of  $\Gamma$ .

Notice that the formula  $(\#)$  defines a harmonic function on  $B$  (where  $f_{v,\gamma}^{-n}(y)$  is understood to be that branch of  $f^{-n}$  on  $B$  which maps  $y_0$  to  $f_{v,\gamma}^{-n}(y_0)$ ).

Consider two such functions  $\bar{u}_1, \bar{u}_2$  (obtained by a procedure above). Then the set

$$V_{\bar{u}_1, \bar{u}_2} = \{z : \bar{u}_1(z) = \bar{u}_2(z)\}$$

is the set of zeros of a harmonic function, thus either the whole  $B$  or the sum of a finite number of real-analytic curves.

Now, take another pair  $V_{\bar{u}_3, \bar{u}_4}$  and consider the set  $V_{\bar{u}_1, \bar{u}_2} \cap V_{\bar{u}_3, \bar{u}_4} \cdot V_{\bar{u}_3, \bar{u}_4}$  is again a sum of a finite number of analytic curves  $t_1 \dots t_k$  (or the whole  $B$ ). Moreover, if  $s_i \cap t_j$  has a condensation point then  $s_i = t_j$ . Indeed,  $t_j$  is described by the  $\mathbb{R}$ -analytic parametrization  $\phi = (\phi_1, \phi_2)$ . Hence, the function  $(\bar{u}_1 - \bar{u}_2) \circ \phi$  is  $\mathbb{R}$ -analytic and equals zero on a set having a condensation point. Thus, it equals zero everywhere and  $t_j \subset V_{\bar{u}_1, \bar{u}_2}$ . It follows that  $V_{\bar{u}_1, \bar{u}_2} \cap V_{\bar{u}_3, \bar{u}_4}$  is a sum of a finite number of real-analytic curves and isolated points (or the whole  $B$ ). It is easy to see that the same is true for the full intersection  $\Gamma = \bigcap_{(\bar{u}_1, \bar{u}_2)} V_{\bar{u}_1, \bar{u}_2}$  (the intersection is taken over all possible pairs as above).  $\square$

Now, we have two cases which will be treated in §§ 7, 8. In the first case the set  $\Gamma$  consists of a finite number of real-analytic curves. In the second case  $\Gamma$  is an open subset of  $\mathbb{C}$ .

## § 7. One-dimensional set $\Gamma$

In this section we assume that

$$\text{int } \Gamma \cap J = \emptyset.$$

Under this assumption we have

**Lemma 6.** (a) *Take a point  $y_0 \in J$  not being a critical value. If a ball  $B(y_0, \rho)$  is small enough and does not contain critical values, then  $\Gamma \cap B(y_0, \rho)$  is a real-analytic curve.*

(b) *If  $y \in \mathbb{C}$  and the ball  $B(y, \rho)$  does not contain critical values, then  $B(y, \rho) \cap \Gamma$  contains at most one analytic curve or is a set (possibly empty) of isolated points.*

*Proof.* (a) Since  $y_0 \in J$  and  $J \subset \Gamma$ , then  $y_0$  is not an isolated point in  $\Gamma$ . Thus, one can assume that there are no isolated points of  $\Gamma$  in  $B$ . We have to check that  $\Gamma$  cannot contain two analytic curves intersecting at  $y$ . Assume that two such curves exist. But one can find an infinite number of branches  $f_v^{-n_i}$  defined in  $B$  such that  $f_v^{-n_i}(B) \subset B$  and the set of points  $(f_v^{-n_i}(y))_{i=1}^\infty$  is infinite. All these points are in  $\Gamma$  (by Lemma 4) and all of them are points of intersection of curves contained in  $\Gamma$ . This contradicts Lemma 5.

(b) We fix a point  $y_0 \in J$  and a ball  $B$  as in (a). There exists a branch  $f_v^{-n}$  such that  $f_v^{-n}(B(y, \rho)) \subset B$ . Since  $B \cap \Gamma$  is an analytic curve, then  $\Gamma \cap B(y, \rho)$  is contained in the analytic curve  $f^n(\Gamma \cap B)$ .  $\square$

Now, we describe connected components of  $\Gamma$ .

**Lemma 7.** *Assume  $y_0 \in J$  is not a critical value. If  $s$  is a connected component of  $y_0$  in  $\Gamma$ , then  $\bar{s}$  is either an analytic Jordan curve, or an embedded closed interval with endpoints being critical points or sinks.*

*Proof.*  $y_0$  is not an isolated point in  $\Gamma$ . Thus, by Lemma 6 we conclude that  $s$  is locally a real-analytic curve. Notice that the curve  $s$  may have only two (perhaps coinciding) condensation points not belonging to  $s$ . (For, by Lemma 6 (b) a condensation point must be either a critical value or a sink). Thus,  $\bar{s}$  is an embedded closed interval (if such points exist) or an analytic Jordan curve (if  $\bar{s} - s = \emptyset$ ).  $\square$

Denote by  $S$  the set of all connected components of  $\Gamma$  intersecting  $J$ .  $S$  is a finite set (since in the neighbourhood of every point  $y_0 \in J$  we have only a finite number of curves in  $\Gamma$  (even if  $y_0$  is a critical value)).

**Lemma 8.** *Let  $p$  be an endpoint of the curve  $s \in S$ . Then  $\lim_{\substack{x \rightarrow p \\ x \in S}} \bar{u}(x) = -\infty$ .*

*Proof.* Use the homology formula

$$\bar{u}(x) = \bar{u}(f_v^{-n}(x)) + \alpha \log |(f^n)'(f_v^{-n}(x))| - n \log d$$

for an appropriate branch of  $f^{-n}$ .  $\square$

Now, using singularities of  $\bar{u}$  we describe the dynamics of  $f$  on the curves belonging to  $S$ .

**Proposition 5.**  *$f|S$  is a permutation of curves, i.e. for every  $s \in S$   $\bar{s}$  is mapped onto  $\bar{s}'$  (for some  $s' \in S$ ). Moreover, a curve of a given type (i.e. a closed one or homeomorphic to the interval) is mapped onto a curve of the same type.*

*Proof.* Let  $s \in S$ . Obviously, there exists a curve  $s' \in S$  such that  $f(s) \cap s' \neq \emptyset$ .

First we assume that  $s'$  is homeomorphic to the interval. If there exists a point  $y \in s$  such that  $f(y)$  is an endpoint of  $s'$  then  $y$  must be a critical point (because  $\bar{u}(x)$  tends to  $-\infty$  as  $x \rightarrow f(y)$  and by the homology formula). Thus,  $f^{-1}(s')$  contains an arc passing through the point  $y$ , hence a neighbourhood of  $y$  in  $\Gamma$ .



This implies that

$$f(\bar{s}) \subset \bar{s}'.$$

Actually, we have  $f(\bar{s}) = \bar{s}'$ , since otherwise some endpoint of  $f(s)$  (being a critical value or a sink) would lie in  $s'$ . But there are neither critical values nor sinks in  $s'$ .

If  $s'$  is a Jordan curve, then  $s$  must be Jordan curve, too (since the endpoints of  $s$  are critical values or sinks and there are no such points in  $s'$ ). As before, one can check that  $f(s) \subset s'$ . Thus, there are no critical points in  $s$  (since there are no critical values in  $s'$ ) and  $f|_s$  is locally one-to-one.

Hence,  $f(s) = s'$ .

Obviously, a curve  $s$  homeomorphic to the interval is mapped onto a curve of the same type. Thus, a Jordan curve  $t \in S$  must be mapped onto a Jordan curve (because each curve is the image of some other curve).  $\square$

**Corollary.** *There exists a component  $t \in S$  periodic for  $f$  (i.e.  $f^k(t) = t$  and  $f^{-k}(t) = t$  for some  $k$ ).  $\triangle$*

First, assume that  $t$  is a Jordan curve.

**Proposition 6.** *If there is a Jordan curve  $t \in S$  periodic under  $f$ , then  $f$  equals  $z \rightarrow z^{\pm d}$  up to a Möbius transformation.*

*Proof.* Passing to some iterate of  $f$ , one can assume that  $f(t) = f^{-1}(t) = t$ . Then the Julia set is just our curve  $t$ . To see that, take a point  $x \in J \cap t$ . Then  $J = \text{cl}(\bigcup_n \{y: f^n y = t\}) \subset t$  since  $f^{-n}(t) \subset t$ . On the other hand, if  $y \in t - J$  then  $f^n(y)$  tends to a sink and belongs to  $t$ . This is impossible, since  $t$  is separated from sinks.

Thus, the situation must be as follows:  $J$  is an analytic Jordan curve dissecting  $\mathbb{S}^2$  into two simply-connected domains  $D_1, D_2$ . One can assume (taking  $f \circ f$ ) that  $f(D_1) = D_1, f(D_2) = D_2$ . Then  $f$  is conjugate by Möbius transformation to the Blaschke product and  $J$  is a circle (by the argument due to Sullivan [Su]).

Now, among these map there is only one (up to a conjugacy by a Möbius transformation) for which  $\log|f'|$  is homologous to  $\log d$ , this is  $z \mapsto z^d$ .

Since we have passed to some iterate  $f^k$ , we know up to now that  $f^k$  equals (up to a Möbius transformation)  $z \rightarrow z^{d^k}$ . But then  $f$  itself equals  $z \mapsto z^d$  or  $z \mapsto z^{-d}$  (up to a Möbius transformation).  $\square$

Now, we assume that there exists a curve  $s \in S$  periodic under  $f$  and homeomorphic to the interval.

Suppose  $f^k(s) = s$ . Obviously, the endpoints of  $s$  are mapped by  $f^k$  to the endpoints. Thus, there exists an endpoint  $p$  of  $s$  periodic for  $f$ ; one can assume that  $f$  is a fixed point.

First, notice that  $p$  cannot be a superattractive fixed point. To see this, take a small annulus  $\mathcal{P}$  around  $p$ . Since  $p$  is the endpoint of  $s$  and  $\Gamma$  is invariant under  $f^{-1}$ , there exists a dense subset of  $\mathcal{P}$  contained in  $\Gamma$ . This is a contradiction (we already know, that  $\Gamma \cap \mathcal{P}$  consists of analytic curves).

Thus,  $\lambda = |f'(p)| \neq 0$ . We already know, that

$$\lim_{\substack{x \rightarrow p \\ x \in S}} \bar{u}(x) = -\infty.$$

It is easy to compute that in the neighbourhood of  $p$

$$\bar{u}(x) \approx \log|x-p| \left( \alpha - \frac{\log d}{\log \lambda} \right).$$

On the other hand,  $p$  has a preimage  $q$  different from  $p$  and not being a critical value.

If  $f^n(q) = p$  and  $\deg_q f^n = t$ , then  $\bar{u} \approx \alpha \left( 1 - \frac{1}{t} \right) \log|x-p|$  in the neighbourhood of  $p$  (see Corollary after Lemma 2).

This gives  $t = \alpha \frac{\log \lambda}{\log d}$ . Thus,  $\lambda > 1$  and  $p$  is a source. As in the proof of Proposition 6 we check that the curve  $s$  and the Julia set  $J$  coincide.

Obviously, we can assume that the endpoints of  $s$  are  $-1, 1$  and that  $\infty \notin s$ . Consider a two-sheet cover of  $\mathbb{S}^2$  ramified over  $1, -1$ , given by the map  $\pi: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ ,

$$\pi(z) = \frac{z + z^{-1}}{2}.$$

The preimage of  $s$  under  $\pi$  is the piecewise smooth Jordan curve  $t$  dissecting  $\mathbb{C}$  into two topological discs  $D_1, D_2$ , each of them being mapped by  $\pi$  onto the complement of  $s$ .

The map  $\tilde{f}$  defined by  $\pi^{-1} \circ f \circ \pi$  on  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  extends to a continuous (and thus also analytic and rational) map on  $\mathbb{C}$  with  $J(\tilde{f}) = t$ . Moreover,  $\log|\tilde{f}'|$  is homologous to  $\log d$  and we conclude from Proposition 6 that  $t$  is a geometric circle and  $\tilde{f}$  is conjugate to  $z \rightarrow z^d$  by some homography  $\tilde{h}$ . Since  $\tilde{f}\left(\frac{1}{z}\right) = \frac{1}{\tilde{f}(z)}$ ,  $t$  must be the unit circle  $S^1$  and  $h$  may be taken as  $\tilde{h}(z) = \frac{z-a}{1-\bar{a}z}$ ;  $a \in D$  is the superattractive fixed point of  $f$ . The other fixed point is  $\frac{1}{a}$  (since  $\tilde{f}\left(\frac{1}{z}\right) = \frac{1}{\tilde{f}(z)}$ ); it must be equal to  $\tilde{h}^{-1}(\infty) = \frac{1}{\bar{a}}$ , hence  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then  $\tilde{h}\left(\frac{1}{z}\right) = \frac{1}{\tilde{h}(z)}$  and there exists a homography  $h$  such that  $\pi \circ \tilde{h} = h \circ \pi$ . This homography gives the conjugacy between  $f$  and the Tchebysheff polynomial.

In fact, we have only checked that some iterate of  $f$  is conjugate to Tchebysheff polynomial. But now we already know that  $J(f)$  is an interval (since  $J(f) = J(f^k)$ ) and repeating the reasoning above we conclude that  $f$  or  $-f$  is the Tchebysheff polynomial.

Thus, we have proved

**Proposition 7.** *If there exists a curve  $s \in S$  homeomorphic to the interval and periodic under  $f$ , then  $f$  is conjugate by Möbius transformation to the Tchebysheff polynomial (up to sign).*

Actually, it is easy to check that in both cases described in Propositions 6 and 7 the set  $\Gamma$  is two-dimensional (i.e.  $\text{int } \Gamma \cap J \neq \emptyset$ ). Thus we have

**Corollary.** *If  $\alpha \log |f'|$  is homologous to  $\log d$  then  $\text{int } \Gamma \cap J \neq \emptyset$ .*

So, it remains to consider the case  $\text{int } \Gamma \cap J = \emptyset$ . This will be done in the next section.

## § 8. Two-dimensional set $\Gamma$

Throughout this section we assume that  $\text{int } \Gamma \cap J \neq \emptyset$ .

**Lemma 8.** *If  $\text{int } \Gamma \cap J \neq \emptyset$ , then  $\Gamma$  is an open connected set and every point in  $\partial \Gamma$  is either a critical value or a sink.*

*Proof.* There exists  $y \in J \cap \text{int } \Gamma$ . Let  $s$  be (as before) the connected component of  $y$  in  $\Gamma$ . We claim that  $s$  is an open set. Indeed, the set  $\{x \in s : x \in \text{int } \Gamma\}$  is open and closed in  $\Gamma$ . (If  $x_n \in \text{int } \Gamma$  and  $x_n \rightarrow x \in \Gamma$ , then  $x$  must be in  $\text{int } \Gamma$ . Otherwise (by Lemma 5) in the neighbourhood of  $x \in \Gamma$  consists of a finite number of analytic curves, thus  $x_n \notin \text{int } \Gamma$  for large  $n$ ).

Now, let  $z$  belong to  $\partial s$ . We claim that  $z$  is a critical value or a sink. Otherwise, as  $z \in \partial s \subset \bar{s}$ , then  $z \in \Gamma$  (by definition of  $\Gamma$ ). Thus, in a small ball  $B$  around  $z$  the set  $\Gamma$  is a sum of a finite number of curves and isolated points (but then there are no points of  $s = \text{int}(s)$  in  $B$ ) or the whole  $B$  (but then  $z \in \partial s$ ).

It follows that  $s \cup \partial s = \bar{s}$  (since  $\partial s$  is at most countable). This ends the proof.  $\square$

The next lemma is in fact a repetition of Proposition 4 of Sect. 5 and therefore the proof will be only sketched.

**Lemma 9.** *If  $c \notin J$  is a critical point then  $c \in \partial s$  and  $c$  is periodic.*

*Proof.* Since the function  $u$  can be extended to the whole set  $s = \bar{s} - \partial s$ , we can use the same method (studying of singularities of  $\bar{u}$ ) as in the proof of Proposition 4, § 5. If  $c \notin \partial s$ , then the function  $\bar{u}$  is bounded in the neighbourhood of  $c$  and we conclude (as in Proposition 4 and Lemma 3b) that some image of  $c$  is a source. But there are no sources outside of  $J$ . Thus,  $c \in \partial s$  and  $c$  is a critical value by Lemma 8 above. Since there are only finitely many critical points, it follows that  $c$  is periodic.

Take an arbitrary critical point  $c_0 \notin J$ . We can assume that  $f(c_0) = c_0$  (replacing  $f$  by some iterate of  $f$ ). We claim that  $\deg_{c_0} f = d$ . Otherwise, there exists a point  $x \neq c_0$  such that  $f(x) = c_0$ . The point  $x$  must be a critical value (again by a reasoning like in the proof of Prop. 4). Thus, there exists a critical point  $c_1 \neq c_0$  such that  $f^k(c_1) = c_0$ . Obviously, one can require that  $c_1$  is not a critical value. But this contradicts Lemma 9 above.  $\square$

Now, we have again two cases. The first possibility is that there are no critical points in  $J$ . Then  $f$  must have two superattractive points with maximal

degree. Then the Julia set is a circle and  $f$  is conjugate by a Möbius transformation to  $z \mapsto z^d$  (compare the proof of Proposition 6). Since we have replaced  $f$  by some iterate  $f^k$ , actually we know that  $f^k$  is conjugate to  $z \mapsto z^{d^k}$ . This implies that  $f$  itself is conjugate to  $z \mapsto z^d$  or  $z \mapsto z^{-d}$ . Notice that the corresponding orbifold is  $\mathcal{O} = (\infty, \infty)$  and  $\chi(\mathcal{O}) = 0$ , thus  $\mathcal{O}$  is parabolic.

The second possibility is that there are critical points in  $J$ . Then there is only one critical superattractive point of maximal degree in  $\partial\Gamma$  and (sending this point to  $\infty$  by a rotation) we can assume that  $f$  is a polynomial.

Moreover, the map  $f: \mathcal{O}_f \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_f$  is a covering map of orbifolds. It follows (as in the corollary after Proposition 4) that  $\mathcal{O}_f$  is parabolic. The only parabolic orbifold corresponding to the polynomial with critical points in the Julia set is  $(2, 2, \infty)$ . It corresponds to the Tchebysheff polynomial (up to sign). (Compare [DH], § 9).

We summarize the results of this section in

**Proposition 8.** *If  $\alpha \log|f'|$  is homologous to  $\log d$  and  $\text{int } \Gamma \cap J \neq \emptyset$ , then  $f$  is conjugate by a Möbius transformation to one of the following maps:*

$$\begin{aligned} z &\mapsto z^d && \text{or} \\ z &\mapsto z^{-d} && \text{or} \end{aligned}$$

$\pm$  Tchebysheff polynomial.

In this way, the proof of Theorem 1 has been completed.

## § 9. Hausdorff dimension of the Julia set

In this section we shall prove

**Theorem 2.** *Hausdorff dimensions of the Julia set  $J$  and of the measure  $m$  are equal iff  $f$  is critically finite with parabolic orbifold (i.e.  $\alpha \log|f'| - \log d$  is homologous to zero).*

*Proof.* We shall work in the natural extension  $(\tilde{J}, \tilde{m}, \tilde{f})$ .

Let  $B$  be a ball in  $\mathbb{C}$ . Recall that in § 5 we introduced a notion of good branches of  $f^{-n}$  defined on  $B$ ; a branch  $f_v^{-n}$  is good if

$$f_v^{-n} \text{ is well-defined in } 2 \cdot B$$

and

$$\text{diam } f_v^{-n}(B) < K \exp(-n\delta).$$

In the Basic Lemma (§ 5) we proved the following: there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that for every  $\tilde{\varepsilon} > 0$  there is  $M \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  so that if there are no critical values up to order  $M$  in  $B$  then one can find a subset  $\tilde{K}_B \subset \tilde{B} = \pi^{-1}(B)$  of  $\tilde{m}$ -measure bigger than  $(1 - \tilde{\varepsilon})m(B)$  and consisting of “good” trajectories. (The trajectories  $(\dots x_{-k}, x_{-k+1}, \dots, x_0, x_1, \dots)$  is good if  $x_{-k}$  is an image of  $x_0$  under some “good” branch of  $f^{-k}$  defined on  $B$ .)

Let  $p_1, \dots, p_s$  be critical values up to order  $M$ . Take  $r > 0$  small and  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

Let  $B_1, \dots, B_s$  be balls centered at  $p_i$ 's with radius  $r$ . Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a cover of the remaining set  $\bar{\mathbb{C}} \cup B_i$  with balls of radius  $\frac{r}{4}$ . If  $r$  is small enough then

$$\tilde{m}(\bigcup_{B \in \mathcal{B}} \tilde{K}_B) > 1 - \varepsilon.$$

Fix a ball  $B \subset \bar{\mathbb{C}}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{F}_n$  be the set of branches  $f_v^{-n}$  defined in  $B$  such that  $f_v^{-n}$  is well-defined in  $2 \cdot B$ ,  $\text{diam } f_v^{-n}(B) \leq \exp\left(-n \frac{\delta}{2}\right)$  and  $f_v^{-n}(B) \subset \frac{1}{2}B$ .

For  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  we define

$$S'_n(B) = \sum_{v \in \mathcal{F}_n} \sup_{x \in B} |(f_v^{-n})'(x)|^t.$$

Assume that  $\alpha \log|f'| - \log d$  is not homologous to zero. Then the asymptotic variance  $\sigma^2$  (see Prop. 3, § 4) is non-zero.

**Proposition 9.** *If  $\sigma^2 \neq 0$ , then there exists a ball  $B$  such that the sequence  $S'_n(B)$  is unbounded.*

*Proof.* We know that the sequence  $\phi, \phi \circ f, \dots, \phi \circ f^n, \dots$  satisfies the Central Limit Theorem, since  $\sigma^2 \neq 0$  (compare Prop. 3, § 4), (recall that  $\phi = \alpha \log|f'| - \log d$ ). It follows that

$$\tilde{m}(\{\tilde{x} \in \tilde{J} : S_n \phi(\tilde{x}) < -A\sigma\sqrt{n}\}) \rightarrow \Psi(-A)$$

where  $\Psi$  is the distributant of the normal distribution.

Obviously (if  $\varepsilon$  is small) there exists a ball  $B$  of our cover  $\mathcal{B}$  such that the inequality

$$\tilde{m}(\{\tilde{x} \in \tilde{J} : S_n \phi(\tilde{x}) < -A\sigma\sqrt{n} \text{ and } \tilde{f}^n(\tilde{x}) \in \tilde{K}_B\}) > \beta > 0$$

holds for some  $\beta$  and infinitely many  $n$ .

By the topological exactness of  $f$  we know that for some  $l \in \mathbb{Z}_+$   $f^l(\frac{1}{4}B) \supset J$ .

Let  $q_1 \dots q_m$  be critical values up to order  $l$ .

Let  $D_i (i = 1, \dots, m)$  be a ball of radius  $\rho$  around  $q_i$ .

Choose  $\rho > 0$  small enough to have

$$(*) \quad \tilde{m}(\{\tilde{x} \in \tilde{J} : \pi(\tilde{x}) \notin \bigcup_{i=1}^m 2 \cdot D_i, S_{n-l} \phi(\tilde{x}) < -A\sigma\sqrt{n-l}, \tilde{f}^{n-l}(\tilde{x}) \in \tilde{K}_B\}) > \beta' \text{ for some}$$

$\beta' > 0$  and infinitely many  $n$ .

Denote by  $\mathcal{D}_n$  the set of points satisfying the condition above. For every  $\tilde{x} \in \mathcal{D}_n$  we choose a preimage of  $x_0 = \pi(\tilde{x})$  under  $f^l$  lying in  $\frac{1}{4}B$  (this can be done since  $f^l(\frac{1}{4}B) \supset J$ ). This preimage will be denoted by  $x^l$ .

The point  $x^l$  corresponds to some branch  $f_v^{-n}$  defined on  $2 \cdot B$ ; this is a composition of a branch  $f_\tau^{-(n-l)}$  sending  $x_{n-l} = \pi(f^{n-l}(\tilde{x}))$  to  $x_0 = \pi(\tilde{x})$  and a branch  $f_\eta^{-l}$  sending  $x_0$  to  $x^l$ . This branch is well-defined on the image  $f_\tau^{-(n-l)}(B)$

for  $n$  large, because  $f_\tau^{-(n-l)}$  is a good branch, i.e.  $\text{diam}(f_\tau^{-(n-l)}(B)) < K \exp(-(n-l)\delta)$ . Since  $x_0$  lies outside  $2 \cdot D_i$ , the whole image  $f_\tau^{-(n-l)}(B)$  does not intersect  $D_i$ . Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{diam}(f_v^{-n}(B)) &\leq \sup_{z \notin \cup D_i} |(f_\eta^{-l})'(z)| \cdot \text{diam}(f_\tau^{-(n-l)}(B)) \\ &\leq \sup_{z \notin \cup D_i} |(f_\eta^{-l})'(z)| \cdot K \exp(-\delta(n-l)) \leq \exp\left(-\frac{\delta}{2}n\right) \end{aligned}$$

if  $n$  is large. Also,  $f_v^{-n}(B) \subset \frac{1}{2}B$  for large  $n$ , since  $x^l \in \frac{1}{4}B$  and  $\text{diam}(f_v^{-n}(B)) \leq \exp\left(-\frac{\delta}{2}n\right)$ .

Thus,  $f_v^{-n}$  is in  $\mathcal{F}_n$ . Denote the set of branches obtained in this way by  $\mathcal{G}_n$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{y \in B} |(f_v^{-n})'(y)|^\alpha &\geq |(f_v^{-n})'(f^n(x^l))|^\alpha = \exp(-\alpha \log |(f^n)'(x^l)|) \\ &\quad + n \log d - n \log d = \frac{1}{d^n} \exp(-S_n \phi(x^l)) \geq \frac{1}{d^n} \exp(A' \sigma \sqrt{n}) \\ &= m(f_v^{-n}(B)) \cdot \exp(A' \sigma \sqrt{n}) \end{aligned}$$

(the constant  $A' < A$  was introduced here to neglect the derivative of  $f^l$ ).

Moreover,

$$m\left(\bigcup_{v \in \mathcal{G}_n} f_v^{-n}(B)\right) = \frac{1}{d^n} m\left(\bigcup_{v \in \mathcal{G}_n} f_v^{-(n-l)}(B)\right) \geq \frac{1}{d^n} m(\pi(\mathcal{D}_n)) = \frac{1}{d^n} \cdot \beta'.$$

Thus,

$$\sum_{v \in \mathcal{F}_n} \sup_{x \in B} |(f_v^{-n})'(x)|^\alpha \geq \sum_{v \in \mathcal{G}_n} \sup_{x \in B} |(f_v^{-n})'(x)|^\alpha \geq \exp(A' \sigma \sqrt{n}) \frac{1}{d^n} \beta'.$$

and the sequence  $S_n^\alpha(B)$  is unbounded.  $\square$

*Remark.* In fact,  $S_n^\alpha(B)$  grows exponentially with  $n$ .  $\triangle$

We fix this ball  $B$ . (Actually, the statement of Proposition 9 is true for every small ball  $B$ ). Keeping the assumption  $\sigma^2 \neq 0$  we have

**Proposition 10.** *There exists a subset  $X \subset J$  invariant under  $f^n$  (for some  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ ) such that  $f^n|_X$  is expanding and  $HD(X) > \alpha$ .*

*Proof.* Fix  $n$  large (to be precised later on).

Define a set

$$X_1 = \bigcup_{v \in \mathcal{F}_n} f_v^{-n}(B).$$

Now, we define  $X$ :

$$X = \{x \in B : \forall k \geq 1, f^{nk}(x) \in X_1\},$$

i.e.  $X$  is an intersection of a descending sequence of sets  $X_k$ ; every  $X_k$  is a sum of topological discs and

$$X_{k+1} = \bigcup_{v \in \mathcal{F}_n} f_v^{-n}(X_k).$$

The set  $X$  is invariant under forward iterations of  $f^n$  and  $f^n|X$  is expanding (by the definition of  $\mathcal{F}_n$ ).

We estimate the usual topological pressure  $P_X(-\alpha \log |(f^n)'|)$  for the map  $f^n|X$  and the function  $-\alpha \log |(f^n)'|$  (which is Lipschitz continuous on  $X$ ).

In the following computation  $D$  is a component of  $X_k$ ;  $C$  is a component of  $X_1$ .

$$\begin{aligned} P_X(-\alpha \log |(f^n)'|) &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{k} \log \left( \sum_D \inf_{x \in D} \frac{1}{|(f^{nk})'(x)|^\alpha} \right) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{k} \log \left( \sum_C \inf_{x \in C} \frac{1}{|(f^n)'(x)|^\alpha} \right)^k \\ &= \log \left( \sum_{v \in \mathcal{F}_n} \inf_{y \in B} |(f_v^{-n})'(y)|^\alpha \right) \geq -\log L \\ &\quad + \log \left( \sum_{v \in \mathcal{F}_n} \sup_{y \in B} |(f_v^{-n})'(y)|^\alpha \right) \geq -\log L + \log S_n^\alpha(B) \end{aligned}$$

where  $L$  is an estimate of a distortion of  $f^{-n}$  in  $B$  (common for all branches, by the Distortion Theorem).

We fix  $n$  so that

$$\log S_n^\alpha(B) - \log L > 0$$

(this is possible since, by the previous Proposition, the sequence  $S_n$  is unbounded).

By the variational principle we know that

$$P_X(-\alpha \log |(f^n)'|) = \sup_{\kappa} (h_{\kappa} - \alpha \int \log |(f^n)'| d\kappa)$$

where supremum is taken over all measures  $\kappa$   $f^n$ -invariant and ergodic. Thus, there exists a measure  $\kappa$   $f^n$ -invariant ergodic with  $\text{supp } \kappa \subset X$  such that

$$h_{\kappa}(f^n) - \alpha \int \log |(f^n)'| d\kappa > 0.$$

$$\text{Hence, } HD(X) \geq HD(\kappa) = \alpha \frac{h_{\kappa}}{\log |(f^n)'| d\kappa}.$$

This completes the proof of Proposition 10.  $\square$

To finish the proof of Theorem 2, it remains to check that for maps with parabolic orbifold we have  $HD(J) = HD(m)$ .

For the map  $z \mapsto z^{\pm d}$   $m$  is just the Lebesgue measure on the circle. For Tchebysheff polynomials  $m$  is equivalent to the Lebesgue measure on the interval. Thus, we have  $\alpha = 1 = HD(J) = HD(m)$ .

If  $f$  has a parabolic orbifold and  $J(f) = \mathbb{C}$ , then  $\alpha = HD(m) = 2 = HD(J)$ . It is so, because every parabolic orbifold can be obtained as a quotient space

of action of a subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C})$  on  $\mathbb{C}$ . The lifted map  $\tilde{f}: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is of the form  $z \rightarrow az + b$ , where  $|a|^2 = \deg f$  (see [DH], § 9). The maximal entropy measure for  $f$  can be obtained as an image of the Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbb{C}$  and is equivalent to the usual Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbb{C}$ .

*Acknowledgement.* I am very indebted to Feliks Przytycki who influenced very much this work and to whom I owe many ideas.

## References

- [DH] Douady, A., Hubbard, J.H.: A proof of Thurston's characterization of rational functions (Preprint Institut Mittag-Leffler)
- [FLM] Freire, A., Lopes, A., Mañé: An invariant measure for rational maps. *Bol. Soc. Bras. Mat.* **14** (1983)
- [Go] Goluzin, G.M.: Geometric theory of functions of a complex variable. Translations of Mat. Monographs **26**, Am. Math. Soc. 1969
- [J] Jakobson, M.Yu.: Markov partition for rational endomorphisms of the Riemann sphere (in Russian), *Mnogokomponentnyje Slučajnyje Sistiemy*, Izdat. Nauka, Moscow 1978
- [M] Mañé, R.: On the uniqueness of the maximizing entropy measure for rational maps. *Bol. Soc. Bras. Mat.* **14** (1983)
- [Li] Livšic, A.N.: Cohomology of dynamical systems, *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Mat.* **36**, 6 (1972)
- [Lju1] Ljubich, M.Iu.: Private communication
- [Lju2] Ljubich, M.Iu.: Entropy properties of rational endomorphisms of the Riemann sphere. *Ergodic Theory Dyn. Syst.* **3** (1983)
- [Ma-Mc] Manning, A., McCluskey, H.: Hausdorff dimension for horseshoes. *Ergodic Theory Dyn. Syst.* **3** (1983), Errata **5** (1985)
- [P1] Przytycki, F.: unpublished
- [P2] Przytycki, F.: Riemann map and holomorphic dynamics. *Invent. Math.* **85**, 439–455 (1986)
- [P3] Przytycki, F.: On holomorphic perturbations of  $z \rightarrow z^n$ . *Bull. Pol. Acad. Sci. Ser. Math.* **34**, 127–132 (1986)
- [Ph-St] Philipp, W., Stout, W.: Almost sure invariance principles for partial sums of weakly dependent random variables, *Memoirs of AMS* **161** (1975)
- [PUZ] Przytycki, F., Urbański, M., Zdunik, A.: Harmonic, Gibbs and Hausdorff measures on repellers for holomorphic maps. Preprint Univ. Warwick 1986; Part 1 in *Ann. of Math.* **130**, 1–40 (1989)
- [R] Ruelle, D.: An inequality for the entropy of differentiable maps. *Bol. Soc. Bras. Mat.* **9** (1978)
- [Su] Sullivan, D.: Seminar on conformal and hyperbolic geometry by D.P. Sullivan (Notes by M. Baker and J. Seade); Preprint IHES (1982)
- [Y] Young, L.S.: Dimension, entropy and Lyapunov exponent. *Ergodic Theory Dyn. Syst.* **2** (1982)
- [DH2] Douady, A., Hubbard, J.H.: Etude dynamique des polynomes complexes. I, Publications Mathématiques d'Orsay 84-02



