Werk

Titel: Correction and Addition to Szegö Kernels Associated with Discrete Series.

Autor: Knapp, A.W.; Wallach, N.R.

PURL: https://resolver.sub.uni-goettingen.de/purl?356556735_0062|log21

Correction and Addition to Szegö Kernels Associated with Discrete Series

Invent. math. 34, 163-200 (1976)

A.W. Knapp[1]★ and N.R. Wallach[2]★

[1] Department of Mathematics, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY 14853, USA
[2] Department of Mathematics, Rutgers University, New Brunswick, NJ 08903, USA

David Vogan has pointed out that Lemma 5.3 is incorrect, even for matrix groups, and therefore some changes are needed in the statements of the main theorems. The changes in question are not decisive, but we feel that the accurately stated versions of the theorems should be in the literature. Actually, when changes are needed, the new results yield more Szegö mappings than were originally predicted and in that sense represent an improvement of the original results. Vogan also suggested the statement below of Theorem A as an approach to making the necessary changes.

To correct matters, delete Lemma 5.3 and introduce $M_1 = M_0(F \cap T)$, where F is the finite group defined in the proof of Lemma 5.3. Redefine σ_λ on p. 176 to be the restriction of $\tau_\lambda(M_1)$ to the M_1-cyclic subspace H_λ generated by ϕ_λ. As in Proposition 5.5, we can conclude that σ_λ is irreducible and has the stated highest weight and highest weight vector. The character ξ_λ gives the values of σ_λ on elements of $F \cap T$, instead of F. For the most part, we can then replace subsequent occurrences of M by M_1 and of induction from MAN by induction from M_1AN, and the results through the end of §10 go through, with their new interpretations. (At the beginning of §8, delete the fourth paragraph and then define $\Lambda(\sigma, v)$ directly in the obvious fashion.) No changes are needed in §§11–12.

Qualitatively the result is that the Szegö mapping $f \to Sf$ now operates on a different domain of functions but otherwise has the same properties as in Theorem 1.1. The new domain is smooth functions from K into the redefined H_λ that transform under the smaller group M_1 according to the redefined σ_λ. In representation-theoretic terms, the Szegö map S gives an intertwining operator between a representation $W(\sigma_\lambda, 2\rho^+ - v)$ induced from M_1AN to G (rather than MAN to G) and the discrete series π_Λ.

We can use this result to get an explicit quotient map to π_Λ from a representation induced from MAN to G. To this end, let

---

$(\tilde{\sigma}_\lambda, \tilde{H}_\lambda) =$ representation of M on the M-cyclic subspace of ϕ_λ in V_λ,

$$\tilde{\sigma}_\lambda = \sum_{j=1}^{r} \sigma_j \text{ be a decomposition into irreducibles under } M,$$

$$\tilde{C}^\infty(K, \sigma_j) = \left\{ f \in C^\infty(K, \text{ space for } \sigma_j) \,\middle|\, \begin{array}{l} f(mk) = \sigma_j(m) f(k) \\ \text{for } m \in M, k \in K \end{array} \right\},$$

$U(\sigma_j, \nu) =$ induced representation of G (in nonunitary principal series) nonunitarily induced from $\sigma_j \otimes \nu \otimes 1$ on MAN (cf. formulas (6.6) and (6.7)),

$M_2 \quad = \{m \in M \mid \tau_\lambda(m) H_\lambda \subseteq H_\lambda\}.$

Theorem A. *With $\lambda = \Lambda + \delta_n - \delta_k$ integral and with Λ nonsingular and G-dominant, the operator*

$$S_j(f)(x) = \int_K \tau_\lambda(k)^{-1} f(kx)\, dk = \int_K e^{\nu H(lx^{-1})} \tau_\lambda(\kappa(lx^{-1}))^{-1} f(l)\, dl$$

carries $\tilde{C}^\infty(K, \sigma_j)$ into the kernel of the operator $\mathscr{D}$ on $C^\infty(G, \tau_\lambda)$, and under the identification of $\tilde{C}^\infty(K, \sigma_j)$ with the space of the nonunitary principal series $U(\sigma_j, 2\rho^+ - \nu)$, it carries the K-finite vectors of $U(\sigma_j, 2\rho^+ - \nu)$ in a $\mathfrak{g}$-equivariant fashion onto the K-finite vectors of the discrete series π_Λ.

Proof. Clearly S_j is $\mathfrak{g}$-equivariant. Define a function f_j in $\tilde{C}^\infty(K, \sigma_j)$ by $f_j(k) = P_{\sigma_j} \tau_\lambda(k) \phi_\lambda$, where P_{σ_j} is the orthogonal projection on the space for σ_j. Then

$$S_j f_j(1) = \int_K \tau_\lambda(k)^{-1} P_{\sigma_j} \tau_\lambda(k) \phi_\lambda\, dk = \frac{\operatorname{Trace} P_{\sigma_j}}{\operatorname{degree} \tau_\lambda} \phi_\lambda = \frac{\operatorname{degree} \sigma_j}{\operatorname{degree} \tau_\lambda} \phi_\lambda.$$

Thus S_j is not the 0 map. In view of Proposition 10.7, Theorem 10.8, and the remark after Theorem 10.8, Theorem A will follow if we show that *image* $S_j \subseteq$ *image* S.

Let $\{q_i, 1 \le i \le n\}$ be representatives of M/M_2 chosen from F. Formula (3) below, valid in the linear case, implies here that F normalizes T. Thus each q_i gives rise to a member s_i of the Weyl group W_K, and we have $\tau_\lambda(q_i) \phi_\lambda = c_i \phi_{s_i \lambda}$. These $s_i \lambda$ are distinct, $1 \le i \le n$; in fact, $s_i \lambda = s_j \lambda$ leads to $\tau_\lambda(q_i^{-1} q_j) \phi_\lambda = c \phi_\lambda$, hence $q_i^{-1} q_j \in M_2$, and hence $q_i = q_j$. Consequently the vectors $\tau_\lambda(q_i) \phi_\lambda$, $1 \le i \le n$, are linearly independent. Each such is a highest weight vector for M_0, since $\operatorname{Ad}(F)$ acts on $\mathfrak{m}$ as the identity, and thus

$$\dim \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \tau_\lambda(q_i) H_\lambda \right) \ge n \dim H_\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \dim \tau_\lambda(q_i) H_\lambda.$$

Consequently the spaces $\tau_\lambda(q_i) H_\lambda$ are independent and

$$h_i \in H_\lambda \quad \text{for } 1 \le i \le n \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{i=1}^{n} \tau_\lambda(q_i) h_i = 0 \tag{1}$$

imply $h_i = 0$ for all i.

From (1) it follows that the operator T on $\tilde{H}_\lambda$ given by

$$T = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \tau_\lambda(q_i) P \tau_\lambda(q_i)^{-1},$$

where P is the orthogonal projection of $\tilde{H}_\lambda$ on H_λ, is invertible. In fact, if $Tv = 0$, take $h_i = P\tau_\lambda(q_i)^{-1}v$ in (1) to see that $P\tau_\lambda(q_i)^{-1}v = 0$ for all i. In terms of the inner product in $\tilde{H}_\lambda$, we then have

$$\langle \tau_\lambda(q_i)^{-1}v, u \rangle = 0 \quad \text{for all } u \text{ in } H_\lambda \text{ and all } i,$$

$$\langle \tau_\lambda(q_i)^{-1}v, \tau_\lambda(m_2)\phi_\lambda \rangle = 0 \quad \text{for all } m_2 \text{ in } M_2 \text{ and all } i,$$

$$\langle v, \tau_\lambda(q_i m_2)\phi_\lambda \rangle = 0 \quad \text{for all } m_2 \text{ in } M_2 \text{ and all } i,$$

$$\langle v, \tau_\lambda(M)\phi_\lambda \rangle = 0,$$

and so $v = 0$ since ϕ_λ is M-cyclic in $\tilde{H}_\lambda$.

Thus T is invertible on $\tilde{H}_\lambda$. But also T commutes with all $\tilde{\sigma}_\lambda(m)$ for m in M since

$$\int_M \tau_\lambda(m) P\tau_\lambda(m)^{-1} dm = \sum_{i=1}^n \tau_\lambda(q_i) P\tau_\lambda(q_i)^{-1} = T.$$

Let f in $\tilde{C}^\infty(K, \tilde{\sigma}_\lambda)$ be given, and define $F = T^{-1} \circ f$. Then it follows that F is in $\tilde{C}^\infty(K, \tilde{\sigma}_\lambda)$ and

$$\int_M \tau_\lambda(m)^{-1} PF(mk) dm = (\int_M \tau_\lambda(m)^{-1} P\tau_\lambda(m) dm) F(k)$$

$$= T(F(k)) = f(k).$$

Now $P \circ F$ is in $C^\infty(K, \sigma_\lambda)$ since $P\tilde{\sigma}_\lambda(m_2) = \sigma_\lambda(m_2) P$ for m_2 on M_2. Consequently

$$S_j(f)(k) = \int_{K \times M} S(x, k)\tau_\lambda(m)^{-1} P \circ F(mk) dm dk$$

$$= \int_{K \times M} S(x, mk) P \circ F(mk) dm dk$$

$$= \int_K S(x, k) P \circ F(k) dk \quad \text{after } mk \to k$$

$$= S(P \circ F)(k)$$

and *image* $S_j \subseteq$ *image* S. This proves Theorem A.

In short, each irreducible constituent of $\tilde{\sigma}_\lambda$ leads to a Szegö mapping whose image is the same discrete series. In the linear case we can say more. The group F is central in M and is spanned by the commuting elements γ_β of order at most 2 given by

$$\gamma_\beta = \exp 2\pi i |\beta|^{-2} h_\beta,$$

where β runs through the restricted roots and h_β is the member of $\mathfrak{a}$ dual to β. (See [26], p. 93.) Thus F is a sum of copies of $\mathbb{Z}_2$, and M is the direct sum of M_2 and a group $\sum \mathbb{Z}_2$. It follows that $\tilde{\sigma}_\lambda$ is multiplicity-free and that the number of distinct constituents σ_j is $|M/M_2|$. The various σ_j's are related as follows: They have a common formula on M_2, and all of them are obtained from one of them on $\sum \mathbb{Z}_2$ by multiplying by an arbitrary character of $\sum \mathbb{Z}_2$. Theorem B below identifies the formula on M_2. Let $u = \Pi u_{\alpha_j}$ be the Cayley transform in Eq. (5.7), and let $\bar{\lambda} = \lambda \circ \text{Ad}(u)$ and $\bar{\alpha}_j = \alpha_j \circ \text{Ad}(u)$.

Theorem B. *Suppose G is a matrix group and λ is integral and K-dominant. If the product $\gamma = \gamma_{\beta_1} \dots \gamma_{\beta_q}$ is in $F \cap M_2$, then $\tilde{\sigma}_\lambda(\gamma)$ acts on $\tilde{H}_\lambda$ as the scalar -1 raised to the power*

$$\sum_k 2\langle \bar{\lambda}, \beta_k \rangle / |\beta_k|^2.$$

Proof. Since γ is in M_2, $\tilde{\sigma}_\lambda(\gamma)$ leaves H_λ stable. Since γ is central in M, $\tilde{\sigma}_\sigma(\gamma)|_{H_\lambda}$ commutes with $\sigma_\lambda(m_1)$ for m_1 in M_1. The irreducibility of σ_λ implies that $\sigma_\lambda(\gamma)$ is scalar on H_λ, hence on ϕ_λ. Since ϕ_λ is M-cyclic for $\tilde{\sigma}_\lambda$ on $\tilde{H}_\lambda$ and since γ is central in M, $\tilde{\sigma}_\lambda(\gamma)$ is scalar on $\tilde{H}_\lambda$. Thus it is enough to identify the scalar c in the equation

$$\tau_\lambda(\gamma)\,\phi_\lambda = c\phi_\lambda. \tag{2}$$

We need a different formula for γ. If p_{α_j} denotes a particular one of the two standard representatives of the reflection in α_j in the Weyl group W_K, then we shall show that

$$\gamma_\beta = \prod_j p_{\alpha_j}^{2\langle \beta, \bar{\alpha}_j \rangle / |\bar{\alpha}_j|^2}. \tag{3}$$

In fact, our definitions make $h_{\bar{\alpha}_j} = \mathrm{Ad}(u_{\alpha_j})^{-1} H_{\alpha_j}$. We can expand

$$h_\beta = \sum \frac{\langle \beta, \bar{\alpha}_j \rangle}{|\bar{\alpha}_j|^2} h_{\bar{\alpha}_j},$$

and then

$$\gamma_\beta = \exp \sum_j \frac{2\langle \beta, \bar{\alpha}_j \rangle}{|\beta|^2} \frac{\pi i h_{\bar{\alpha}_j}}{|\bar{\alpha}_j|^2} = \prod_j \left(\exp \frac{\pi i h_{\bar{\alpha}_j}}{|\bar{\alpha}_j|^2} \right)^{2\langle \beta, \bar{\alpha}_j \rangle / |\beta|^2}$$

$$= \prod_j \exp(\tfrac{1}{2}\pi i(E_{\alpha_j} + E_{-\alpha_j}))^{2\langle \beta, \bar{\alpha}_j \rangle / |\beta|^2} = \prod_j p_{\alpha_j}^{2\langle \beta, \bar{\alpha}_j \rangle / |\beta|^2}$$

as required. This proves (3).

Since λ is integral and G is a matrix group, we can introduce a G-ordering (for current purposes) so that λ is G-dominant. Let $\tilde{\tau}_\lambda$ be an irreducible representation of G with highest weight λ. Then it is easy to see that the restriction of $\tilde{\tau}_\lambda(K)$ to the span of a highest weight vector is equivalent with τ_λ. That is, we may regard τ_λ as extended from K to G, with the space suitably enlarged.

In view of (3), $\tau_\lambda(\gamma)\,\phi_\lambda$ is a weight vector for the weight

$$(\prod_{j,k} p_{\alpha_j}^{2\langle \beta_k, \bar{\alpha}_j \rangle / |\bar{\alpha}_j|^2})\,\lambda.$$

Hence (2) implies that

$$\langle \lambda, \alpha_j \rangle = 0 \quad \text{whenever} \quad \sum_k \frac{2\langle \beta_k, \bar{\alpha}_j \rangle}{|\bar{\alpha}_j|^2} \text{ is odd} \tag{4}$$

and hence that $\tau_\lambda(p_{\alpha_j})$ fixes ϕ_λ for these j. For any j,

$$\begin{aligned}
\tau_\lambda(p_{\alpha_j})^2\,\phi_\lambda &= \tau_\lambda(\gamma_{\alpha_j})\,\phi_\lambda \\
&= (-1)^{2\langle \lambda, \alpha_j \rangle / |\alpha_j|^2}\,\phi_\lambda = (-1)^{2\langle \bar{\lambda}, \bar{\alpha}_j \rangle / |\bar{\alpha}_j|^2}\,\phi_\lambda
\end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

by a computation in $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$. Then (4) and (5) show that c in (2) is given by -1 raised to the power

$$\sum \frac{2\langle \bar{\lambda}, \bar{\alpha}_j \rangle}{|\bar{\alpha}_j|^2} \frac{\langle \beta_k, \bar{\alpha}_j \rangle}{|\beta_k|^2}, \tag{6}$$

with the sum extended over those j for which $\sum_k 2\langle \beta_k, \bar{\alpha}_j \rangle / |\bar{\alpha}_j|^2$ is even. The sum in (6) may be further extended to be over all j because of (4), and then (6) reduces to the sum in the statement of the theorem.

Theorem C. *If G is a matrix group and λ is integral and K-dominant, then $2\langle \bar{\lambda}, \beta \rangle / |\beta|^2$ is an integer for every restricted root β, and the assignment*

$$\sigma(\gamma_\beta) = (-1)^{2\langle \bar{\lambda}, \beta \rangle / |\beta|^2}$$

extends to a well-defined character of F. Moreover, some constituent σ_1 of $\tilde{\sigma}_\lambda$ has $\sigma_1(z) = \sigma(z) I$ for all z in F.

Proof. Since λ is integral, λ is the differential of a well-defined character ξ_λ on T. Define a character σ on $\exp i\mathfrak{a} \subseteq G^{\mathbb{C}}$ by $\sigma(z) = \xi_\lambda(uzu^{-1})$. Applying (3), we have

$$u\gamma_\beta u^{-1} = \prod_j \exp(\tfrac{1}{2}\pi i \, \mathrm{Ad}(u)(E_{\alpha_j} + E_{-\alpha_j}))^{2\langle \beta, \bar{\alpha}_j \rangle / |\beta|^2}$$

$$= \prod_j \exp(\pi i |\alpha_j|^{-2} H_{\alpha_j})^{2\langle \beta, \bar{\alpha}_j \rangle / |\beta|^2}.$$

Therefore

$$\sigma(\gamma_\beta) = \xi_\lambda(u\gamma_\beta u^{-1})$$

$$= \exp\left(\pi i \sum_j \frac{\langle \lambda, \alpha_j \rangle}{|\alpha_j|^2} \frac{2\langle \beta, \bar{\alpha}_j \rangle}{|\beta|^2}\right)$$

$$= \exp\left(\pi i \sum_j \frac{\langle \bar{\lambda}, \bar{\alpha}_j \rangle}{|\bar{\alpha}_j|^2} \frac{2\langle \beta, \bar{\alpha}_j \rangle}{|\beta|^2}\right)$$

$$= \exp\left(\pi i \frac{2\langle \bar{\lambda}, \beta \rangle}{|\beta|^2}\right).$$

Since $\gamma_\beta^2 = 1$, it follows that $2\langle \bar{\lambda}, \beta \rangle / |\beta|^2$ is an integer.

Now write $M = M_2 \oplus \sum \mathbb{Z}_2$ with $\sum \mathbb{Z}_2 \subseteq F$. Let σ_0 be a constituent of $\tilde{\sigma}_\lambda$ and define

$$\sigma_1(m) = \begin{cases} \sigma_0(m) & \text{for } m \in M_2 \\ \sigma(m) I & \text{for } m \in \sum \mathbb{Z}_2. \end{cases}$$

The remarks before Theorem B show that σ_1 is a constituent of $\tilde{\sigma}_\lambda$, and Theorem B shows that $\sigma_1(z) = \sigma(z) I$ for all z in F.

Concluding Remarks. 1) If G is a matrix group and λ is also K-regular, Theorem A gives $|M/M_1|$ distinct explicit quotient mappings. For K-singular λ, Theorem A gives only the smaller number $|M/M_2|$ of distinct explicit quotient mappings. However, an argument with tensor products on the nonunitary principal series and on $\mathscr{P}^\lambda$ shows the existence of $|M/M_1|$ maps from nonunitary

principal series to $\mathscr{P}^\lambda$ even if λ is K-singular; it is just that not all of these maps are given by Theorem A.

2) Vogan offered $SO(4,4)$ as a counterexample to Lemma 5.3. For other groups it is often the case that $M_1 = M$, hence that Lemma 5.3 remains correct. This happens for G if it happens for the adjoint group of G. It happens if G has real-rank one, or if M is connected (e.g., when the restricted roots form a BC diagram), or if G/K is Hermitian symmetric. Among the classical simple groups, it can fail only for groups locally isomorphic to $SO(m, n)$.

Reference

26. Satake, I.: On representations and compactifications of symmetric Riemannian spaces. Ann. of Math. **71**, 77–110 (1960)