Werk

Titel: Stability in Obstacle Problems.

Autor: Martio, O.; Gongbao, Li

Jahr: 1994

PURL: https://resolver.sub.uni-goettingen.de/purl?35397434X_0075|log9

Kontakt/Contact

Digizeitschriften e.V.
SUB Göttingen
Platz der Göttinger Sieben 1
37073 Göttingen

info@digizeitschriften.de

MATH. SCAND. 75 (1994), 87–100

STABILITY IN OBSTACLE PROBLEMS

LI GONGBAO and O. MARTIO

1. Introduction.

We consider a second order degenerate elliptic partial differential equation

$$\nabla \cdot \mathscr{A}(x, \nabla u(x)) = 0 \tag{1.1}$$

with $\mathscr{A}(x, h) \cdot h \approx |h|^p$; for the assumptions on $\mathscr{A}$ see Section 2. A prototype for equation (1.1) is the p-harmonic equation

$$\nabla \cdot (|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u) = 0 \tag{1.2}$$

which for $p = 2$ reduces to the usual Laplace equation $\Delta u = 0$.

Let Ω be a bounded open set in R^n and let $\theta \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$, i.e. θ and its distributional gradient $\nabla\theta$ belong to $L^p(\Omega)$. For $\psi\colon \Omega \to \mathsf{R} \cup \{\pm\infty\}$ we write

$$\mathscr{K}_{\psi,\theta} = \{v \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)\colon v \geqq \psi \text{ a.e.}, v - \theta \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)\}$$

and call a function v a solution to the $\mathscr{K}_{\psi,\theta}$-obstacle problem if $v \in \mathscr{K}_{\psi,\theta}$ and if

$$\int_\Omega \mathscr{A}(x, \nabla v) \cdot \nabla(\varphi - v)\, dx \geqq 0 \tag{1.3}$$

whenever $\varphi \in \mathscr{K}_{\psi,\theta}$. If $\mathscr{K}_{\psi,\theta} \neq \emptyset$, then there is a unique solution to the $\mathscr{K}_{\psi,\theta}$-obstacle problem [HKM, Theorem 3.21].

The aforementioned obstacle problems include variational obstacle problems associated with regular variational integrals

$$\int_\Omega F(x, \nabla u)\, dx$$

where $F(x, h) \approx |h|^p$, see [HKM, Chapter 5].

We are interested in stability properties of the solutions u_ψ to the $K_{\psi,\theta}$-obstacle problem for varying ψ and we prove two results which are independent of the exponent p. However, our first result does not belong to this category and it

Received May 26, 1993.

depends on the $(1,p)$-quasiuniform converge. We introduce some notation. Let $\psi_i\colon \Omega \to \mathsf{R} \cup \{\pm\infty\}$ be a sequence of functions and $p > 1$. We say that $\psi_i \to \psi$ locally $(1,p)$-quasiuniformly if for each open set $D \Subset \Omega$ and each $\varepsilon > 0$ there is an open set $G \subset D$ such that $\operatorname{cap}_{1,p}(G) < \varepsilon$ and $\psi_i \to \psi$ in $L^\infty(D\backslash G)$. Here $\operatorname{cap}_{1,p}$ refers to the variational Sobolev $(1,p)$-capacity, i.e.

$$\operatorname{cap}_{1,p}(G) = \sup_{\substack{K \subset G \\ K \text{ compact}}} \inf \int_{\mathsf{R}^n} (|u|^p + |\nabla u|^p)\, dx$$

where the infimum is taken over all $u \in C_0^\infty(\mathsf{R}^n)$ such that $u \geqq 1$ on K. The sequence ψ_i is called locally weakly upper bounded if for each compact set $K \subset \Omega$ there are $M < \infty$ and i_0 such that $\psi_i \leqq M$ a.e. on K for $i \geqq i_0$.

1.4. THEOREM. *Suppose that $\theta \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and that $\psi_i, \psi\colon \Omega \to \mathsf{R} \cup \{\pm\infty\}$ are functions such that* (i) *$\psi_i \leqq \theta$ for all i,* (ii) *the sequence $\psi_i - \psi$ is locally weakly upper bounded and* (iii) *$\psi_i \to \psi$ locally $(1,p)$-quasiuniformly. If u_{ψ_i} and u_ψ are solutions to the $\mathscr{K}_{\psi_i,\theta}$-obstacle and $\mathscr{K}_{\psi,\theta}$-obstacle problems, respectively, then $u_{\psi_i} \to u_\psi$ in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$.*

We remark, to avoid any possible ambiguity, that in (ii), $\pm\infty - (\pm\infty)$ means 0.

In Theorem 1.4 (ii) and (iii) can be replaced by $\psi_i \to \psi$ in $L^\infty_{\mathrm{loc}}(\Omega)$, see Remark 2.23 (b), and hence Theorem 1.4 has the following version.

1.5. THEOREM. *Suppose that $\theta \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and that $\psi_i\colon \Omega \to \mathsf{R} \cup \{\pm\infty\}$ are such that $\theta \geqq \psi_i$* a.e. *for $i = 1, 2, \ldots$. If $\psi_i \to \psi$ in $L^\infty_{\mathrm{loc}}(\Omega)$, then $u_{\psi_i} \to u_\psi$ in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ where u_{ψ_i} and u_ψ are solutions to the $\mathscr{K}_{\psi_i,\theta}$-and $\mathscr{K}_{\psi,\theta}$-obstacle problems, respectively.*

Theorem 1.5 has been proved for example in [HKM, Theorem 3.79] under the condition that $\psi_i \to \psi$ in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and ψ_i is a decreasing sequence.

For the next theorem assume that $\mathscr{S}$ is a family of solutions to obstacle problems in Ω, i.e. for each $u \in \mathscr{S}$ there are $\psi_u\colon \Omega \to \mathsf{R} \cup \{\pm\infty\}$ and $\theta_u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ such that u is a solution to the $\mathscr{K}_{\psi_u,\theta_u}$-obstacle problem. Write

$$\mathscr{O} = \{\psi_u\colon u \in \mathscr{S}\}.$$

1.6. THEOREM. *Suppose that $x_0 \in \Omega$, that there are a neighborhood $\mathscr{U}$ of x_0 and $M < \infty$ such that*

$$\operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{\mathscr{U}} - \operatorname*{ess\,inf}_{\mathscr{U}} u \leqq M \tag{1.7}$$

for all $u \in \mathscr{S}$, and that

(1.8) *the family $\mathscr{O}$ is equicontinuous at x_0.*

Then the family $\mathscr{S}$ is equicontinuous at x_0.

In Theorem 1.6 equicontinuity means a.e. equicontinuity. Thus, for example, $\mathcal{O}$ is equicontinuous at x_0 if for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a neighborhood V of x_0 such that

$$\operatorname*{ess\,sup}_V \psi - \operatorname*{ess\,inf}_V \psi \leqq \varepsilon$$

for all $\psi \in \mathcal{O}$.

Continuity of the solution to an obstacle problem has been studied in [KZ]; for Theorem 1.6 the theory of Wiener points for obstacles [KZ] is not needed.

Theorems 1.4 and 1.6 are proved in Sections 3 and 4, respectively. Section 2 contains preliminary considerations. The proof for Theorem 1.4 consists of two parts. We first show that $u_{\psi_i} \to v$ in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and then that $v = u_\psi$. For the first part we employ an improvement of a lemma ([L, Lemma 2.2], [Maz, Lemma 1], [HKM, Lemma 3.73]) which has been used to prove weak and a.e. convergence of the gradients in $L^p(\Omega)$; using the Vitali convergence theorem we show that it is possible to conclude strong convergence in $L^p(G)$. When this paper was completed, a paper of L. Boccardo and F. Murant [BM] containing a similar observation appeared. We also present examples which show that Theorems 1.4–1.6 are best possible.

Our notation is standard. We let

$$\|u\|_p = \|u\|_{L^p(E)} = \left(\int_E |u|^p \, dx\right)^{1/p}$$

denote the usual L^p-norm of a function u. In the Sobolev space $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ we use the norm

$$\|u\|_{1,p} = \|u\|_p + \|\nabla u\|_p.$$

The space $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ is the closure of $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$.

2. Preliminaries.

We first introduce the assumptions for the equation (1.1). Let $p > 1$ and let Ω be a bounded open set in R^n. We assume that $\mathscr{A}: \Omega \times \mathsf{R}^n \to \mathsf{R}^n$ is a mapping satisfying the following assumptions for some constants $0 < \alpha \leqq \beta < \infty$:

(2.1) the mapping $x \mapsto \mathscr{A}(x, \xi)$ is measurable for all $\xi \in \mathsf{R}^n$ and the mapping $\xi \to \mathscr{A}(x, \xi)$ is continuous for a.e. $x \in \Omega$;

for all $\xi \in \mathsf{R}^n$ and a.e. $x \in \Omega$

$$\mathscr{A}(x,\xi)\cdot\xi \geqq \alpha|\xi|^p \tag{2.2}$$

$$|\mathscr{A}(x,\xi)| \leqq \beta|\xi|^{p-1} \tag{2.3}$$

$$(\mathscr{A}(x,\xi_1)-\mathscr{A}(x,\xi_2))\cdot(\xi_1-\xi_2)>0 \quad \text{whenever} \quad \xi_1 \neq \xi_2; \tag{2.4}$$

and

$$\mathscr{A}(x,\lambda\xi)=\lambda|\lambda|^{p-2}\mathscr{A}(x,\xi) \tag{2.5}$$

for $\lambda \in \mathrm{R}$, $\lambda \neq 0$.

For the proofs we need other classes of solutions than solutions to the obstacle problems. Let $\theta \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$. A function v is called a supersolution of (1.1) with boundary values θ if $v-\theta \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and if

$$\int_\Omega \mathscr{A}(x,\nabla v)\cdot\nabla\varphi\,dx \geqq 0 \tag{2.6}$$

for all $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ with $\varphi \geqq 0$. It is easy to see that (2.6) holds for functions $\varphi \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$, $\varphi \geqq 0$, as well. Every solution to the $\mathscr{K}_{\psi,\theta}$-obstacle problem is a supersolution with boundary values θ and, conversely, every supersolution v is a solution to the $\mathscr{K}_{v,\theta}$-obstacle problem. Finally v is a solution with boundary values θ if $v-\theta \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and if

$$\int_\Omega \mathscr{A}(x,\nabla v)\cdot\nabla\varphi\,dx = 0$$

for all $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$. For the theory of these solution classes see [HKM]. Since we mostly keep θ fixed, we simply speak about supersolutions and solutions.

We first improve a lemma which has been used in several occasions, see e.g. [HKM, Lemma 3.73], [Maz, Lemma 1], [L, Lemma 2.2], and [G, p. 197]. We show that condition (2.8) below implies the strong convergence for gradients in $L^p(\Omega)$.

2.7. LEMMA. *Suppose that $v_i, v \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$, $i=1,2,\ldots$. Then*

$$\lim_{i\to\infty}\int_\Omega (\mathscr{A}(x,\nabla v_i)-\mathscr{A}(x,\nabla v))\cdot\nabla(v_i-v)\,dx = 0 \tag{2.8}$$

if and only if $\nabla v_i \to \nabla v$ in $L^p(\Omega)$.

PROOF. Write

$$I_i = \int_\Omega (\mathscr{A}(x,\nabla v_i)-\mathscr{A}(x,\nabla v))\cdot\nabla(v_i-v)\,dx.$$

Suppose that $\nabla v_i \to \nabla v$ in $L^p(\Omega)$. Now $\mathscr{A}(x,\nabla v_i)$ is a bounded sequence in $L^{p/(p-1)}(\Omega)$ and $\mathscr{A}(x,\nabla v) \in L^{p/(p-1)}(\Omega)$, see (2.3), and since

$$I_i = \int_\Omega \mathscr{A}(x, \nabla v_i) \cdot \nabla(v_i - v)\, dx - \int_\Omega \mathscr{A}(x, \nabla v) \cdot \nabla(v_i - v)\, dx,$$

we obtain from Hölder's inequality that $I_i \to 0$ as $i \to 0$.

Next assume that $I_i \to 0$. It follows from Young's inequality, see also the proof of Lemma 3.73 in [HKM, p. 80], that ∇v_i is a bounded sequence in $L^p(\Omega)$ and, passing to a subsequence if necessary, we may assume that

$$\nabla v_i \to \nabla v \tag{2.9}$$

a.e. in Ω. For each $\varepsilon > 0$ there is i_0 such that

$$\int_\Omega (\mathscr{A}(x, \nabla v_i) - \mathscr{A}(x, \nabla v)) \cdot \nabla(v_i - v)\, dx < \varepsilon$$

for $i \geqq i_0$. Since the integrand is non-negative, for each measurable set $E \subset \Omega$ we have

$$\int_E (\mathscr{A}(x, \nabla v_i) - \mathscr{A}(x, \nabla v)) \cdot \nabla(v_i - v)\, dx < \varepsilon$$

for $i \geqq i_0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha \int_E |\nabla v_i|^p\, dx &\leqq \int_E \mathscr{A}(x, \nabla v_i) \cdot \nabla v_i\, dx \\
&\leqq \varepsilon + \int_E \mathscr{A}(x, \nabla v) \cdot \nabla(v - v_i)\, dx + \int_E \mathscr{A}(x, \nabla v_i) \cdot \nabla v\, dx \\
&\leqq \varepsilon + \beta \int_E |\nabla v|^{p-1} |\nabla v - \nabla v_i|\, dx + \beta \int_E |\nabla v_i|^{p-1} \nabla v\, dx \\
&\leqq \varepsilon + \beta \|\nabla v\|_{L^p(E)}^{p-1} \|\nabla v - \nabla v_i\|_{L^p(\Omega)} + \beta \|\nabla v_i\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^{p-1} \|\nabla v\|_{L^p(E)}
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used Hölder's inequality. Since the sequence ∇v_i is bounded in $L^p(\Omega)$, we see that for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there is $\delta > 0$ such that for all i

$$\int_E |\nabla v_i|^p\, dx < \varepsilon$$

whenever $m(E) < \delta$. This means that $|\nabla v_i|^p$ is uniformly integrable and this together with (2.9) yields that $\nabla v_i \to \nabla v$ in $L^p(\Omega)$, see [HS, p. 203] for the Vitali convergence theorem. Finally, observe that this holds for the original sequence ∇v_i, and not for its subsequence only, since if $\|\nabla v_{i_j} - \nabla v\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \geqq \varepsilon > 0$ in $L^p(\Omega)$ for some subsequence of ∇v_i, then the above proof gives a contradiction. The lemma follows.

For the next lemma assume that $u_i \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$, $i = 1, 2, \ldots$, and $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ are such that

$$\|\nabla u_i\|_p \leqq C < \infty \tag{2.10}$$

and

$$\int_\Omega \mathscr{A}(x, \nabla u_i) \cdot \nabla(\varphi_i + u - u_i)\, dx \geqq 0, \tag{2.11}$$

$$\int_\Omega \mathscr{A}(x, \nabla u) \cdot \nabla(\Phi_i + u_i - u)\, dx \geqq 0$$

for some functions φ_i, $\Phi_i \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ with

$$\nabla \varphi_i \to 0 \text{ in } L^p(\Omega), \tag{2.12}$$

$$\nabla \Phi_i \to 0 \text{ weakly in } L^p(\Omega), \tag{2.13}$$

2.14. LEMMA. *$\nabla u_i \to \nabla u$ in $L^p(\Omega)$.*

PROOF. We show that

$$I_i = \int_\Omega (\mathscr{A}(x, \nabla u_i) - \mathscr{A}(x, \nabla u)) \cdot \nabla(u_i - u)\, dx \to 0$$

as $i \to \infty$. Then Lemma 2.7 implies that $\nabla u_i \to \nabla u$ in $L^p(\Omega)$.

To this end, inequalities (2.11) yield

$$I_i \leqq \int_\Omega \mathscr{A}(x, \nabla u_i) \cdot \nabla \varphi_i\, dx + \int_\Omega \mathscr{A}(x, \nabla u) \cdot \nabla \Phi_i\, dx. \tag{2.15}$$

Since $\mathscr{A}(x, \nabla u) \in L^{p/(p-1)}(\Omega)$ and $\nabla \Phi_i \to 0$ weakly in $L^p(\Omega)$, the last integral in (2.15) tends to zero as $i \to \infty$. Since $I_i \geqq 0$, it remains to show that

$$\int_\Omega \mathscr{A}(x, \nabla u_i) \cdot \nabla \varphi_i\, dx \to 0 \tag{2.16}$$

as $i \to \infty$. Since ∇u_i is a bounded sequence in $L^p(\Omega)$, we obtain from Hölder's inequality that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_\Omega \mathscr{A}(x, \nabla u_i) \cdot \nabla \varphi_i\, dx \right| &\leqq \beta \|\nabla u_i\|_p^{p-1} \|\nabla \varphi_i\|_p \\ &\leqq c \|\nabla \varphi_i\|_p \end{aligned}$$

where c is independent of i. Since $\nabla \varphi_i \to 0$ in $L^p(\Omega)$, (2.16) follows and the proof is complete.

Lemma 2.18 gives strong convergence of the gradients for solutions to obstacle problems with zero boundary values. Here a result of L.-I. Hedberg is needed.

2.17. LEMMA ([H]). *Let $\varphi \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$. Then for each compact set $K \subset \Omega$ and for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a function $\eta \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ such that $0 \leqq \eta \leqq 1$, $\eta = 1$ on K, and $\|\nabla(1-\eta)\varphi)\|_p < \varepsilon$.*

2.18. LEMMA. *Suppose that $\varphi \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and that $\theta_i\colon \Omega \to \mathsf{R} \cup \{\pm\infty)$ is a locally weakly upper bounded sequence of functions such that for $i = 1.2,\ldots, \varphi \geqq \theta_i$* a.e. *and $\theta_i \to 0$ locally $(1,p)$-quasiuniformly. Then the solutions u_{θ_i} to the $\mathscr{K}_{\theta_i,0}$-obstacle problem satisfy $\nabla u_{\theta_i} \to 0$ in $L^p(\Omega)$.*

PROOF. Since $\varphi \in \mathscr{K}_{\theta_i,0}$, the family $\mathscr{K}_{\theta_i,0}$ is non-empty. Hence the solutions u_{θ_i} exist. Since $u_{\theta_i} \geqq 0$ ([HKM, Lemma 3.18]), we may assume that $\theta_i \geqq 0$.

Let $D \Subset \Omega$ be an open set and $t > 0$. Since $\theta_i \to 0$ locally $(1,p)$-quasiuniformly, there is an open set $G \subset D$ and a function $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ such that (i) $0 \leqq u \leqq 1$, (ii) $u = 1$ $(1,p)$-quasieverywhere on G, (iii) $\theta_i \to 0$ uniformly in $D \backslash G$, and

$$\text{(iv)} \qquad \|\nabla u\|_p < t;$$

for this construction see [HKM, Corollary 4.13] and [HKM, p. 49]. Note that a capacity function can be cut off from $W^{1,p}(\mathsf{R}^n) = W_0^{1,p}(\mathsf{R}^n)$ to $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ because $D \Subset \Omega$. That $u = 1$ $(1,p)$-quasieverywhere on G means that $u = 1$ on G except of a set of $(1,p)$-capacity zero. This implies that $u = 1$ a.e. on G, see [HKM, Lemma 2.10].

After these preliminaries we prove the lemma. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and choose a function $\eta \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ as in Lemma 2.17 such that

$$\|\nabla((1-\eta)\varphi)\|_p \leqq \varepsilon. \tag{2.19}$$

Next let D be an open set with $\operatorname{spt}\eta \subset D \Subset \Omega$. Since θ_i is a locally weakly upper bounded sequence, there are i_0 and $M \in (0,\infty)$ such that

$$\theta_i \leqq M \quad \text{a.e. on} \quad D \tag{2.20}$$

for $i \geqq i_0$. Choose $\varepsilon_1 > 0$ such that

$$\varepsilon_1 \|\nabla\eta\|_p < \varepsilon \tag{2.21}$$

and $G \subset D$ and $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ as in (i)–(iv) for $t = \varepsilon/M$. Then pick $i_0' \geqq i_0$ so large that for $i \geqq i_0'$

$$\theta_i \leqq \varepsilon_1 \quad \text{on} \quad D \backslash G. \tag{2.22}$$

Consider the function

$$v = (1-\eta)\varphi + \varepsilon_1\eta + Mu.$$

Now $v \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and from (2.20) and (2.22) it follows that $v \in \mathscr{K}_{\theta_i,0}$ for $i \geqq i_0'$. Indeed, $v \geqq \varphi \geqq \theta_i$ in $\Omega \backslash D$, $v \geqq (1-\eta)\theta_i + \theta_i\eta = \theta_i$ in $D \backslash G$ for $i \geqq i_0'$ and $v \geqq Mu \geqq \theta_i$ in G for $i \geqq i_0$. Hence

$$\int_\Omega \mathscr{A}(x, \nabla u_{\theta_i}) \cdot \nabla(v - u_{\theta_i})\, dx \geqq 0$$

and this yields for $i \geqq i_0'$

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla u_{\theta_i}\|_p &\leqq \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right) \|\nabla v\|_p \\ &\leqq \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right) \|\nabla((1-\eta)\varphi)\|_p + \varepsilon_1 \|\nabla\eta\|_p + M \|\nabla u\|_p) \\ &\leqq 3\left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right)\varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality follows from (2.19), (2.21), and from (iv) with $t = \varepsilon/M$. Thus $\nabla u_{\theta_i} \to 0$ in $L^p(\Omega)$ as required.

2.23. REMARKS. (a) Lemmas 2.14 and 2.18 and their proofs remain as stated in any open set $\Omega \subset \mathsf{R}^n$. The proof of Lemma 2.7 needs a slight adjustment for an unbounded open set $\Omega \subset \mathsf{R}^n$; sets $E \subset \Omega$ outside a large ball require a separate treatment in the uniform integrability.

(b) If in Lemma 2.18 it is assumed that $\theta_i \to 0$ in $L^\infty_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$, then the conclusion holds. In fact, for $i \geqq i_0$, $\theta_i \leqq \varepsilon$ a.e. in D and the function $v = (1-\eta)\varphi + \varepsilon\eta$ will do. After this observation the proof for Theorem 1.5 is the same as for Theorem 1.4.

3. Proof for Theorem 1.4.

First we make some preliminary observations. Let u be a solution of (1.1) in Ω with boundary values θ. Then u is a solution to the $\mathscr{K}_{-\infty,\theta}$-obstacle problem and hence $u_{\psi_i}, u_\psi \geqq u$ a.e., see [HKM, Lemma 3.22]. This means that u_{ψ_i} and u_ψ are also solutions to the $\mathscr{K}_{\max(\psi_i,u),\theta}$-and $\mathscr{K}_{\max(\psi,u),\theta}$-obstacle problems, respectively. Thus we can replace ψ_i and ψ by $\max(\psi_i, u)$ and $\max(\psi, u)$. Observe that after this replacement we still have that $\psi_i \to \psi$ locally $(1,p)$-quasiuniformly and that $\psi_i - \psi$ is a locally weakly upper bounded sequence; note that $\psi_i \leqq \theta$ a.e. implies $\psi_i < \infty$ a.e. As a boundary function we can use, instead of θ, the function u_θ which is a solution to the $\mathscr{K}_{\theta,\theta}$-obstacle problem. Then $u_\theta \geqq u_{\psi_i}$ and $u_\theta \geqq u_\psi$ and replacing θ by u_θ we may assume that

$$u \leqq \psi_i \leqq u_{\psi_i} \leqq \theta, \qquad u \leqq \psi \leqq u_\psi \leqq \theta \tag{3.1}$$

a.e. in Ω. Observe that $\theta - u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$.

Choosing $v = u_{\psi_i}$ and $\varphi = \theta$ in (1.3) we see that

$$\|\nabla u_{\psi_i}\|_p \leqq C$$

where $C < \infty$ is independent of i. By the Poincaré inequality the sequence u_{ψ_i} is bounded in $L^p(\Omega)$ as well. Thus we may assume, passing to a subsequence if necessary, that $u_{\psi_i} \to v$ weakly in $L^p(\Omega)$ for some $v \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and $\nabla u_{\psi_i} \to \nabla v$ weakly in $L^p(\Omega)$. Since $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ is weakly closed, $v - \theta \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$. By the Sobolev imbedding theorem [A, Theorem 6.2, Part IV] we may also assume that

$$u_{\psi_i} \to v \quad \text{in} \quad L^p(\Omega) \tag{3.2}$$

and that

$$u_{\psi_i} \to v \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega. \tag{3.3}$$

Since $u_{\psi_i} \geqq \psi_i$ a.e. and since $\psi_i \to \psi$ locally $(1, p)$-quasiuniformly and hence a.e. in Ω, we have $v \geqq \psi$ a.e.

Next we will prove that

$$\nabla u_{\psi_i} \to \nabla v \quad \text{in} \quad L^p(\Omega). \tag{3.4}$$

From (3.2) it then follows that $u_{\psi_i} \to v$ in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$. Finally we will show that $v = u_\psi$ and this completes the proof because now the original sequence, and not only its subsequence, must converge to u_ψ in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$.

To prove (3.4) we reduce the problem to zero boundary values. Let φ_i be a solution to the $\mathscr{K}_{\psi_i - \psi, 0}$-obstacle problem; note that $\theta - u \in \mathscr{K}_{\psi_i - \psi, 0}$ and hence a solution exists. Now $\psi_i - \psi \leqq \theta - u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ a.e. in Ω and $\psi_i - \psi \to 0$ locally $(1, p)$-quasiuniformly. Morever, the sequence $\psi_i - \psi$ is locally weakly upper bounded in Ω; observe that ψ_i and ψ are a.e. finite by (3.1). Lemma 2.18 yields

$$\nabla \varphi_i \to 0 \quad \text{in} \quad L^p(\Omega). \tag{3.5}$$

We let $\Phi_i = v - u_{\psi_i}$. Then

$$\int_\Omega \mathscr{A}(x, \nabla v) \cdot \nabla(\Phi_i + u_{\psi_i} - v)\, dx = 0. \tag{3.6}$$

On the other hand,

$$\varphi_i + v \geqq \psi_i - \psi + v \geqq \psi_i - \psi + \psi = \psi_i \tag{3.7}$$

a.e. in Ω and hence

$$\int_\Omega \mathscr{A}(x, \nabla u_{\psi_i}) \cdot \nabla(\varphi_i + v - u_{\psi_i})\, dx \geqq 0 \tag{3.8}$$

because u_{ψ_i} is the solution to the $\mathscr{K}_{\psi_i, \theta}$-obstacle problem and

$\varphi_i + v - \theta \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$. From (3.5), (3.6), and (3.8) together with Lemma 2.14 it now follows that $\nabla u_{\psi_i} \to \nabla v$ in $L^p(\Omega)$.

It remains to show that $v = u_\psi$. Since a solution to the $\mathscr{K}_{\psi,\theta}$-obstacle problem is unique, it suffices to show that

$$\int_\Omega \mathscr{A}(x, \nabla v) \cdot \nabla(\eta - v)\, dx \geqq 0 \tag{3.9}$$

for all $\eta \in \mathscr{K}_{\psi,\theta}$. Fix $\eta \in \mathscr{K}_{\psi,\theta}$ and write $u_i = \eta + \varphi_i$ where φ_i, as above, is the solution to the $\mathscr{K}_{\psi_i - \psi, 0}$-obstacle problem. From (3.5) we obtain

$$\nabla u_i \to \nabla \eta \quad \text{in} \quad L^p(\Omega) \tag{3.10}$$

and this implies (3.9). Indeed, passing to a subsequence if necessary, we may assume that

$$\mathscr{A}(x, \nabla u_{\psi_i}) \cdot \nabla(u_i - u_{\psi_i}) \to \mathscr{A}(x, \nabla v) \cdot \nabla(\eta - v) \tag{3.11}$$

a.e. in Ω and for all measurable sets $E \subset \Omega$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_E |\mathscr{A}(x, \nabla u_{\psi_i}) \cdot \nabla(u_i - u_{\psi_i})|\, dx \\
&\leqq \beta \int_E |\nabla u_{\psi_i}|^{p-1} |\nabla(u_i - u_{\psi_i})|\, dx \\
&\leqq \beta \|\nabla u_{\psi_i}\|_{L^p(E)}^{p-1} (\|\nabla u_i\|_{L^p(\Omega)} + \|\nabla v_{\psi_i}\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \\
&\leqq \beta \|\nabla u_{\psi_i}\|_{L^p(E)}^{p-1} (\|\nabla \eta\|_{L^p(\Omega)} + \|\nabla \varphi_i\|_{L^p(\Omega)} + \|\nabla u_{\psi_i}\|_{L^p(\Omega)}) \\
&\leqq C\beta \|\nabla(u_{\psi_i})\|_{L^p(E)}^{p-1} \\
&< \varepsilon
\end{aligned}$$

whenever we choose $m(E) < \delta = \delta(\varepsilon)$. This means that the functions $\mathscr{A}(x, \nabla u_{\psi_i}) \cdot \nabla(u_i - u_{\psi_i})$ are uniformly integrable over Ω and together with (3.11) this implies

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lim_{i \to \infty} \int_\Omega \mathscr{A}(x, \nabla u_{\psi_i}) \cdot \nabla(u_i - u_{\psi_i})\, dx \\
&= \int_\Omega \mathscr{A}(x, \nabla v) \cdot \nabla(\eta - v)\, dx.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

Since $u_i - \theta \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and $u_i \geqq \eta + \psi_i - \psi \geqq \psi_i$, u_i belongs to $\mathscr{K}_{\psi_i,\theta}$ and thus

$$\int_\Omega \mathscr{A}(x, \nabla u_{\psi_i}) \cdot \nabla(u_i - u_{\psi_i})\, dx \geqq 0. \tag{3.13}$$

From (3.12) and (3.13) we thus conclude that (3.9) holds. The proof is complete.

3.14. REMARKS. (a) The local $(1, p)$-quasiuniform convergence is the weakest possible in Theorem 1.4. This can be seen by choosing a compact set $K \subset \Omega$ with positive $(1, p)$-capacity and $m(K) = 0$. Then choose compact sets $K_1 = \overline{K + B(1/i)}$ (the $1/i$-inflations of K_i). Now $K_1 \supset K_2 \supset \ldots$ and $\cap K_i = K$. Let $\varphi_i = \chi_{K_i}$ and $\psi = \chi_K$. Then ψ_i does not converge to ψ locally $(1, p)$-quasiuniformly and $u_{\psi_i} \not\to u_\psi = 0$ in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ where u_{ψ_i} is a solution of the $\mathscr{K}_{\psi_i, 0}$-obstacle problem. This example, with suitably chosen K, also shows that no local $(1, q)$-quasiuniform convergence, $q < p$, suffices in Theorem 1.4.

(b) In general, Theorem 1.5 is also the best possible. No L^s-convergence, $1 \leqq s < \infty$, for the obstacles ψ_i is enough in Theorem 1.5. To see this choose $p > n$ and let $\Omega = B(0, 1)$. Choose a sequence $\psi_i \in C_0^\infty(B(0, 1))$ such that $\psi_i(0) = 1$ and $\psi_i \searrow 0$ in $L^s(B(0, 1))$. Then each solution u_i of the $\mathscr{K}_{\psi_i, 0}$-obstacle problem in $B(0, 1)$ satisfies $u_i(0) = 1$ and $u_i \mid B(0, 1/2) \geqq c > 0$ where c is independent of i. On the other hand, the solution to the $\mathscr{K}_{0,0}$-obstacle problem is $u = 0$ and hence $u_i \not\to u$ in $W^{1,p}(B(0, 1))$.

(c) The condition $\psi_i \leqq \theta$ in Theorem 1.4 is also necessary since otherwise it is easy to construct a sequence ψ_i such that $\psi_i \to 0$ uniformly in Ω but the solutions u_i to the $\mathscr{K}_{\psi_i, 0}$-obstacle problem satisfy

$$\|\nabla u_i\|_p \to \infty$$

as $i \to \infty$. Hence again the sequence u_i cannot converge in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ to the solution $u = 0$ of the $\mathscr{K}_{0,0}$-obstacle problem.

4. Proof for Theorem 1.6.

Let $x_0 \in \Omega$ be as in Theorem 1.6 and let $\varepsilon > 0$. Fix a ball $B = B(x_0, r)$ such that $B(x_0, 4r) \subset\subset \Omega$,

$$\operatorname*{ess\,sup}_B u - \operatorname*{ess\,inf}_B u \leqq M \tag{4.1}$$

and

$$\operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{B(x_0, 4r)} \psi_u - \operatorname*{ess\,inf}_{B(x_0, 4r)} \psi_u \leqq \varepsilon \tag{4.2}$$

for all $u \in \mathscr{S}$.

Fix $u \in \mathscr{S}$. We consider two cases. First assume that

$$\operatorname*{ess\,inf}_B u \geqq \operatorname*{ess\,sup}_B \psi_u + \varepsilon. \tag{4.3}$$

Now u is a solution of (1.1) in B. Indeed, let $\varphi \in C_0^\infty$ and let $t > 0$ be so small that $|t\varphi| \leqq \varepsilon$. Then $u + t\varphi \in \mathscr{K}_{\psi_u, \theta_u}$ by (4.3) and hence

$$t\int_B \mathscr{A}(x,\nabla u)\cdot\nabla\varphi\,dx \geqq 0. \tag{4.4}$$

Changing the sign of t we obtain (4.4) with the reverse inequality. Thus

$$\int_B \mathscr{A}(x,\nabla u)\cdot\nabla\varphi\,dx = 0 \tag{4.5}$$

for all $\varphi\in C_0^\infty(B)$ and this means that u is a solution of (1.1) in B. Now [HKM, Theorem 6.6] implies

$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{B(x_o,s)} u - \operatorname*{ess\,inf}_{B(x_0,s)} u &\leqq 2^\kappa\left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^\kappa\left(\operatorname*{ess\,sup}_B u - \operatorname*{ess\,inf}_B u\right)\\ &\leqq 2^\kappa\left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^\kappa M\end{aligned}$$

where we have also used (4.1) and $\kappa=\kappa(p,\beta/\alpha,n)>0$. Choosing $s=s(p,\beta/\alpha,n,M,r,\varepsilon)\in(0,r]$

$$2^\kappa\left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^\kappa M \leqq \varepsilon$$

we see that

$$\operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{B(x_0,s)} u - \operatorname*{ess\,inf}_{B(x_0,s)} u \leqq \varepsilon.$$

Next assume that

$$\operatorname*{ess\,inf}_B u < \operatorname*{ess\,sup}_B \psi_u + \varepsilon. \tag{4.7}$$

Let $m=\operatorname{ess\,sup}_B \psi_u$. We may assume that $m=0$; note that $m=-\infty$ is not possible in case (4.7) and $m=\infty$ is always impossible. This renormalization does not affect condition (4.1). Now $u-\varepsilon$ is a solution to the $\mathscr{K}_{\psi_u-\varepsilon,u-\varepsilon}$-obstacle problem in B and by (4.2), $\psi-\varepsilon\leqq 0$ a.e. in B. Thus [HKM, Theorem 3.34] implies

$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{B(x_0,r/2)}(u-\varepsilon) &\leqq \operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{B(x_0,r/2)}(u-\varepsilon)^+\\ &\leqq c\left(\fint_B |u-\varepsilon|^q\,dx\right)^{1/q}\end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

where $q=n(p-1)/2(n-p)$ if $p<n$ and $q=p$ if $p\geqq n$. Here $c=c(p,B/\alpha,n)<\infty$ and $\fint$ denotes the mean value integral, i.e.

$$\fint_B = \frac{1}{m(B)}\int.$$

Next from [HKM, Theorem 3.59] we obtain

$$\left(\fint_B (u+\varepsilon)^q\,dx\right)^{1/q} \leqq c \operatorname*{ess\,inf}_B (u+\varepsilon) \tag{4.9}$$

where c is as above; note that by (4.2)

$$u+\varepsilon \geqq \psi_u + \varepsilon \geqq 0 \text{ a.e. in } B(x_0, 4r)$$

and hence $u+\varepsilon$ is a non-negative supersolution in $B(x_0, 4r)$. Since $-2\varepsilon < \psi - \varepsilon < u - \varepsilon < u + \varepsilon$, we obtain $|u-\varepsilon|^q \leqq \max((2\varepsilon)^q, |u+\varepsilon|^q) \leqq (2\varepsilon)^q + |u+\varepsilon|^q$. Now (4.8), (4.9), and (4.7) yield

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{B(x_0,r/2)} u &\leqq c \operatorname*{ess\,inf}_B u + c\varepsilon \\ &\leqq c \operatorname*{ess\,sup}_B \psi_u + c\varepsilon \\ &\leqq c\varepsilon \end{aligned} \tag{4.10}$$

because $\operatorname{ess\,sup}_B \psi_u = m = 0$. Since $u \geqq \psi_u$ a.e., we see that

$$\operatorname*{ess\,inf}_{B(x_0,r/2)} u \geqq \operatorname*{ess\,inf}_B \psi_u \geqq -\varepsilon$$

and now (4.10) yields

$$\operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{B(x_0,r/2)} u - \operatorname*{ess\,inf}_{B(x_0,r/2)} u \leqq c\varepsilon + \varepsilon = (c+1)\varepsilon \tag{4.11}$$

where $c = c(p, n, \beta/\alpha) < \infty$. Since $u \in \mathscr{S}$ was arbitrary, inequalities (4.6) and (4.11) show that $\mathscr{S}$ is equicontinuous at x_0. The theorem follows.

4.12. Remarks. (a) Condition (1.7) in Theorem 1.6 is satisfied in many cases. In particular, it holds when the families $\mathscr{O}$ and $\{\theta_u : u \in \mathscr{S}\}$ are uniformly bounded. Simple examples show that condition (1.7) is necessary for Theorem 1.6.

(b) It follows from (1.7) and from [HKM, Lemma 3.47] that for each $u \in \mathscr{S}$

$$\int_{B(x_0,r/2)} |\nabla u|^p\,dx \leqq c$$

where $c = c(p, \beta/\alpha, n, r, M) < \infty$ and $B(x_0, r) \subset \Omega$ is such that (1.6) holds for $\mathscr{U} = B(x_0, r)$. By Sobolev's imbedding theorem for $p > n$ each function $u \in \mathscr{S}$ is uniformly Hölder continuous in $B(x_0, r/2)$ and hence condition (1.8) is not needed in Theorem 1.6. Thus for $p > n$ condition (1.7) alone implies the equicontinuity of the family $\mathscr{S}$. If $p \leqq n$, then there exist non-continuous supersolutions of (1.1) and hence some control for obstacles is needed in order to obtain equicontinuity for solutions.

(c) Michael and Ziemer proved in [MZ], see also [HKM, Theorem 3.67], that

a solution to the $\mathscr{K}_{\psi,\theta}$-obstacle problem is continuous provided that ψ is continuous. Theorem 1.6 has a somewhat different character.

REFERENCES

[A] R. A. Adams, *Sobolev Spaces*, Acdemic Press, 1975.

[G] J.-P. Gossey, *Nonlinear elliptic boundary value problems for equations with rapidly (or slowly) increasing coefficients*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 190 (1974), 163–204.

[BM] L. Boccardo and F. Murat, *Almost everywhere convergence of the gradients of solutions to elliptic and parabolic equations*, Nonlinear Anal. 19 (1992), 581–597.

[H] L. I. Hedberg, *Two approximation problems in function spaces*, Ark. Mat. 16 (1978), 51–81.

[HKM] J. Heinonen, T. Kilpeläinen and O. Martio, *Nonlinear Potential Theory of Degenerate Elliptic Equations*, Clarendon Press, 1993.

[HS] E. Hewitt and K. Stromberg, *Real and Abstract Analysis*, Springer-Verlag, 1965.

[KZ] T. Kilpeläinen and W. P. Ziemer, *Pointwise regularity of solutions to nonlinear double obstacle problems*, Ark. Mat. 29 (1991), 83–106.

[L] J. L. Lions, *Quelques méthodes de résolution des problèmes aux limites non linéaires*, Études mathématiques (Collection dir. par P. Lelong), Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1969.

[Maz] V. G. Maz'ya, *On the continuity at a boundary point of solutions of quasi-linear elliptic equations* (English translation), Vestnik Leningrad Univ. Math. 3 (1976), 225–242.

[MZ] J. H. Michael and W. P. Ziemer, *Interior regularity for solutions to obstacle problems*, Nonlinear Anal. 10 (1986), 1427–1448.

WUHAN INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES
ACADEMIA SINICA
P.O. BOX 71007
WUHAN 430071
P.R. OF CHINA

UNIVERSITY OF JYVÄSKYLÄ
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
P.O. BOX 4
FIN-00014 UNIVERSITY OF HELSINKI
FINLAND