

## Werk

**Label:** Table of literature references

**Jahr:** 1959

**PURL:** [https://resolver.sub.uni-goettingen.de/purl?31311157X\\_0084|log167](https://resolver.sub.uni-goettingen.de/purl?31311157X_0084|log167)

## Kontakt/Contact

[Digizeitschriften e.V.](#)  
SUB Göttingen  
Platz der Göttinger Sieben 1  
37073 Göttingen

✉ [info@digizeitschriften.de](mailto:info@digizeitschriften.de)

Remark 10.2. We have not proved that the sampling design described in Theorem 6.1 yields the probabilities of including the elements  $i$  in the sample given by (10.2). However, this is well-known (see, for example, [9], § 2).

Remark 10.3. In the most important particular case when both the expected values  $E y_i$  and variances  $V y_i$  are stationary, we get the uniform (or self-weighting) systematic sampling. From the point of view of practice, the case of stationary coefficients of variations which we have considered is only slightly more general, since there are only a few examples, where the coefficients of variations are stationary even though the expected values and variances are not so. (Let us mention, as an example, the case where  $y_i$  and  $x_i$  denote the present and past city populations, respectively.)

In cases where the coefficients of variations are not stationary, the problem becomes more complicated, and a question arises whether a solution, common for all convex correlation function, exists at all. We should minimize the expression

$$\sum_{\lambda=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\omega=1}^{N+\lambda-1} [R(\lambda+1) - 2R(\lambda) + R(\lambda-1)] M \left( \sum_{i=\omega-\lambda+1}^{\omega} p_i(s) C_i \right)^2$$

where  $p_i(s)$  are given by (10.5) and  $C_i$  are the coefficients of variations,  $i = 1, \dots, N$ . Moreover, in such cases, the supposition (10.2) and the estimator (10.3) cannot any longer be considered as "natural" ones and the whole problem ought to be reformulated.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Cochran, W. G.: Relative accuracy of systematic and stratified random sample for certain class of populations. *Ann. Math. Stat.* XVII (1946), 164–177.
- [2] Matérn, B.: Methods of estimating the accuracy of line and sample plot surveys. *Meddelanden fran Statens Skogsforskningsinstitut*, Band 36:1, (1947), 138 pages.
- [3] Madow, W. G.: On the theory of systematic sampling, II. *Ann. Math. Stat.* XX (1949), 333–354.
- [4] Hansen, M. H., Hurwitz, W. N.: On the determination of optimum probabilities in sampling. *Ann. Math. Stat.* XX (1949).
- [5] Yeates, F., Grundy, P. M.: Selection without replacement from within strata with probability proportional to size. *Journ. Royal. Soc.*, B XV, 1953, 253–261.
- [6] Godambe, V. P.: A unified theory of sampling from finite population. *Journ. Roy. Stat. Soc.*, B. 17 (1955), 269–278.
- [7] Gautschi, W.: Some remarks on systematic sampling. *Ann. Math. Stat.* 28 (1957), 385–394.
- [8] Koller, S.: On the problem of replicated sampling in German governmental statistics. 36, Pt. 3, (30th Session of ISI) 135–143.
- [9] Hájek, J.: Nerovnosti pro zobecněné Studentovo rozdělení. *Časopis pro pěstování matematiky* 82 (1957), 269–278.
- [10] Hájek, J.: Some contributions to the theory of probability sampling. *Bull. Inst.* (30th Session of ISI) 36 (1957), Pt. 3, 127–133.