Werk

Label: Article

Jahr: 1989

PURL: https://resolver.sub.uni-goettingen.de/purl?311067255_0025|log21

Kontakt/Contact

Digizeitschriften e.V.
SUB Göttingen
Platz der Göttinger Sieben 1
37073 Göttingen

info@digizeitschriften.de

ARCHIVUM MATHEMATICUM (BRNO)
Vol. 25, No. 1–2 (1989), 107–114

MULTIPLICATIVE STRUCTURES OVER SUP-LATTICES

MARIA CRISTINA PEDICCHIO and WALTER THOLEN*

(Received May 31, 1988)

Dedicated to the memory of Professor Milan Sekanina

Abstract. Modules over a not necessarily commutative multiplicative sup-lattice A are described as the Eilenberg–Moore algebras of a fairly elementary monad (T, η, μ) over **Set** with $TX = A^X$ which was considered before for commutative A, in particular when A is a frame. These modules are shown to carry a generalized metric structure, inducing another monadic functor.

Key words: sup-lattice, multiplicative sup-lattice, frame, locale, quantale, module, monadic functor.

MS Classification: 06 D 99; 06 A 23, 18 C 15, 18 C 20

INTRODUCTION

For a *frame* A (= complete lattice with $x \wedge \bigvee y_i = \bigvee x \wedge y_i$) Machner [4] gave a rather technical description of the algebras of the following monad $\tau_A = (T, \eta, \mu)$ on **Set**:

$$TX = A^X,\ (Tf)(\varphi)(y) = \bigvee \{\varphi(x) \mid x \in f^{-1}y\}\ (f\colon X \to Y,\ \varphi \in A^X,\ y \in Y),$$

$$\eta_X : X \to A^X \quad \text{with} \quad \eta_X(x)(x') = \delta_{xx'} \quad \text{(Kronecker's delta)},$$

$$\mu_X : A^{A^X} \to A^X \quad \text{with} \quad \mu_X(\Phi)(x) = \bigvee \{\Phi(\varphi) \wedge \varphi(x) \mid \varphi \in A^X\}\ (\Phi \in A^{A^X},\ x \in X).$$

However, from Joyal's and Tierney's work [3] one now has a nice characterization of these algebras: interpreting A as a commutative monoid (with $\wedge$ as multiplication) over the *sup-lattice* (= complete lattice in which one considers $\bigvee$ the only structural element) A, Eilenberg–Moore algebras with respect to τ_A are nothing but modules over the monoid A, i.e. sup-lattices M which come equipped with an associative and unary action $A \otimes M \to M$ of sup-lattices.

* Partial support by the Université Catholique de Louvain (Belgium) and by NSERC (Canada) is gratefully acknowledged.

In this short note we present this observation in the non-commutative case. More precisely, we show that the above monad exists for every sup-lattice A which comes equipped with an associative, but not necessarily commutative multiplication and a one-sided unit (so in particular for every quantale in the sense of [1], and that the algebras are the same as in the localic case described above. We also observe that they carry a generalized metric structure which we discuss in terms of adjoint functors.

1. SUP-LATTICES

The category **SupLat** has as its objects partially ordered sets X which admit arbitrary suprema (in particular, one has $0 = \bigvee\emptyset$ and $1 = \bigvee X$), and as its morphisms $f: X \to Y$ mappings which preserve suprema. Every such morphism has a right adjoint $f_* : Y \to X$, given by the formula

$$\frac{f(x) \leq y}{x \leq f_*(y)},$$

(or $f_*(y) = \bigvee\{x \mid f(x) \leq y\}$); f_* preserves all infima, so it can be interpreted as a morphism $f^0 : Y^0 \to X^0$ in **SupLat** with X^0 the sup-lattice provided with the partial order opposite to that one of X. (Recall that the existence of arbitrary suprema implies the existence of arbitrary infima.) Obviously,

$$(-)^0 : \mathbf{SupLat} \to \mathbf{SupLat}$$

is a contravariant isomorphism of categories, yielding a strong self-duality of the category **SupLat**.

A *bimorphism* $f: X \times Y \to Z$ of sup-lattices satisfies the laws

$$f(\bigvee x_i, y) = \bigvee f(x_i, y), \qquad f(x, \bigvee y_i) = \bigvee f(x, y_i).$$

The *tensor product* of two sup-lattices X, Y is given by a universal bimorphism

$$X \times Y \to X \otimes Y, \qquad (x, y) \mapsto x \otimes y,$$

so that $\mathrm{Bihom}(X \times Y, Z) \cong \mathrm{Hom}(X \otimes Y, Z)$. Therefore, bimorphisms can be always written as **SupLat**-morphisms on the tensor product.

2. MODULES OVER MULTIPLICATIVE SUP-LATTICES

A sup-lattice A is called *multiplicative* when it comes equipped with a nullary operation $\varepsilon : 1 \to A$ (i.e. an element $\varepsilon \in A$) and a binary operation

$$A \otimes A \to A, \qquad \alpha \otimes \beta \mapsto \alpha\beta,$$

in **SupLat**. A *left A-module* M is a sup-lattice together with an action

$$A \otimes M \to M, \qquad \alpha \otimes x \mapsto \alpha x,$$

in **SupLat** such that

$$(\alpha\beta)\, x = \alpha(\beta x) \qquad \text{and} \qquad \varepsilon x = x \ (\alpha, \beta \in A, x \in M)$$

hold. The morphisms of the category A-**Mod** of left A-modules are morphisms $f: M \to N$ in **SupLat** such that $f(\alpha x) = \alpha f(x)$. A *right A-module* M is a left A^*-module where A^* has the multiplicative structure given by ε and $\alpha * \beta = \beta\alpha$. We write **Mod**-$A$ for A^*-**Mod**.

If A with its multiplicative structure is itself a left (right resp.) A-module, then A is called a *left* (*right* resp.) *monoid* over **SupLat**; it is a *monoid* if it is both a left and right A-module.

Every frame (= *locale*) is a monoid when putting $\alpha\beta = \alpha \wedge \beta$ and $\varepsilon = 1$; in fact, frames are those monoids over **SupLat** with $\varepsilon = 1$ and $\alpha^2 = \alpha$. (The Joyal–Tierney [3] proof survives dropping commutativity.) Prime examples of locales are the lattices of open sets of a topological space.

More generally, *quantales* in the sense of Borceux and van den Bossche [1] are, by definition, right monoids over **SupLat** with $\varepsilon = 1$ and $\alpha^2 = \alpha$. Those were introduced to describe, inter alia, the lattice of closed right ideals in a C^*-algebra.

For a multiplicative A, a left A-module M, and every $\alpha \in M$, the **SupLat**-morphism $\alpha(-) : M \to M$ has a right adjoint, denoted by $(-)^\alpha$, so

$$\frac{\alpha x \leq y}{x \leq y^\alpha}.$$

One has a **SupLat**-morphism

$$M^0 \otimes A \to M^0, \qquad y \otimes \alpha \mapsto y^\alpha,$$

which provides M^0 with a right A-module structure:

$$\frac{x \leq y^\varepsilon}{\dfrac{\varepsilon x \leq y}{x \leq y}} \qquad \frac{x \leq y^{\alpha\beta}}{\dfrac{(\alpha\beta)\, x \leq y}{\dfrac{\alpha(\beta x) \leq y}{\dfrac{\beta x \leq y^\alpha}{x \leq (y^\alpha)^\beta}}}}$$

This way one obtains a strong duality

$$(-)^0 : A\text{-}\mathbf{Mod} \to \mathbf{Mod}\text{-}A.$$

For A *commutative* this gives a strong self-duality of A-**Mod** (which is the self-duality of **SupLat** mentioned before when taking A to be the 2-element chain).

3. MONADICITY OF LEFT A-MODULES

Theorem 1. *For a left monoid A over* **SupLat**, A-**Mod** *is monadic over* **Set**.

Proof: For every set X, $A^X = \mathbf{Set}(X, A)$ carries the structure of a left A-module, with $(\alpha\varphi)(x) = \alpha\varphi(x)$ ($\alpha \in A$, $\varphi \in A^X$, $x \in X$), which is simply a direct product of X copies of the left A-module A. It is indeed the free left A-module over X, since every **Set**-map $f: X \to M$ into a left A-module M factors through

$$\eta_X : X \to A^X, \quad \text{with} \quad \eta_X(x)(x) = \varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \eta_X(x)(x') = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad x \neq x',$$

by a unique morphism in **SupLat**, namely

$$g : A^X \to M \qquad \text{with} \qquad g(\varphi) = \bigvee\{\varphi(x)\, f(x) \mid x \in X\}$$

for all $\varphi \in A^X$.

It is elementary to show that the forgetful A-**Mod** $\to$ **Set** creates coequalizers of absolute pairs, so it is monadic (cf. [5]). But it is not difficult either to see directly how τ_A-algebras (M, m) correspond to left A-modules M (here τ_A is the monad induced by A-**Mod** $\to$ **Set** which may be described as in the Introduction, replacing $\wedge$ by the multiplication of A): for a left A-module M, the Eilenberg–Moore structure m is a morphism $A^M \to M$ in A-**Mod** with $m\eta_M = 1_M$, so

$$m(\varphi) = \bigvee\{\varphi(x)\, x \mid x \in M\};$$

on the other hand, given an Eilenberg–Moore structure m on a set M, A acts on M by

$$\alpha x = m(\alpha\eta_M(x)). \qquad \square$$

Analogously one can show that **Mod**-A is monadic over **Set** when A is a right monoid. So one has:

Corollary 1. *For a commutative monoid A over* **SupLat**, *both* A-**Mod** *and* $(A\text{-}\mathbf{Mod})^{op}$ *are monadic over* **Set**. $\square$

4. THE INDUCED HEYTING STRUCTURE

For a left monoid A and a left A-module M and every $x \in M$, the **SupLat**-Morphism $(-)\,x: A \to M$ has a right adjoint, denoted by $x \to (-)$, so

$$\frac{\alpha x \leq y}{\alpha \leq x \to y}.$$

One has a **SupLat**-morphism

$$M \otimes M^0 \to A^0, \qquad x \otimes y \mapsto (x \to y),$$

satisfying the following laws for all $x, y \in M$:

Proposition 1.

(1) $$x \leq y \Leftrightarrow \varepsilon \leq x \to y,$$

(2) $$\bigvee_{z \in X} (z \to y)(x \to z) = x \to y.$$

Proof: (1) is trivial, and it implies

$$x \to y = \varepsilon(x \to y) \leq (y \to y)(x \to y) \leq \text{l.h.s. of (2)}.$$

For the other inequality needed in (2), first observe that trivially

$$(x \to z)\, x \leq z \qquad (*)$$

for all $x, z \in M$; therefore,

$$((z \to y)(x \to z))\, x = (z \to y)((x \to z)\, x) \leq (z \to y)\, z \leq y,$$

hence $(z \to y)(x \to z) \leq x \to y$ for all $x, y, z \in M$. □

Passing to the induced Heyting structure causes no problems when forming direct products:

Proposition 2. *For families* $(x_i)_i$, $(y_i)_i$ *in the direct product* $\prod_i M_i$ *in* A-**Mod** *one has*

$$(x_i)_i \to (y_i)_i = \bigwedge_i (x_i \to y_i).$$

Proof: Since the partial order in $\prod_i M_i$ is componentwise, we have

$$\frac{\alpha \leq (x_i) \to (y_i)}{\frac{\alpha(x_i) \leq (y_i)}{\frac{\forall\, i : \alpha x_i \leq y_i}{\frac{\forall\, i : \alpha \leq x_i \to y_i}{\alpha \leq \bigwedge_i (x_i \to y_i)}}}}$$

□

However, morphisms require more detailed considerations:

Proposition 3. *For left* A*-modules* M, N *and a* **Set***-map* $f : M \to N$ *one has*·

(1) $x \to y \leq f(x) \to f(y)$ $(x, y \in M)$ *holds if and only if* f *is monotone* (i.e. $x \leq y \Rightarrow f(x) \leq f(y)$) *and satisfies* $\alpha f(x) \leq f(\alpha x)$ $(\alpha \in A, x \in M)$.

(2) *For* f *monotone and onto,* $f(x) \to f(y) \leq x \to y$ $(x, y \in M)$ *implies* $f(\alpha x) \leq \alpha f(x)$ $(\alpha \in A, x \in M)$.

(3) $f(\alpha x) \leq \alpha f(x)$ $(\alpha \in A, x \in M)$ *implies* $f(x) \to f(y) \leq x \to y$ $(x, y \in M)$ *if and only if* f *reflects the order* (i.e. $f(x) \leq f(y) \Rightarrow x \leq y$).

Proof: (1) "⇒" f is monotone by Prop. 1 (1). From $\alpha \leq x \to \alpha x \leq f(x) \to f(\alpha x)$ one obtains $\alpha f(x) \leq f(\alpha x)$. "⇐" In $\alpha \leq f(x) \to f(\alpha x)$ we may substitute $\alpha = x \to y$ to obtain with (*)

$$x \to y \leq f(x) \to f((x \to y)\, x) \leq f(x) \to f(y)$$

since f is monotone.

(2) We may write, for $\alpha \in A$ and $x \in M$ given, $\alpha f(x) = f(y)$ and have $\alpha \leq f(x) \to \to f(y) \leq x \to y$, hence $\alpha x \leq y$, so $f(\alpha x) \leq f(y) = \alpha f(x)$.

(3) "$\Rightarrow$" Reflection of the order follows from Prop. 1 (1) again. "$\Leftarrow$" With $\alpha = f(x) \to f(y)$ one obtains from (*)

$$f((f(x) \to f(y))\, x) \leq (f(x) \to f(y))\, f(x) \leq f(y),$$

hence $(f(x) \to f(y))\, x \leq y$, so $f(x) \to f(y) \leq x \to y$. □

5. THE METRIC POINT OF VIEW

If, for a left monoid A over **SupLat** with $\varepsilon = 1$ and for a left A-module M, we write

$$d(x, y) = x \to y, \quad \alpha + \beta = \beta\alpha, \quad \alpha \prec \beta \Leftrightarrow \beta \leq \alpha, \quad \Theta = \varepsilon;$$

then Prop. 1 gives

(1) $$d(x, y) = \Theta = d(y, x) \Leftrightarrow x = y,$$

(2) $$d(x, y) \prec d(x, z) + d(z, y)$$

for all $x, y, z \in M$.

For a partially ordered (**Set**-based) semigroup $(S, +, \prec)$ (so $(S, +)$ is a not necessarily commutative semigroup and $(S, \prec)$ is a poset with the binary $+$ monotone in each variable) such that there is a bottom element Θ with $\Theta + \Theta = \Theta$, we consider the category

S-Met

whose objects are pairs (M, d) with a set M and a function $d : M \times M \to S$ that satisfies (1) and (2), and whose morphisms $f : (M, d) \to (M', d')$ are non-expanding maps, i.e.

$$d'(f(x), f(y)) \prec d(x, y).$$

Putting $(x \leq y \Leftrightarrow d(x, y) = \Theta)$ defines a functor S-**Met** $\to$ **PoSet** (the category of partially ordered sets and monotone maps).

If we denote by A^+ the partially ordered semigroup as described above (so A^+ is, as a semigroup, A^* and, as a poset, A^0) then Propositions 2 and 3 give immediately:

Corollary 2. *There is a faithful functor* A-**Mod** $\to$ A^+-**Met** *that preserves products and reflects isomorphisms.*

Next we shall point out that the functor is actually monadic.

6. SUMMARY IN TERMS OF ADJOINTS

For a left monoid A over **SupLat** with $\varepsilon = 1 \neq 0$ one has:

Theorem 2. *In the diagram*



of forgetful functors, each one has a left adjoint; U_1, U_3, U_4, U_6, U_8 are monadic whereas U_2, U_5 and U_7 induce trivial monads.

Proof: Denoting the left adjoint of U_i by F_i, one has F_1X the power set PX of the set X, $F_2X = X$ with the discrete order, and F_3X the system of down-sets in the poset X (cf. [2]). F_4 is tensoring with A, so F_4F_1 gives an alternative way of constructing the left adjoint F_6 as in Theorem 1, i.e.

$$A \otimes PX \cong A^X.$$

For a poset X, the metric structure of $F_5X = X$ is given by

$$d(x, y) = \begin{cases} \varepsilon \text{ if } x \leqq y \\ 0 \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}$$

(recall that 0 is the bottom element in A, i.e. the top element in A^+). Since $U_7 = U_2U_5$ trivially has a left adjoint, we just need to show existence of F_8: this can be derived from Corollary 2 above and Theorem 3 of [6], applied to the triangle



(we do not have an explicit construction of F_8).

Monadicity of U_1, U_3, U_4, U_6, U_8 is easily checked with the Beck–Paré criterion (cf. [5]); U_2, U_5 and U_7 obviously induce identical monads (to have $U_5F_5 = \mathrm{Id}$, one needs $1 \neq 0$ in A). □

REFERENCES

[1] F. Borceux and G. van den Bossche, *Quantales and their sheaves.* Order 3 (1986) 61–87.
[2] P. Johnstone, *Stone Spaces* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1982).
[3] A. Joyal and M. Tierney, *An extension of the Galois theory of Grothendieck*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 51 (no. 309) (1984).
[4] J. Machner, *T-algebras of the monad L-Fuzz*, Czechoslovak Math. J. 35 (110) (1985) 515–528.
[5] S. MacLane, *Categories for the Working Mathematician* (Springer-Verlag, New York–Heidelberg–Berlin 1971).
[6] W. Tholen, *Adjungierte Dreiecke, Colimites und Kan-Erweiterungen*, Math. Ann. 217 (1975) 121–129.

M. C. Pedicchio
Dipartimento di Scienze Matematiche
Università degli Studi di Trieste
34100 Trieste
ITALY

W. Tholen
Department of Mathematics
York University
North York, Ontario, M3J 1P3
CANADA