

## Werk

**Titel:** 0. Notation and preliminaries.

**Jahr:** 1984

**PURL:** [https://resolver.sub.uni-goettingen.de/purl?356556735\\_0076|log22](https://resolver.sub.uni-goettingen.de/purl?356556735_0076|log22)

## Kontakt/Contact

Digizeitschriften e.V.  
SUB Göttingen  
Platz der Göttinger Sieben 1  
37073 Göttingen

✉ [info@digizeitschriften.de](mailto:info@digizeitschriften.de)

$$f = \text{constant}) \cdot \prod_{a \in (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^*} g_{0,a}^{\chi(a)},$$

where  $\chi(a) = (a/p)$  is the Legendre symbol. Here I am using the obvious modification of Kubert-Lang's notation for Siegel functions, i.e.,  $(a, b)$  in  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$  rather than in  $1/p\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z} \times 1/p\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z}$  [39].

To compute  $f^w$  we use a result of Kubert and Lang (§4 of [39]) which applied to our case gives:

$$f^w = (\text{constant}) \cdot \prod_{\substack{a \in (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^* \\ b \in \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}}} g_{a^{-1},b}^{\chi(a)}.$$

Now let  $\equiv$  denote “mod squares”:

$$f \cdot f^w = \prod_{a \in (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^*} g_{0,a} \cdot \prod_{\substack{a \in (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^* \\ b \in (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})}} g_{a^{-1},b} = \prod_{\substack{(a,b) \in \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \\ (a,b) \neq (0,0)}} g_{a,b}$$

and the latter product of Siegel functions is one.

3. Page 100, line 7 bot. . The formula for the action of  $T_l$  on  $X_1(N)$  quoted here is incorrect. The correct formula is, of course, well known (cf., e.g., [67], §2), and the argument on the next page in which the formula is used is unaffected by the correction.

(B.M.)

## II. Erata for [67]

Hida has pointed out that the formulas for  $U'_p$  in Theorem 5.3 are not correct as they stand. They should state:

$$\text{On } \text{Pic}^0(\mathcal{C}_\infty^{(N)}), U'_p = \langle n_p^{-1} \rangle (\text{Frob}_p + \sum_{\zeta \neq 1} W_\zeta).$$

$$\text{On } \text{Pic}^0(\mathcal{C}_0^{(N)}), U'_p = \text{Ver}_p.$$

The proof is correct except for the formula giving the conjugation of  $\text{Frob}_p$  and  $\text{Ver}_p$  by  $W$ . They should read:

$$W^{-1}(\text{Frob}_p)W = \text{Frob}_p \cdot \langle n_p^{-1} \rangle, W^{-1}(\text{Ver}_p)W = \text{Ver}_p \cdot \langle n_p \rangle.$$

(A.W.)

## Chapter 0. Notation and preliminaries

If  $K$  is a field,  $\bar{K}$  denotes an algebraic closure. If  $K$  is a local or global field,  $\mathcal{O}(K)$  is its ring of integers.

If  $Y$  is a scheme over a base  $S$  and  $T \rightarrow S$  any base change,  $Y_T$  denotes the pullback of  $Y$  to  $T$ . If  $T = \text{Spec } A$ , we may also denote this scheme by  $Y_A$ . By  $Y(T)$  we mean the  $T$ -rational points of the  $S$ -scheme  $Y$ , and again, if  $T = \text{Spec } A$ , we may also denote this set by  $Y(A)$ .

If  $A_T$  is a group scheme and  $N$  an integer,  $A[N]_T$  is the kernel of multiplication by  $N$  in  $A$ , viewed as group scheme over  $T$ .

A finite flat group scheme  $G$  over  $S$  is said to be of *multiplicative-type* if its Cartier dual is étale; it is called *ordinary* for every geometric point  $s$  of  $S$ , the fibre  $G_s$  is a product of a multiplicative-type group scheme and an étale group scheme.

**Proposition.** *Let  $K$  be a finite extension of  $\mathbf{Q}_p$  of ramification index not divisible by  $p - 1$ . Let  $\varphi: G_1 \rightarrow G_2$  be a morphism of ordinary finite flat group schemes over  $\mathcal{O}(K)$  such that the induced map*

$$\varphi(\bar{K}): G_1(\bar{K}) \rightarrow G_2(\bar{K})$$

*is injective. Then if  $k$  is the residue field of  $\mathcal{O}(K)$ , the induced mapping*

$$\varphi(\bar{k}): G_1(\bar{k}) \rightarrow G_2(\bar{k})$$

*is also injective.*

*Remark:* Although this is all we shall use, much more is true: Under the same hypotheses on  $K$ , and  $G_1, G_2$ , any injection  $\varphi|_K: G_{1/K} \hookrightarrow G_{2/K}$  extends to a closed immersion of  $G_1$  to  $G_2$ .

If we *drop* the hypotheses that  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  are ordinary, but require that the ramification index of  $K$  be *less than*  $p - 1$ , these results remain true by the work of Oort-Tate ([52]; for groups of order  $p$ ); of Raynaud ([55]; for groups of type  $(p, p, \dots, p)$ ); and of Fontaine ([20]; for arbitrary groups).

Before we give the proof of this proposition, recall the following consequences of the theory of Oort-Tate [52]:

Any finite flat group scheme  $G$  of order  $p$  over  $\mathcal{O}(k)$  is isomorphic to a group scheme of the form  $G_{a,b}$  for  $a, b$  elements of  $\mathcal{O}(K)$  such that  $a \cdot b = w_p \cdot 1$  where  $w_p$  is equal to  $p$  times a unit. [52], § 2; especially Theorem 2). The pair  $(a, b)$  is uniquely determined by the isomorphism class of  $G$  up to multiplication by  $(p - 1)$ -st powers of units in  $\mathcal{O}(K)$ , i.e.  $G_{a,b} \cong G_{a',b'}$  if and only if  $a' = u^{p-1} \cdot a$ ,  $b' = u^{p-1} \cdot b$  for some  $u \in \mathcal{O}(K)^*$ .

The group schemes  $G_{a,b}$  and  $G_{a',b'}$  are isomorphic over  $K$  (i.e. they have isomorphic Galois representations) if and only if

$$a' = r^{p-1} \cdot a; \quad b' = r^{p-1} \cdot b$$

for some  $r \in K^*$ .

The group scheme  $G_{a,b}$  is of multiplicative type if and only if  $b$  is a unit in  $\mathcal{O}(K)$ ; it is étale if and only if  $a$  is a unit.

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $G, G'$  be finite flat group scheme of order  $p$  over  $\mathcal{O}(K)$ , where  $G$  is étale and  $G'$  is of multiplicative type. Suppose that the ramification index of  $K$  over  $\mathbf{Q}_p$  is not divisible by  $p - 1$ . Then there are no nontrivial homomorphisms over  $K$  from  $G$  to  $G'$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $G = G_{a,b}$ ;  $G' = G_{a',b'}$  where  $a$  and  $b'$  are units in  $\mathcal{O}(K)$ . Let  $v$  be the valuation of  $K$ , normalized so that if  $\pi$  is a uniformizer then  $v(\pi) = 1$ .

By our hypothesis,  $v(p) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p-1}$ . But if there were a nontrivial homomorphism (hence isomorphism) from  $G_K$  to  $G'_K$  then  $b = r^{p-1} \cdot b'$  for some  $r \in K^*$  and  $v(p) = v(b) = (p-1) \cdot v(r) + v(b') = (p-1) \cdot v(r)$  yields a contradiction.

We now return to the proposition.

Replacing  $K$  by a finite unramified extension, we may suppose that the étale quotients  $G_1^{\text{ét}}, G_2^{\text{ét}}$  are constant group schemes, and the connected components  $G_1^0, G_2^0$  are the Cartier duals of constant group schemes. By our hypotheses on  $K$ , there are no nontrivial mappings between constant group schemes over  $K$  and Cartier duals of constant group schemes (in either direction). It follows that the inverse image of  $G_{2/K}^0$  under  $\varphi_{/K}$  is  $G_{1/K}^0$  and consequently induces an injection of étale quotients

$$\varphi^{\text{ét}}: G_1^{\text{ét}} \hookrightarrow G_2^{\text{ét}},$$

proving the proposition.

In this paper we shall often be given  $p$ -divisible group schemes  $\Gamma_{\mathbf{Q}_p}$  such that for some finite field extension  $K$  of  $\mathbf{Q}_p$ , the “base change”  $\Gamma_{/K}$  is isomorphic to the generic fibre of a  $p$ -division group scheme over  $\mathcal{O}(K)$ . By a theorem of Tate, this group scheme over  $\mathcal{O}(K)$  is uniquely determined (up to a canonical isomorphism) by  $\Gamma_{/K}$ . We shall call it  $\Gamma_{\mathcal{O}(K)}$  and refer to it as the *prolongation* of  $\Gamma_{/K}$  over the base  $\mathcal{O}(K)$ . By the uniqueness theorem, one has that prolongations “commute with base change”.

Given a *finite flat* group scheme  $G$  over  $K$  it is not necessarily the case that it admits at most one *prolongation* to a finite flat group scheme over  $\mathcal{O}(K)$ .

**Corollary to the Proposition.** *Let  $K$  be as in the proposition and let  $i_{/K}: \Gamma_{/K} \rightarrow \Gamma'_{/K}$  be an injection of  $p$ -divisible group schemes over  $K$ . Suppose that  $\Gamma_{/K}$  and  $\Gamma'_{/K}$  have ordinary prolongations over  $\mathcal{O}(K)$ . Then the unique homomorphism*

$$i: \Gamma_{\mathcal{O}(K)} \rightarrow \Gamma'_{\mathcal{O}(K)}$$

*which extends  $i_{/K}$  ([64] Theorem 4) induces an injection on  $\bar{k}$ -valued points:*

$$i(\bar{k}): \Gamma(\bar{k}) \hookrightarrow \Gamma'(\bar{k}).$$

*Remark.* The morphism  $i$  is, in fact, a closed immersion.

For use in Chap. 4 we include the following result.

**Lemma.** *Let  $K$  be a finite extension field of  $\mathbf{Q}_p$  and  $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}(K)$ .*

*Let  $\mathcal{N}_{/\mathcal{O}}$  be the Néron model of an abelian scheme  $A_{/K}$ . Suppose  $\mathcal{N}_{/\mathcal{O}}$  has semi-stable reduction.*

*Let  $G_{/K} \subseteq A_{/K}$  be a finite subgroup scheme, and  $G_{/\mathcal{O}}$  the Zariski-closure of  $G_{/K}$  in  $\mathcal{N}_{/\mathcal{O}}$ . Suppose that the splitting field of the action of  $\text{Gal}(\bar{K}/K)$  on  $G(\bar{K})$  is an unramified extension of  $K$ .*

*Then  $G_{/\mathcal{O}}$  is a finite flate (étale) group scheme.*

*Proof.* The Néron model commutes with unramified base change; schematic closure commutes with flat base change. Therefore we may suppose the Galois action on  $G_{/K}$  trivial. Let  $\mathcal{G}_{/\mathcal{O}}$  denote the constant group scheme  $G(K)$  over  $\mathcal{O}$ . Since  $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{O}) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}(K)$  is a bijection, we have a morphism  $u: \mathcal{G}_{/\mathcal{O}} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_{/\mathcal{O}}$  such that  $u_{/K}$  is an isomorphism of  $\mathcal{G}_{/K}$  onto  $G_{/K}$ . Therefore  $u$  factors through the schematic closure  $G_{/\mathcal{O}}$ . Since  $\mathcal{G}_{/\mathcal{O}}$  is finite, so is  $G_{/\mathcal{O}}$ .